For over 35 years, biological scientists have come to rely on the research protocols and methodologies in the critically acclaimed *Methods in Molecular Biology* series. The series was the first to introduce the step-by-step protocols approach that has become the standard in all biomedical protocol publishing. Each protocol is provided in readily-reproducible step-by-step fashion, opening with an introductory overview, a list of the materials and reagents needed to complete the experiment, and followed by a detailed procedure that is supported with a helpful notes section offering tips and tricks of the trade as well as troubleshooting advice. These hallmark features were introduced by series editor Dr. John Walker and constitute the key ingredient in each and every volume of the *Methods in Molecular Biology* series. Tested and trusted, comprehensive and reliable, all protocols from the series are indexed in PubMed.
In 1998, I received an email from John Walker, series editor of *Methods in Molecular Biology*. Professor Walker’s email described plans for a new volume on the cytoskeleton, and he invited me to organize and edit the new book. I thought that I was an unlikely candidate for the role of editor, but I considered that the opportunity to engage in this type of scholarship might never be repeated. My aim for the new book was to develop a volume that broadly interpreted the concept of the cytoskeleton and emphasized diverse experimental protocols and models. The preface to the first edition of *Cytoskeleton: Methods and Protocols* (CMP) pointed out that: “Rather than a network of disparate fibers, [cytoskeletal] polymers are often interconnected and display synergy…” The first edition’s book cover, an image of a cytoskeletal network of microtubules and actin filaments, reflected the emphasis on the interconnectedness of cytoskeletal fibers. In the years since the publication of the first edition, technological advances in microscopy and in vitro studies continue to advance our understanding of the cytoskeleton, and CMP has focused on many of these advances in numerous chapters.

![Fig. 1 PREM of the cytoskeleton of a cultured cell after depletion of actin filaments by gelsolin treatment. The image shows focal adhesions, myosin II filaments (yellow), microtubules (red), intermediate filaments (blue), and clathrin-coated vesicles (green). Additional details are in Chapter 2.](image)
As in previous editions, the cover image for the fourth edition of CMP shows an array of cytoskeletal fibers (Fig. 1). The organization of the fourth edition follows the pattern established in the earlier editions, and each chapter adheres to the easy-to-follow instructional format and includes a helpful Notes section, undoubtedly an aid to troubleshooting. The fourth edition continues the emphasis on diverse model systems and experimental approaches for studies of the cytoskeleton. Experimental models include animal and plant cultured cells, flatworms, roundworms, yeast, plants, ciliates, flagellates, and fish. The fourth edition maintains a clear focus on imaging techniques in chapters devoted to platinum replica electron microscopy (PREM), total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF)-structured illumination microscopy (SIM), and in vitro and in vivo reagents for enhancing visualization of actin filaments. Other chapters feature analyses of cell and organelle motility, dynamics of cytoskeleton components, and genetic and in silico analysis of cytoskeleton proteins.

I have edited CMP for 20 years with four editions. I am hopeful that Springer Press will continue publication of this volume, and I look forward to reading the fifth edition with a new editor at the helm. I have enjoyed my time as an editor; I have had a good run. Editing CMP has been one of the highlights of my career. Some 200 colleagues from 12 countries have lent their expertise to contribute chapters, and I thank them. They are responsible for the book’s success. Qi He, Andreas Holzinger, George Langford, and David Soll contributed to all four editions of CMP. And of course, I thank John Walker for inviting me to organize this volume on the cytoskeleton and for continually instructing me in the stylistic requirements of Humana Press and later Springer Press.

I am often mindful of The Gambler, an American song written by Don Schlitz and popularized by Kenny Rogers in the 1970s. It has been reported that Schlitz’s inspiration for the song derived from advice (apparently unrelated to gambling) given to him by his father. Some of the lyrics offer an admonition to card players—and anyone contemplating a decision.

“You’ve got to know when to hold ‘em
Know when to fold ’em,
Know when to walk away
And know when to run”

Brooklyn, NY, USA

Ray H. Gavin
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Part I

Imaging the Cytoskeleton
Chapter 1

Super-Resolution Imaging of the Actin Cytoskeleton in Living Cells Using TIRF-SIM

Torsten Wöllert and George M. Langford

Abstract

Super-resolution (SR) imaging techniques have advanced rapidly in recent years, but only a subset of these techniques is gentle enough to be used by cell biologists to study living cells with minimal photodamage. Our research is focused on studies of the dynamic remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton in living pancreatic beta cells during insulin secretion. These studies require super-resolution light microscopic techniques that are gentle enough to record rapid changes of the actin cytoskeleton in real time. In this chapter, we describe an SR technique that breaks the diffraction limit of the conventional light microscope called TIRF-SIM. Using this SR technique, we have been able to show that (1) microvilli on pancreatic beta cells translocate in the plane of the plasma membrane and (2) the cortical actin network reorganizes when cells are stimulated to secrete insulin. We describe the FIJI plugins that were used to process and analyze the TIRF-SIM images to obtain quantitative data.

Key words Pancreatic beta cells, Cortical actin cytoskeleton, Microvilli, Live cell imaging, Super-resolution microscopy, TIRF-SIM, CLSM, FIJI, Image processing and analysis, Airyscan

1 Introduction

1.1 Super-Resolution Imaging of the Actin Cytoskeleton

Recent advances in imaging technology have enhanced the resolution of fluorescence microscopes by incorporating the newest developments in optical engineering, labeling technologies, AI, and computational capacity [1]. Fluorescence microscopy is now an indispensable tool in the life sciences. With the development of advanced fluorescence imaging, it is possible to acquire high-resolution images of the substructure of cells as well as detailed maps of the macromolecular assemblies within living cells and how these complexes respond to changing physiological conditions [2].

In our research, we use a super-resolution (SR) imaging technique called TIRF-SIM (total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF)-structured illumination microscopy (SIM)) (Fig. 1) to study the dynamic properties of the actin cytoskeleton in living
pancreatic beta cells (Fig. 2). The actin cytoskeleton is one of the most important and structurally complex components of living cells. It is involved in many critically important functions including maintenance of cell shape; cell division; cell migration; cargo transport; formation of membrane protrusions such as lamellipodia, filopodia, and microvilli; and secretion. In all of these functions, the actin cytoskeleton exists in a state of constant flux, capable of rapidly remodeling upon changing physiological conditions.

The actin filaments comprising the actin cytoskeleton nucleate at specific sites; grow, shrink, and slide relative to each other; cross-link into small bundles; and form networks of differing porosity. Furthermore, the curvature and stiffness of actin filament bundles change under different physiological conditions. Specific actin-binding proteins interact with actin filaments to confer these different dynamic states [3, 4]. The ability of the actin filaments to assume these different molecular configurations allows them to serve as tracks for molecular motors carrying cargo in the cell, as force generators producing pushing forces by subunit addition at growing tips, as force generators due to relative sliding produced by molecular motors, as tethering sites for membrane bound organelles, or as physical barriers between secretory vesicles and the plasma membrane [3, 4].
The individual actin filaments that form the actin cytoskeleton are 6 nm in diameter and therefore well below the resolution of the conventional light microscope, which is approximately 200 nm. Individual actin filaments align to form bundles of ten or more filaments, but the diameter of these bundles is also smaller than the diffraction limit of the light microscope. Therefore, to image actin filament bundles and to record the rapid changes resulting from the dynamic nature of these bundles, we require a super-resolution imaging technique that allows us to acquire images at framing rates close to real time in living cells.

In this chapter, we describe a custom-built TIRF-SIM microscope (Fig. 1), a form of SR imaging that breaks the diffraction limit of the conventional light microscope and is gentle enough to meet our requirement for acquisition of rapid time-domain information. TIRF-SIM improves spatial resolution by twofold, allowing us to detect objects that are smaller than the theoretical diffraction limit. Localization techniques such as PALM and STORM [1] that have resolution in the 15–20 nm range work best with fixed samples and therefore not suitable for our studies (Table 1).
In addition to TIRF-SIM, we describe confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) with Airyscan technology [5], another imaging technique gentle enough for live cell imaging. Each technique has advantages and disadvantages, often requiring the use of both techniques to capture the full range of rapid structural changes exhibited by the actin cytoskeleton. These techniques are gentle enough to allow short-term recordings without significant bleaching of fluorophores and photodamage to living cells. TIRF-SIM microscopy is the best method to use for live cell imaging although CLSM with Airyscan is an excellent alternative (Fig. 3). The resolution achieved with Airyscan, however, is less than twofold, and therefore it is not defined as a super-resolution technique. It is referred to as an enhanced resolution technique.

Using these two imaging techniques, each with a high signal-to-noise ratio and fast acquisition speed, we determined that (1) microvilli on pancreatic beta cells translocate in the plan of the plasma membrane due to filament assembly/disassembly and (2) the cortical actin filament network remains intact but changes porosity and stiffness when beta cells are stimulated to secrete insulin. FIJI, an open-source software platform, was used to process and analyze the images to obtain quantitative data. Motion analysis of microvilli motility was performed using the plugin TrackMate [6], and curvature and stiffness of the filaments of the cortical actin cytoskeleton were determined using the software plugin Kappa [7].

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Spatial resolution</th>
<th>Temporal resolution</th>
<th>Imaging of fast cytoskeletal dynamics</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>100 nm</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Zeiss, Nikon, Deltavision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STED</td>
<td>30 nm</td>
<td>&gt;s</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Leica, PicoQuant, Abberior Instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PALM</td>
<td>15–20 nm</td>
<td>&gt;s</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Zeiss, Nikon, abbelight instruments, ONI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spt-PALM</td>
<td>15–20 nm</td>
<td>ms</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Nikon, abbelight instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STORM</td>
<td>15–20 nm</td>
<td>&gt;s</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Zeiss, Nikon, Leica, abbelight instruments, ONI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINFLUX</td>
<td>&lt;10 nm</td>
<td>&gt;s</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Abberior Instruments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 The Actin Cytoskeleton and Glucose-Stimulated Insulin Secretion (GSIS) in Pancreatic Beta Cells

We used rat insulinoma cell line (INS-1 832/13) [8] grown in culture to study the role of the actin cytoskeleton in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS). GSIS is the process by which insulin is released from dense-core granules in pancreatic beta cells when the level of blood glucose increases, such as after a meal. The rapid uptake of glucose by pancreatic beta cells is followed by the
metabolic breakdown of glucose to produce ATP, which binds to K<sub>ATP</sub> ion channels causing the channels to close. As a result, beta cells depolarize, which allows Ca<sup>2+</sup> channels to open. The entry of Ca<sup>2+</sup> activates a signaling cascade that triggers exocytosis of insulin-containing dense core granules [9].

Pancreatic beta cells are structurally complex with a dense cortical actin filament network and prominent microvilli projecting from their surfaces [10]. These actin-rich finger-like projections (Fig. 4) play an essential role in glucose sensing, the first step in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. Microvilli, therefore, are key structural components in glucose uptake, while the cortical actin filament network plays a major role in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion.

Fig. 3 Side-by-side comparison of the difference in spatial resolution between TIRF-SIM microscopy (~100 nm) and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) with Airyscan 2 technology (~120 nm). (a) Pancreatic beta cells were transiently transfected with mEmerald-LifeAct and grown for 24 h. The cortical actin network was imaged with TIRF-SIM (left panel) or the Zeiss LSM 980 with Airyscan 2. The TIRF-SIM image shows finer detail of the filaments comprising the cortical actin cytoskeleton. (b) The contrast of both images was inverted to show individual actin bundles more clearly. Scale bar: 5 μm
Current models of the role of the actin cytoskeleton in pancreatic beta cells focus primarily on the cortical actin filament network and its potential role in insulin secretion [9]. These models view the cortical actin cytoskeleton as a physical barrier that prevents the dense core granules from gaining access to docking sites on the plasma membrane, thereby preventing fusion and release of insulin. These models propose that insulin secretion requires depolymerization of the cortical actin filaments, which allows the insulin-containing dense core granules to dock and fuse with the plasma membrane for exocytosis.

These models do not take into account the key role of microvilli, which serve as the primary sites of glucose sensing. Glucose uptake in rat pancreatic beta cells occurs via GLUT2, the liver-type facilitated glucose transporter. GLUT2 transporters are located primarily on microvilli where the density of GLUT2 is six times higher than on flat regions of the plasma membrane [11]. Therefore, microvilli are the principal sites of glucose sensing in these cells. We focused our studies on both the role of the actin cytoskeleton in microvilli during glucose sensing and the role of the cortical actin filament network in GSIS.

Our ability to image the actin cytoskeleton in living cells allowed us to discover that microvilli translocate in the plane of the membrane (Fig. 5). Microvilli motility was dependent on the dynamic properties of the actin filaments. One important question to answer is why microvilli translocate. One obvious answer is to provide a search strategy for ligands or binding partners that exist at low concentrations [12]. To definitively demonstrate that microvilli motility represents an efficient search strategy for ligands, we need imaging data acquired in real time in living cells at sufficient spatial and temporal resolution and with multicolor fluorescent markers of membrane and cytoskeletal components to record the membrane and cytoskeletal dynamics.
Fig. 5 Microvilli are motile actin-containing structures that translocate on the surface of pancreatic beta cells. Single frame from a TIRF-SIM time-lapse movie of a living INS-1 cell expressing mEmerald-LifeAct (top panel) and sequential micrographs (0–8 min) showing motile microvilli translocating on INS-1 cells. Individual microvilli are numbered. Bars: 5µm and 300 nm.
Our data show that microvilli translocate in the fluid lipid bilayer as a structural unit. Membrane fluidity at the junction between the microvillus and the plasma membrane determines the ability of microvilli to translocate as integral units. These observations have profound implications for how we understand the sensory function of structures like microvilli and how we design studies to understand complex diseases like type 2 diabetes.

1.3 TIRF-SIM Microscopy

To image the actin cytoskeleton in microvilli of INS-1 832/13 cells, we used TIRF-SIM (total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF)-structured illumination microscopy (SIM)) microscopy. TIRF-SIM is an SR imaging technique that has the advantage of imaging live cells far faster and with orders of magnitude less light than required for other forms of SR fluorescence microscopy.

TIRF, also known as evanescent field microscopy [13, 14], breaks the diffraction limit in the axial or $z$-dimension by illuminating cells with a subwavelength ($\sim 100$ nm deep) field of excitation light. This evanescent field illuminates only the membrane region, producing a high-contrast, low background image of the cortical actin network-plasma membrane complex. With ultrahigh numerical aperture objectives, the depth of illumination can be as shallow as 50 nm, the thickness of the cortical actin cytoskeleton.

When TIRF is combined with SIM to form a TIRF-SIM imaging system, the diffraction limit is broken in both the axial and lateral dimensions, and the lateral resolution is twofold better than a conventional microscope [15, 16]. As a result, objects like actin filament bundles and vesicles that are smaller than the diffraction limit can be tracked and studied over time in multiple colors. Thus, both cytoskeletal structure and dynamics can be observed.

SIM achieves super-resolution by modulating the excitation light using a spatial light modulator (SLM). The sample is illuminated with a grating pattern of light [15]. When this excitation pattern and the spatial pattern of the sample superpose, an interference pattern called a Moiré fringe is produced. The excitation pattern is translated and rotated to generate a series of images with different Moiré fringes. As the spacing of the illumination grating is known, the grating pattern can be mathematically removed from the resulting Moiré fringes to gain access to the normally irresolvable higher-resolution information in the sample.

To generate the sinusoidal excitation patterns required for TIRF-SIM, a ferroelectric spatial light modulator is used. The binary ferroelectric SLM is capable of sub-millisecond pattern switching. Binary grating patterns are displayed on the SLM, and the resulting $\pm 1$ diffraction orders are filtered, relayed, and focused into the back aperture of the objective lens. The necessary phase shifts and rotations of the gratings are applied by changing the displayed SLM image. Reconstruction of TIRF-SIM images requires the acquisition of a minimum of three phase shifts per pattern rotation; therefore the SLM pattern period must be divisible by 3 [16].
The twofold improvement in resolution achieved with TIRF-SIM is sufficient to detect filamentous actin in microvilli that averaged 150 nm in diameter, a size that is difficult to detect without SR capability (Fig. 4). Even though the spatiotemporal resolution of TIRF-SIM is not sufficient to capture the dynamic changes in the sub-millisecond timeframe or in the sub-100-nm size domain, it is able to capture super-resolution images at a rate that allowed us to quantitatively measure the velocity of movement of microvilli on pancreatic beta cells (Figs. 5 and 6) as well as the structural changes in the cortical actin filament network (Figs. 7 and 8) under basal and elevated glucose conditions.

**Fig. 6** Motion analysis of motile microvilli on surfaces of pancreatic beta cells. (a) Workflow for automatic tracking of microvilli by the FIJI plugin TrackMate [6]. (b) Display of the time sequence of each microvilli tracked. (c) Plot of the mean velocities of the microvilli (track number). Bars: 5 μm and 500 nm
Fig. 7 Analysis of actin filament curvature in the cortex of pancreatic beta cells. (a) Screenshot of the Kappa curvature analysis FIJI plugin [7] during an online measurement of INS-1 832/13 cells expressing mEmerald-LifeAct. Images were taken with the TIRF-SIM imaging system. Boxed regions show enlargement of two small actin bundles used for B-spline analysis. (b) Micrographs 1 and 2 show individual steps to enter a curve using the Control Points and Curve Fitting tool. Scale bar: 1 μm
1.4 Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy with Airyscan Technology

We used confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) with Airyscan technology [17], an enhanced resolution technique, as a second imaging method to study microvilli motility and cortical actin filament dynamics in pancreatic beta cells. We used the Zeiss LSM 980 with Airyscan 2 microscope, which has a 32-element GaAsP-PMT array detector, that replaces the physical confocal pinhole aperture and unitary detector assembly found in traditional confocal systems. Each of the 32 detector elements acts as its own small pinhole with positional information that allows for increased contrast of high spatial frequency information previously unavailable in traditional confocal systems. The increase in spatial frequency information enables Airyscan to produce images with substantially increased signal-to-noise ratio and resolution without having to increase laser power or sampling. Because the Airyscan 2 detector effectively reduces out-of-focus light, the imaging system is able to work on optically dense samples. The resolution of the Airyscan system is approximately 120 nm, slightly less than a twofold increase in resolution required to be defined as super-resolution imaging. Therefore, Airyscan is referred to as an enhanced resolution imaging technique.

---

**Fig. 8** Workflow for actin network density quantification using multiple FIJI plugins. (a) The TIRF-SIM image was processed using the following steps: grayscale erosion followed by segmentation and then skeletonization. (b) Plot of the resultant quantitative analysis of the cortical actin network density. Shown are the number of branches and junctions in a selected region of an INS-1 832/13 cell cultured under basal glucose conditions. Scale bar: 2μm
The Zeiss Airyscan 2 detector technology achieves high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) by eliminating the pinhole and projecting the emission light onto the 32-element array of detectors. Each of the 32 elements in the Zeiss Airyscan 2 compound detector is equivalent to a point detector sampled with a 0.2-AU pinhole. These 32 elements are arranged in a circular geometry, such that the total detector area is equivalent to a 1.25-AU pinhole setting. As a result, the spatial resolution of the final reconstructed image is defined by the sampling of the central pixel, which in this case is comparable to images acquired with conventional confocal at 0.2 AU, while the total sensitivity of the system is equivalent to a confocal image acquired at 1.25 AU [18, 19]. Further resolution gains are achieved by the use of a Wiener filter-based deconvolution step during image reconstruction. This hardware configuration allows simultaneous acquisition using all 32 channels, at acquisition rates comparable to conventional confocal systems.

The Airyscan 2 detector can operate in two different fast modes that increase the scanning speeds while maintaining super-resolution capabilities. The standard Airyscan mode utilizes information from all 32 detectors assigned to a single pixel in the final image for an acquisition speed of 15 fps. The Multiplex 4Y mode, on the other hand, acquires 4 image pixels in parallel with the Airyscan 2 detector, thereby increasing the image acquisition speed from 15 fps to 25 fps. In Multiplex SR-8Y mode, the acquisition speed increases to 47 fps at 512 × 512 resolution for a single channel through acquisition of 8 image pixels in parallel and additional beam-shaping stretching of the excitation spot in the y-dimension.

The enhanced resolution achieved with Airyscan 2 is coupled with an increase in SNR compared to conventional confocal. The enhanced sensitivity eliminates the need for high photon budgets (energetic flux on to the sample) to achieve high signal-to-noise ratio, and thus Airyscan is a gentle imaging technique that does not degrade sample integrity. Airyscan 2 is different from other enhanced resolution CLSM light microscopic acquisition methods, in that it is a pinhole-plane, laser point-scanning detector-based hardware method and not a software deconvolution and processing method typically combined with a closed pinhole. The latter limits photon collection efficiency compared to 1 Airy unit or 1.25 Airy unit pinhole sizes.

In summary, the Airyscan 2 technology improves the spatial resolution and SNR by exploiting a combination of the equivalent of confocal imaging with a 0.2-AU pinhole setting, Wiener filter-based deconvolution, and the pixel reassignment principle [5, 20, 21]. Airyscan technology benefits from the collection of all of the emitted light, which otherwise would be rejected at the pinhole in a conventional confocal architecture.
We used image processing and analysis software to transform our morphological data into quantitative information that we then used to rigorously test our hypotheses. Importantly, quantitative image analysis allowed us to develop a more hypothesis-driven approach to sample preparation, image processing, and data management. In other words, quantitative information improved our ability to identify the most appropriate fluorescent probes needed to label cells and the most appropriate type of microscopic technique needed to obtain the requisite data.

We used FIJI, an open-source image processing and analysis software developed at the National Institutes of Health and the University of Wisconsin, for our studies. Motion analysis of microvilli motility was performed using the plugin TrackMate [6] (Fig. 6), and the curvature and stiffness of the filaments of the cortical actin cytoskeleton were determined using the software plugin Kappa [7] (Fig. 7). Zen Blue was used for image acquisition and analysis on the CLSM Zeiss LSM980 with Airyscan 2 microscope. Zen Blue software controls the CLSM microscope system and was used to process and analyze 3D image stacks. Zen Blue was used to view metadata offline, to manage images/video sequences, and to perform basic image processing.

Standard image analysis techniques and algorithms were used for image processing and image analysis (Fig. 8). Images were first processed to remove microscope or sample “artifacts” using background and/or shading correction. Then, image processing steps were applied to enhance or suppress level of detail using deconvolution or digital image filters such as averaging/smoothing with a Gaussian kernel or linear filters. Other options for image processing include edge detection for finding boundaries or contrast enhancement filters such as unsharp or Laplacian filters when bright or dim objects are present in digital images. To automatically identify objects from background, the commonly used technique of thresholding was applied utilizing pixel intensities for foreground and background separation. This method was also used to generate binary images which are suitable for further image processing using erosion/dilation to detect or identify objects.

Imaging techniques are improving at a rapid rate, but a significant gap exists between the super-resolution (SR) techniques that rely on fixed specimen and those that have the ability to image living cells in real time. TIRF-SIM is one SR technique that has the advantage of imaging live cells far faster and with orders of magnitude less light than required for other forms of SR fluorescence microscopy. However, the resolution achieved with TIRF-SIM is limited to a twofold gain beyond conventional fluorescence microscope, or ~100 nm with visible light.

Betzig and colleagues [22] developed a way to extend TIRF-SIM to the sub-100 nm spatial domain by exploiting the spatially patterned activation of a reversibly photoswitchable fluorescent
protein to reach 45–62 nm resolution at sub-second acquisition called PS NL-SIM. Consequently, they were able to acquire substantially more frames at an improved signal-to-noise ratio by this technique.

The improvement in spatiotemporal resolution achieved by PA NL-SIM is of great benefit to cell biologists, but this imaging modality is not currently available commercially. The best commercially available systems for live cell imaging fail to achieve the level of resolution required to capture dynamic changes at sub-second resolution with high spatial resolution. Continuing improvements in SR techniques are clearly needed.

Super-resolution microscopy remains the method of choice for nanoscale imaging of protein dynamics in living cells. As new, enhanced resolution systems become available commercially, studies requiring long-term imaging of living cells will benefit greatly and advance research more rapidly in these important fields of cell biology.

2 Materials

2.1 Cell Culture

1. Rat insulinoma cells (INS-1 832/13) ([8] see Note 1).
2. RPMI 1640 medium with L-Glutamine (commercially available) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).
3. Penicillin-streptomycin (optional).
4. Sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (1x) (commercially available).
5. Solutions of 0.25% trypsin and ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA).
6. Freezing media: 10% DMSO in 1 mL RPMI 1640 medium.
7. 10 cm plastic cell culture dishes.
8. 6-well culture plates.
9. 5 mL disposable serological pipets.
10. 10 mL disposable serological pipets.
11. 15 mL centrifuge tubes.
12. 45 mm bottle top filter for rapid sterile filtration.

2.2 Transient Cell Transfection

1. Optifect transfection reagent from Invitrogen (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) (see Note 2).
2. Opti-MEM reduced serum medium supplemented with HEPES (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid), 2.4 g/L sodium bicarbonate and L-glutamine from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).
3. mEmerald-LifeAct-7 vector (RRID:Addgene_54148, Watertown, MA).
4. 25 mm round coverslips and 35 mm glass bottom dishes.

2.3 TIRF-SIM Live Cell Imaging
1. 25 mm round uncoated glass coverslips.
2. Immersion oil type LDF (Cargille Laboratories, Cedar Grove, NJ).
3. Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 motorized microscope equipped with differential interference optics (DIC), an Olympus 100×/1.49 NA oil objective lens (Olympus America Inc., Center Valley, PA) and filter sets for FITC, TRITC, and DAPI fluorescence (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC, White Plains, NY).
4. X-Cite 120 light source for epifluorescence microscopy (Excellitas Technologies Corp., Waltham, MA).
5. 488 nm (Sapphire, Coherent, Santa Clara, CA) and 565 nm laser (2RU-VFL-P-1000-560-B1R, MPB Communications Inc., Quebec, Canada).
9. Polarization rotator consisting of a liquid crystal variable retarder (SWIFT, Meadowlark Optics Inc., Frederick, CO) and an achromatic quarter-wave plate (BVO AQWP3, Bolder Vision Optik, Inc., Boulder, CO).
10. 2× Orca-Flash 4.0 V2 C11440-22CU digital sCMOS cameras (Hamamatsu Photonics, Bridgewater, NJ).
12. Stage top environmental control chamber (H301, Okolab, Naples, Italy) with chamber inserts for 25 mm round coverslips.
13. Late model PC computer with fast processor and large random-access memory (RAM).

2.4 CLSM (Zeiss LSM 980 with Airyscan 2) Live Cell Imaging
1. 35 mm glass bottom dishes.
3. LSM980 confocal microscope with Airyscan 2 detector with Multiplex 4Y and 8Y (beam shaping) modules (Carl Zeiss...
2.5 Image Processing and Analysis

FIJI open-source image processing and analysis software (NIH, https://imagej.net/Fiji).

3 Methods

3.1 Cell Culture

1. Combine 50 mL FBS with 450 mL RPMI 1640 medium, filter sterilized with a 45 mm bottle top filter, and store at 4 °C.

2. Maintain the pancreatic beta cell line, INS-1 832/13 in 10 mL sterile RPMI 1640 medium at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ in air atmosphere. Grow the cells in 10 cm plastic dishes, and change the medium every 2 days before microscopic observation.

3. Passage INS-1 832/13 cells when they reached ~70% confluence with 0.25% trypsin/EDTA. Remove the RPMI 1640 medium from the cell culture dish and wash cells with 10 mL PBS. Add 2 mL 0.25% trypsin/EDTA solution to the cells and incubate them for 2–10 min at 37 °C until the cells are rounded up and detached spontaneously from the cell culture dish. Collect cells with 8 mL RPMI 1640 medium to inactivate the trypsin, and transfer them to a 15 mL centrifugation tube. Centrifuge the cells at 900 rpm for 5 min in a clinical centrifuge. Aspirate supernatant to remove trypsin before adding fresh medium and seeding in culture dishes. Split the INS-1 832/13 cells at a 1:3 ratio, which provides experimental cell cultures that will reach ~70% confluence after 3–5 days. Cryopreserve cells in freezing medium containing 10% DMSO (v/v) followed by long-term storage in liquid nitrogen.

3.2 Transfection of INS-1 (832/13) Cells with Actin Expression Vector

1. For transient transfections using the mEmerald-LifeAct-7 vector, plate cells on 35 mm round coverslips placed individually in 6-well culture plates or 35 mm glass bottom dishes 2 days before transfection. Importantly, grow the cells in RPMI-1640 without antibiotics 1 day before transfection to prevent cell death.
2. The following protocol used to transfect pancreatic beta cells was optimized in our laboratory.

3. To prepare the DNA-Optifect complexes, add 4μL (~4μg) of mEmerald-LifeAct DNA to 246μL of Opti-MEM reduced serum medium, and gently mix the solution. Dilute 17μL of Optifect transfection reagent with 233μL Opti-MEM, mix gently, and incubate for 5 min at room temperature. Combine the diluted DNA with the diluted Optifect solution, and incubate the mixture for 20 min at room temperature.

4. Add the DNA-Optifect complexes (500μL total volume) to 2 mL of culture medium containing the INS-1 832/13 cells while rocking the 6-well culture plate or 35 mm glass bottom dish. Replace the cell culture medium after 4 h, and incubate the cells for a period of 24 h at 37°C prior to live cell imaging.

3.3 Super-Resolution Live Cell Imaging

3.3.1 TIRF-SIM Microscopy

1. Grow and transfect rat pancreatic beta cells on 25 mm sterilized round coverslips.

2. Turn on the temperature controller, CO₂ regulator, and air pump at least 30 min before starting live cell imaging.

3. Turn on the 488 nm and 560 nm lasers. Set the “relative power” to about 60% for the 488 nm laser, and set the laser power to 250 mW for the 560 nm laser.

4. Apply a drop of immersion oil to the 100×/1.49 NA objective lens, and place sample onto microscope stage. Use epifluorescence microscopy to find the focus and to detect positively transfected cells within the cell population.

5. Switch the light path from the ocular to the camera, select camera mode in the image acquisition software to ensure emissions go to camera, and choose proper sequence mode for the objective to acquire a single color image.

6. Use Multi-Scan mode for multi-channel sequential image acquisition.

7. Set the time lapse as desired and run experiment.

8. Image cells over periods of 30 min at 37 °C for defined time intervals (e.g., 0, 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 min) to monitor actin filament dynamics and microvilli motility in pancreatic beta cells.

9. Reconstruct images and choose a wiener constant between 0.005 and 0.01 (see Note 5).

3.3.2 Enhanced Resolution Confocal Laser Scanning Microscopy (CLSM)

1. Grow and transfect rat pancreatic beta cells in 35 mm glass bottom dishes.

2. Turn on the temperature control at least 1 h before the beginning of imaging. This will allow all components of the microscope and the stage incubator to reach 37 °C. Set the desired CO₂ concentration (usually 5%).
3. Apply a drop of immersion oil to the 63×/1.4 NA objective lens, and place the 35 mm glass bottom dish in the suitable attachment of the microscope stage.

4. Identify transfected cells within the cell population in the 35 mm glass bottom dish, and find the focus using epifluorescence microscopy. Choose Fluorescence in the Locate tab for opening the dye finder to select a dye for sample localization.

5. Load an existing configuration or use the Smart Setup wizard to set dyes and wavelength(s) using the Acquisition tab. Select the detectors for imaging by choosing between LSM for standard confocal imaging and Airyscan for imaging using the Airyscan 2 detector. Adjust excitation power, diameter of the pinhole in LSM mode, and detector settings in the Channels box.

6. Set the scan area, image zoom, and scan speed in the Acquisition Mode box, and set the Z-stack boundaries in the Z-stack menu box.

7. For imaging of the actin cytoskeleton within the region of interest (ROI), select the size of the scanning area by positioning a box around the object or ROI using the Crop dialog box.

8. Set the time-lapse conditions such as interval and total number of scans for the live cell imaging using the Time Series dialog box and start the experiment.

3.3.3 Image Processing and Analysis

We used the FIJI plugins TrackMate [6] and Kappa [7] for quantitative analysis of the cortical actin cytoskeleton and movement of microvilli. We developed a workflow for actin network density quantification to regularize the processing and analysis steps (see Subheading “Quantification of Actin Filament Network Density”).

Automated Tracking of Motile Microvilli Using TrackMate

1. Open a time-lapse sequence in FIJI and choose TrackMate from the Plugins menu.

2. Adjust brightness or contrast of the image using the Adjust Brightness/Contrast dialog box or Auto enhance function from the Image menu.

3. Set the Time interval in the Calibration settings menu (Fig. 6a, left panel).

4. Select the LoG (Laplacian of Gaussian) detector from the drop-down list.

5. Define the Estimated blob diameter and set Threshold in the LoG detector settings. Check the Use Median Filter box. Preview the current settings (Fig. 6a, middle panel).

6. Set the Initial Thresholding on the Quality feature to restrict the number of spots.
7. Select the HyperStack Displayer.
8. Set Filters on spots, e.g., color, intensity, signal/noise, diameter.
9. Choose Simple Linear Assignment Problem (LAP) Tracker from the Select a Tracker menu and set the parameters for the LAP Tracker (Fig. 6a, right panel).
10. Set Filters on tracks, e.g., velocity, track displacement, distance traveled.

11. Select TrackScheme and Analysis from the Display Options menu to display all tracks (Fig. 6b) and to obtain data on track statistics including displacement, distance traveled, and velocity (Fig. 6c).
12. Select Capture Overlay and Execute to create a new window displaying all microvilli and their corresponding tracks (Fig. 6a, right panel).

13. *See Note 6* for download and installation instructions.

---

Curvature Analysis of Cortical Actin Bundles Using B-Splines

1. Open an image from the hard drive or an active image from FIJI using the File menu in the Kappa plugin (Fig. 7a).
2. Adjust brightness or contrast of the image using the Adjust Brightness/Contrast dialog box or Auto enhance function from the Image menu.
3. Use the Zoom tool icons to zoom-in or zoom-out the image.
4. Choose B-Spline from the Curve Input Type box in the Curve Input Options menu (Fig. 7a, right menu).
5. Select Open from the B-Spline Type box in the Curve Input Options menu (Fig. 7a, right menu).
6. Select the Control Point tool from the Tools menu to enter control points on the entire length of individual actin bundles (Fig. 7b, panels 1 and 2).
7. Press Enter to create a curve along individual actin bundles (Fig. 7b, panels 1 and 2).
8. Adjust curves by using the Fit Curve tool from the Tools menu. Choose the Curve Fitting Options dialog box to select between individual RGB channels, the Thresholded Radius, and Region and Data Points.
9. Use the Selection tool from the Tool menu to switch between individual B-spline curves.
10. Save curves using the Save Curve Data option from the File menu.
11. Export curve data as .csv files using the Export panel.
12. *See Note 7* for download and installation instructions.
Quantification of Actin Filament Network Density

1. Open a TIRF-SIM image in FIJI using the File menu.
2. Adjust brightness or contrast of the image using the Adjust Brightness/Contrast dialog box or Auto enhance function from the Image menu (Fig. 8a).
3. Choose a ROI and apply the morphological filter GreyscaleErode from the Morphology plugin in the Plugins menu. Check the White Foreground box (Fig. 8a) (see Note 8).
4. Apply the segmentation filter Tubeness [23] from the Analyze menu in the Plugins menu. Adjust brightness and contrast with the Auto enhance function (see step 2) (Fig. 8a).
5. Create an 8-bit image by changing the image Type from the Image menu.
6. Skeletonize the 8-bit image using the Skeletonize2D/3D plugin (Fig. 8a).
7. Analyze the skeletonized image using the Analyze Skeleton2D/3D from the Analyze menu (Fig. 8a).
8. For quantitative data of the actin filament network density, the number of branches and junctions per unit area was calculated (Fig. 8b).

4 Notes

1. Rat insulinoma cell line (INS-1 832/13) is widely used as beta cell model for diabetes research and to study insulin secretion. This cell line can be obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.
2. The Optifect transfection reagent from Invitrogen is designed for lipid-based transfections and should be used for low-density cell cultures (10–70% confluence) or cells that are sensitive to other commercially available transfection reagents because of its reduced toxicity.
3. HHMI Janelia offers the design for constructing a TIRF-SIM imaging system for free for Non-Profit Research by downloading designs at Flintbox (see link below) and rights and designs available for Commercial License https://hhmi.flintbox.com/technologies/ab07753a-d138-4946-a180-5dac7aa21cf3.
4. An article published in JOVE [24] provides another guide to build a TIRF-SIM microscope that is capable of imaging up to 10 Hz in three colors at a 100 nm resolution.
5. A Wiener constant of 0.01 should be used for TIRF-SIM image reconstruction. This constant should be increased to reduce the noise or lower the contrast of acquired TIRF-SIM images.
6. The TrackMate source code for download is available at https://github.com/fiji/TrackMate/releases/tag/
TrackMate_–4.0.0. The TrackMate manual for download can be found at https://imagej.net/File:TrackMate-manual.pdf.

6. The Kappa source code for download and installation instructions are available at https://github.com/brouhardlab/Kappa.

7. The download for morphological filtering such as erosion and dilation, morphological reconstruction, and watershed segmentation for 2D/3D images can be found at https://github.com/ijpb/MorphoLibJ/.
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Chapter 2

Imaging Cytoskeleton Components by Electron Microscopy

Tatyana Svitkina

Abstract

The cytoskeleton is a complex of detergent-insoluble components of the cytoplasm playing critical roles in cell motility, shape generation, and mechanical properties of a cell. Fibrillar polymers—actin filaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments—are major constituents of the cytoskeleton, which constantly change their organization during cellular activities. The actin cytoskeleton is especially polymorphic, as actin filaments can form multiple higher-order assemblies performing different functions. Structural information about cytoskeleton organization is critical for understanding its functions and mechanisms underlying various forms of cellular activity. Because of the nanometer-scale thickness of cytoskeletal fibers, electron microscopy (EM) is a key tool to determine the structure of the cytoskeleton.

This article describes application of rotary shadowing (or platinum replica) EM (PREM) for visualization of the cytoskeleton. The procedure is applicable to thin cultured cells growing on glass coverslips and consists of detergent extraction (or mechanical “unroofing”) of cells to expose their cytoskeleton, chemical fixation to provide stability, ethanol dehydration and critical point drying to preserve three-dimensionality, rotary shadowing with platinum to create contrast, and carbon coating to stabilize replicas. This technique provides easily interpretable three-dimensional images, in which individual cytoskeletal fibers are clearly resolved and individual proteins can be identified by immunogold labeling. More importantly, PREM is easily compatible with live cell imaging, so that one can correlate the dynamics of a cell or its components, e.g., expressed fluorescent proteins, with high-resolution structural organization of the cytoskeleton in the same cell.

Key words Electron microscopy, Cytoskeleton, Critical point drying, Rotary shadowing, Actin, Microtubules, Immunogold, Correlative microscopy

1 Introduction

Electron microscopy (EM) has been instrumental in discovering the cytoskeleton in the first place and also in investigating its structural organization in different cells and conditions. The initial progress in the cytoskeletal studies closely paralleled the development of EM techniques. Thus, the introduction of heavy metal fixation led to the discovery of actin filaments in non-muscle cells [1], while the discovery of microtubules [2] was made possible after the introduction of aldehyde fixation [3].
A great value of EM is its ability to obtain structural information at a high-resolution level, which for biological samples is limited by a sample preparation procedure rather than by the power of a transmission electron microscope (TEM). Vacuum in the TEM column and electron beam irradiation impose strict restrictions on how samples should be prepared, which in turn greatly affect the quality of images and the rate of success. A large number of different EM protocols have been developed over the years to improve the quality of samples and the amount of collected information and to avoid artifacts. Each technique has its pluses and minuses, making it more suitable for some applications than for others.

The thin sectioning technique was initially a dominant way to visualize the cytoskeleton [4, 5]. It involves the embedding of chemically fixed specimens into a resin followed by thin sectioning to allow for beam penetration. Contrast is generated by positive staining of the sections with heavy metal salts, and the limited ability of stains to bind bioorganic material reduces the resolution of this technique. Thin sections provide a 2D view of the sample at a single plane, and a series of sections is required to retrieve the 3D information. Such reconstruction works well with relatively large and simple objects but is not efficient in revealing the details of complex and delicately organized cytoskeletal structures. Among cytoskeleton components, actin filaments are notoriously difficult to preserve and visualize by thin section EM. Although actin filament bundles can be reasonably well visualized in plastic-embedded samples after appropriate fixation, delicate actin filament networks, such as those in lamellipodia, in the bulk cytoplasm, or at the surface of membrane organelles, remain largely uninterpretable even in best examples [6–9].

Different versions of whole mount EM have been used to investigate the structural organization of the cytoskeleton in its entirety. Thus, the structural arrangement of actin filaments in lamellipodia was first visualized by the negative staining EM of cultured cells [10]. In this technique, partially permeabilized cells growing on EM grids are immersed into a heavy metal stain solution, which is blotted off shortly after, and the samples are dried in open air. The dried stain generates a dark amorphous background on which the structures appear as translucent shapes. Negative staining EM provides high resolution and allows one to see the thin regions of a cell all the way through. In combination with electron tomography, this approach can successfully reveal structural organization of branched actin filament networks [11–13]—the cytoskeleton structures that are particularly challenging for high-resolution structural studies. Weaknesses of the negative staining EM include partial sample flattening during air drying, relatively low contrast, and low stability of the samples. In cryo EM technique, the samples are quickly frozen (to prevent ice crystal
formation) and viewed while still embedded in amorphous ice, either as whole mounts or after cryosectioning, so that they remain hydrated and the proteins retain their natural conformation [14–16]. To view frozen samples, a TEM should be equipped with a chilled sample holder, and electron beam power and the observation time should be minimized to keep the specimen frozen. No contrasting procedures are used in this technique except for the specimen’s own contrast. Because of low intrinsic contrast and sensitivity of samples to irradiation, cryo EM has been most useful for analyses of samples suitable for averaging, such as purified macromolecules [16, 17]. Application of cryo EM to intact cells is challenging due to limited opportunity of applying averaging approaches, although subtomogram averaging has been successfully used for imaging of a few suitable subcellular structures [14]. Cryo EM, especially in combination with electron tomography, can image only small regions of the cell due to lengthy image acquisition and sample damage during imaging, so that a larger context of the imaged region is often unavailable. Another limitation of cryoEM is its incompatibility with immunochemistry [18]. Because of higher intrinsic contrast of lipids, membrane organelles are more permissive for cryo EM analyses in intact cells [19–24], as compared to purely proteinaceous structures, such as the cytoskeleton. Among cytoskeletal components, microtubules are more detectable by cryo EM [25–27] than actin filaments, while actin filament bundles are easier to visualize and analyze [28, 29] than branched actin networks [30, 31].

In metal replica EM, heavy metals are evaporated onto a 3D sample at an angle, which reveals its surface topography [32, 33]. The quality of the samples is greatly enhanced if rotary, and not unilateral, coating is used, as it helps to avoid deep featureless shadows. As metal coating is not cohesive, it is subsequently stabilized by a layer of carbon, which keeps metal grains together and is fairly transparent for the electron beam. The coated sample, or just a metal-carbon replica, is subsequently removed from its original support and placed onto EM grids. The resolution of replica EM is quite high, but it depends on the metal grain size, the thickness of the coating, and the angle of shadowing. Platinum is the most popular metal, as it provides a good compromise between the grain size and an ease of evaporation. The replica technique was initially introduced to study freeze-fractured samples [34], but it is applicable for a large range of samples, such as single molecules [35–37], cells [33, 38–48], and tissues [49–51]. This approach can reveal the 3D structure in great detail, but it is limited by the depth of shadowing penetration.

Given that PREM (platinum replica electron microscopy) reveals only the sample surface, analyses of the cytoskeleton can be accomplished only after the cytoskeleton is exposed to metal shadowing. This is typically achieved by detergent extraction, which reproducibly exposes the entire cytoskeleton of the cell.
For best results, the extraction conditions need to be carefully adjusted to properly preserve the cytoskeleton architecture. Non-ionic detergent Triton X-100 is most commonly used to dissolve cell membranes, whereas preservation of the cytoskeleton relies on the composition of the buffer and is improved by various stabilizing supplements, such as phalloidin for actin filaments, taxol for microtubules, and nonspecific stabilizers polyethylen glycol or glutaraldehyde \[33, 46, 52–54\]. Since detergent extraction dissolves all cellular membranes, analyses of the membrane-associated cytoskeleton are typically accomplished using mechanical rupture of the cell (unroofing), which can expose cell interior without dissolving membranes. In two main unroofing approaches employed for cytoskeleton studies, the mechanical impact is delivered either through an ultrasonic burst \[44, 47, 55–57\] or by applying an adhesive material to the cell surface and subsequently peeling it off \[48, 57–61\]. Mechanical rupture methods are not highly reproducible, but successful samples excellently reveal interactions between the cytoskeleton and membrane organelles at high resolution.

In most cells, the cytoskeleton is heavily dominated by actin filaments, which largely conceal other cytoskeletal components, such as microtubules, intermediate filaments, myosin II bipolar filaments, etc. Such cytoskeleton components can be uncovered by treating the chemically or mechanically exposed cytoskeletons with the actin-severing protein gelsolin, which dissolves actin filaments without perturbing non-actin structures \[53, 62–65\]. For our studies of the cytoskeleton in cultured cells, we chose the PREM approach, in which either detergent extraction or unroofing is used to expose the cytoskeleton; chemical fixation helps to preserve the sample structure; ethanol dehydration followed by critical point drying (CPD) preserves the cell’s 3D organization; and rotary shadowing with platinum creates contrast. Over the years, we have found a good combination of individual steps to develop a reliable and relatively simple protocol that consistently produces highly informative images with excellent yield that can be combined with immunochemistry \[33, 38, 39, 42, 48, 53, 54, 62, 66, 67\]. However, this approach is not universal but is limited to relatively thin samples attached to glass surfaces. Also, because of extensive fixation and dehydration, it can achieve the molecular level of resolution only for very large molecules, such as myosin II \[62\], plectin \[63\], or spectrin \[68\], but is optimal for analyses of the fine cytoskeletal architecture with a single filament resolution at the scale of a whole cell.

As EM, in general, cannot work with live samples, investigators can only guess the kind of activity the cell was involved in at the moment of fixation and what it would do next. A partial solution for this problem is provided by correlative light and EM (CLEM), in which the dynamics of a living cell is followed by time-lapse
optical imaging, and the same sample is subsequently analyzed by EM. Our PREM protocol made it possible to perform CLEM routinely, as it allowed us to obtain high-quality structural information for a cell of interest with high probability [38, 46, 48, 53, 54, 66]. Other labs also successfully used CLEM in combination with PREM using similar or slightly modified protocols [43, 47, 69, 70]. Several other EM techniques have also been used in a correlative approach [15], including resin-embedded samples [21, 71–75], cryoEM [28, 29, 31], and negatively contrasted cells [12, 76].

In this article, I present detailed protocols for PREM of the cytoskeleton and its extensions, such as immunogold labeling, correlative PREM, and actin depletion by gelsolin. Given that the key steps ensuring high quality of PREM samples are the same for the cytoskeletal preparations prepared by detergent extraction and by unroofing, only detergent-extracted cells are used here as an example. However, mechanically ruptured cells prepared by various unroofing protocols are also compatible with these procedures [48, 56, 57].

2 Materials

2.1 Cell Culture and Extraction

1. Small (6–12 mm) coverslips that can be made by cutting regular coverslips with a diamond pencil. Trapezoidal (square with one oblique side) coverslips lacking mirror symmetry are helpful to easily determine the cell-containing side. Commercially available 12 mm round coverslips are also acceptable (see Note 1).

2. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\)(commercially available).

3. PEM buffer: 100 mM PIPES (free acid), pH 6.9 (adjust with KOH), 1 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 1 mM EGTA. Make working buffer from \(2\times\) stock solution, which can be stored up to 1 month at 4 °C (see Note 2).

4. Extraction solution: 1% Triton X-100 in PEM buffer supplemented (optionally) with 1–4% polyethylene glycol (PEG) (MW 20,000–40,000), 2–10 μM phalloidin, and/or 2–10 μM taxol (paclitaxel) (see Note 3). Use a stirrer and allow 15–20 min to dissolve PEG. Extraction solutions can be stored for up to 3 days at 4 °C, but phalloidin and taxol should be added before use. Stock solutions (1000×) of phalloidin and taxol are made in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at −20 °C in aliquots.

2.2 Fixation

1. Glutaraldehyde solution: 2% glutaraldehyde (EM grade, Sigma) in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate, pH 7.3. The working
solution can be stored at 4 °C for up to 1 week, 2× stock solution of sodium cacodylate is stable at 4 °C. Caution: Glutaraldehyde is toxic and volatile, so a fume hood should be used when working; sodium cacodylate is toxic.

2. Tannic acid solution: 0.1% tannic acid (Fisher) in distilled water (see Note 4). Use within a day.

3. Aqueous uranyl acetate solution: 0.2% uranyl acetate in distilled water. Use a stirrer to dissolve. Store at room temperature. Caution: Uranyl acetate is toxic.

2.3 Dehydration and Critical Point Drying (CPD)

1. Graded ethanol solutions: 10%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% ethanol in distilled water.

2. Alcohol uranyl acetate solution: 0.2% uranyl acetate in 100% ethanol. Use a stirrer to dissolve. Use within a day. Caution: Uranyl acetate is toxic.

3. Dehydrated ethanol: Wash molecular sieves (4 Å, 8–12 mesh) (Fisher) with several changes of water to remove dust. Dry in the air, bake at 160 °C overnight, cool down, and add to a bottle of 100% ethanol (~50 g per 500 mL). Seal with Parafilm and store at room temperature. Do not shake, as the beads are fragile and easily generate dust.

4. CPD sample holder and scaffolds: A holder with a lid and two scaffolds are homemade with a stainless-steel wire mesh. The holder should fit into the chamber of the CPD apparatus. A scaffold is required to maintain the holder above the stirring bar during stirring. It should fit a beaker in which dehydration will be processed, e.g., a 50 mL glass beaker.

5. CPD device: We use Samdri PVT-3D (Tousimis) CPD with manual operation, but other devices are also appropriate.

6. Carbon dioxide: Use liquid dehydrated CO₂ (bone dry grade) in a tank with a siphon (deep tube) and a water and oil-absorbing filter (Tousimis). Siphonized tanks make it possible to take the liquid phase of CO₂ from the bottom of the tank.

2.4 Platinum and Carbon Coating

1. Vacuum evaporator: We use Auto 306 coater (Boc Edwards) equipped with a water-cooled diffusion pumping system, carbon and metal evaporation sources, a rotary stage, and a thickness monitor.

2. Metals for evaporation (Ted Pella): Tungsten wire (0.76 mm), platinum wire (0.2 mm), and carbon rods (3 mm).

2.5 Preparation of Replicas

1. Hydrofluoric acid (HF): 5–10% HF in distilled water is prepared from the concentrated acid (49%). Do not use glassware to handle acid-containing solutions. Caution: It is
extremely volatile and toxic; it causes severe skin burns. Use a fume hood and wear gloves.

2. Platinum loop: The optimal loop diameters are 3–5 mm.

3. EM grids: Formvar-coated EM grids with low mesh size (e.g., 50) to provide a large viewing area. Other options are acceptable.

### 2.6 Immunogold PREM

1. Immunogold buffer: 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, and 0.05% Tween 20. For dilution of antibodies, the buffer is supplemented with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA); for washing, 0.1% BSA is added. Stock solutions (5×) are stable at 4 °C for several months. Sodium azide can be added to the stock solution to prevent microbial contamination. **Caution:** Sodium azide is toxic.

2. Quenching solution: 2 mg/mL sodium borohydrate (NaBH₄) in PBS. Use immediately.

3. Blocking solution: 1 mg/mL glycine (or lysine) in PBS. Stable at 4 °C.

### 2.7 Correlative PREM

1. Marked coverslips: Homemade coverslips with reference marks are prepared by evaporating gold through a finder grid placed in the middle of a 22 × 22 square or 25 mm round coverslip. A variety of finder grids are available commercially. Baking (160 °C overnight) of gold-coated coverslips is necessary for the firm adhesion of gold to glass (**see Note 5**). For light microscopy, choose cells on the clear footprint of the finder grid.

2. Light microscopy: The light microscopic system should be equipped with an environmental chamber to maintain normal cell behavior; it should allow for fast exchange of culture medium to extraction solution to quickly stop cellular activity during imaging. A simple option is to use open dishes on a heated stage with the cells growing in a bicarbonate-free medium. We use UNO Stage Top Incubator from Okolab, which has an easily removable chamber lid.

### 2.8 Actin Depletion

1. Gelsolin buffer: 50 mM MES-KOH, pH 6.3, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 0.5 mM DTT.

2. Gelsolin: dialyze 0.1–0.2 mg/ml of gelsolin against gelsolin buffer. Both full-length gelsolin [62, 77, 78] and recombinant Ca²⁺-independent gelsolin fragment [46, 63, 65] can be used for actin depletion.
3 Methods

In a basic form, PREM can be used to study the cytoskeleton architecture in a cell population. In an advanced form, it can be combined with immunogold staining to detect specific proteins in the cytoskeleton (Fig. 1), with light microscopy to correlate the cytoskeleton organization with cell behavior or with the distribution and dynamics of fluorescent probes (Figs. 1 and 2) and with actin depletion to fully reveal the organization of microtubules, intermediate filaments, and myosin II filaments (Fig. 3). The basic PREM procedure consisting of detergent extraction, fixation, dehydration, CPD, metal shadowing, and preparation of replicas is described first, and it is followed by the description of the advanced applications.

A major source of artifacts in PREM is the failure to perform a genuine CPD, which may occur if wet samples are transiently exposed to air, or water is not fully exchanged to ethanol or ethanol to liquid CO₂, or if the dried samples absorb ambient humidity. In order to get a high-quality preparation, it is critical not to allow a liquid-gas interface to touch the samples at any point during the procedure. Practically, it means keeping the cells away from air while they are wet and away from water while they are dry. Changes of solutions need to be done quickly, with a layer of liquid always being retained above the cells. After drying, the cells should be kept at low humidity until they are coated with carbon.

3.1 Cell Culture and Extraction

Detergent extraction is used to expose the internal cytoskeletal structures, while the carrier buffer is designed to maximally preserve them until fixation. Additional preservation may be achieved using specific and nonspecific stabilizers.

1. Put small glass coverslips into a culture dish and plate the cells. Cell culture conditions are specific for each system and are not discussed here. If several coverslips are placed into the same dish, make sure that they do not overlap and that there are no bubbles underneath.

2. When the cells are ready, gently remove the culture medium from the dish either (a) using a pipette, or (b) by pouring it out, or (c) by taking a coverslip out with forceps and placing it into the next solution; quickly rinse with the pre-warmed to 37 °C PBS (see Note 6).

3. Immediately, but gently, add extraction solution equilibrated to room temperature to the dish with coverslips (or place the coverslip into another dish with the extraction solution if the coverslip was taken out); gently stir the dish with the extraction solution to ensure that the detergent instantly reaches all the cells (see Note 7). Incubate for 3–5 min at room temperature.
4. Rinse cells with PEM buffer two to three times, for a few seconds each time, at room temperature (see Note 8).

3.2 Fixation

Chemical fixation provides cytoskeletal structures with physical resistance against damage by subsequent procedures, especially
Fig. 2 Correlative fluorescence microscopy and PREM of cultured B16F1 mouse melanoma cell expressing EGFP-capping protein. (a) Map showing position of the cell (number 9 in a circle) relative to the reference marks on the coverslip. (b) Fluorescence image of the cell showing localization of EGFP-capping protein to the edge of lamellipodia and puncta in lamella. (c) Phase contrast image of the same cell. (d) Low magnification PREM image of the same cell. Box indicates a region enlarged in (e). (e) High magnification PREM of the boxed region from (d) showing actin filament bundle in a filopodium in the center and dense branched network of actin filaments in lamellipodia. Inset shows the same region by fluorescence microscopy. Bright fluorescence corresponds to lamellipodia, while the dim region (arrow) corresponds to the filopodium. Scale bars: 5μm (b–d), 200 nm (e)
dehydration and CPD. It is a three-step procedure using different fixatives: glutaraldehyde, tannic acid, and uranyl acetate.

1. After the last PEM rinse, add glutaraldehyde solution and incubate for at least 20 min at room temperature. If necessary, the samples can be stored at this stage at 4 °C for up to 3 weeks. Take care to prevent evaporation during storage.

2. Transfer coverslips to another container with tannic acid solution, or change solutions in the same dish (see Note 9). Make sure that the cells remain covered with liquid during transfer. No washing is necessary before this step. Incubate for 20 min at room temperature.

3. Take the coverslips out of the tannic acid solution one by one, rinse by dipping sequentially into two water-filled beakers, and place in a new plate with distilled water. Do not keep the coverslips out of the solution longer than necessary. Incubate for 5 min (see Note 10).

4. Take the coverslips out of the water one by one, rinse twice again by dipping into water, and place in a new plate with aqueous uranyl acetate solution. Avoid drying during transfer. Incubate for 20 min at room temperature.

Fig. 3 PREM of the cytoskeleton of a cultured PtK1 potoroo epithelial cell after depletion of actin filaments by gelsolin treatment. Image shows a peripheral cell region containing two large focal adhesions (arrows). Abundant myosin II filaments, a subset of which is highlighted in yellow, accumulate along the actin-depleted stress fibers extending away from focal adhesions, while some individual myosin II filaments can be seen elsewhere in the cytoplasm. Exposed microtubules (red), intermediate filaments (blue), and clathrin-coated vesicles (green) are also visible. Scale bar: 500 nm
3.3 Dehydration and CPD

Drying of the samples is necessary to expose the surfaces for metal coating in a vacuum. However, plain drying in the open air generates major structural distortions. When the liquid-gas interface passes through the samples, the forces of the surface tension that are enormous at the cellular scale flatten the samples. During CPD, the temperature and pressure of a liquid are raised above its critical point, at which the phase boundary and surface tension do not exist. In this state, the liquid can well be considered as compressed gas. When the pressure is released, the samples remain dry with the 3D organization intact because they never experienced the surface tension (see Note 11). Carbon dioxide has reasonably low values of critical point pressure and temperature that can be tolerated by biological samples. However, a direct transfer of the samples from water to CO₂ is not possible, and ethanol, which is freely miscible with both water and CO₂, is used as an intermediate. For dehydration and CPD, the coverslips are stacked in the sample holder with pieces of lens tissue as spacers and processed simultaneously.

1. Cut lens tissue with loosely arranged fibers into pieces fitting the size of the CPD sample holder or a little larger (see Note 12).

2. Place the CPD sample holder into a wide container with distilled water. Put a piece of lens tissue at the bottom of the holder, place a coverslip with the cell side up on the lens tissue, and cover with another piece of lens tissue. Continue loading the coverslips into the holder, alternating them with pieces of lens tissue. All loading should be done under water. Make sure that the coverslips are minimally exposed to air during loading. Do not overload the holder, as it will interfere with the exchange of solutions (see Note 13). Keep track of sample identity based on its position in the holder.

3. Put a stirrer bar into a 50 mL glass beaker, place a scaffold over it, and add 10% ethanol in the amount sufficient to cover the CPD holder. Quickly transfer the CPD holder with samples from the water-filled container into the beaker. Place the beaker on a magnetic stirrer, and stir for at least 5 min.

4. Prepare another beaker with a stirrer bar and a scaffold and add 20% ethanol. Transfer the CPD holder from the first beaker into the second, and stir for at least 5 min.

5. Continue dehydration by transferring the CPD holder sequentially through the remaining graded ethanol solutions, at least 5 min in each: 40%, 60%, 80%, and 100% (twice). Alternate the two beakers with their scaffolds and stirrer bars (see Note 14).
6. Place the CPD holder into a beaker with 0.2% uranyl acetate in ethanol to fully cover it. Incubate for 20 min. Stirring is not necessary.

7. Transfer the CPD holder through beakers with 100% ethanol (twice) and dehydrated 100% ethanol dried over a molecular sieve (twice), as in steps 3–5. Stir for at least 5 min in each.

8. Fill the chamber of the CPD apparatus with dehydrated ethanol, just sufficient to cover the CPD holder. Place the holder in the ethanol and close the lid. Operate the CPD according to the manufacturer’s instructions or following the procedure below (see Note 15).

9. Open the CO₂ tank. Cool down the CPD chamber to 10–15 °C to keep the CO₂ in a liquid state. Maintain this temperature until the heating step (see Note 16).

10. Open the inlet valve on the CPD to allow the CO₂ to fill the chamber. If the device is equipped with a magnetic stirrer, turn it on and keep it running till the heating step. Wait for 5 min. This is a mixing step, during which the ethanol in the chamber, the sample holder, and the samples are equilibrated with the liquid CO₂ from the tank.

11. With the inlet valve still open, open the outlet valve slightly until you clearly see that the liquid exchange is happening in the chamber, but do not allow the level of the liquid to go below the top of the sample holder. Wait for 30 s. This is a washing step, when the ethanol–CO₂ mixture is released from the chamber and replaced with pure CO₂ from the tank. If the CPD is not equipped with a magnetic stirrer, shake the CPD manually during the washing to mix the chamber contents better (see Note 17).

12. Close the outlet valve. Wait for 5 min.

13. Repeat steps 11 and 12 nine more times (total of ten washes). After the last wash, wait until the chamber is completely filled with CO₂; that may take less than 5 min.

14. Close both the inlet and outlet valves and turn on the heat. As the chamber is isolated, heating will raise both the temperature and the pressure. Wait until both parameters exceed the critical values for CO₂ (critical pressure = 1072 psi or 73 atm, critical temperature = 31 °C) and reach values of ~1250 psi (85 atm) and ~40 °C. If one value is reached sooner than the other, maintain the former at a steady-state level by turning the heater or the outlet valve on and off until both the values are reached.

15. Open the outlet valve slightly to slowly release the pressure until it reaches the atmospheric pressure. It should take about 10 min (see Note 18).

16. Open the CPD chamber, remove the sample holder and immediately put it into a desiccator.
3.4 Platinum and Carbon Coating

Platinum shadowing generates the contrast of the samples. The angle and the thickness of the coating are critical parameters influencing the quality of the image. Lower angles provide higher contrast but do not penetrate deep into the sample. Thinner coats provide higher resolution, but lower contrast. For cellular studies, we shadow platinum at a ~45° angle with rotation to achieve a ~2 nm thickness of the coat, which is controlled by the thickness monitor. Carbon is applied from the top of the samples with a thickness of 3.5–5 nm. The basic steps of coating are listed below. Use the equipment manual for detailed operation.

1. Open the coating chamber of the vacuum evaporator. Load the evaporation materials, platinum and carbon. Adjust the angles of coating.

2. Mount the samples onto the rotary stage of the vacuum evaporator using a double-sided tape. This will prevent the dislodging of the samples during rotation (see Note 19). To prevent damage of the samples by ambient humidity, perform mounting as quickly as possible (see Note 20).

3. Pump down the coating chamber till ~5 × 10⁻⁶ mbar.

4. Turn on the stage rotation, shadow with platinum (2 nm) and then with carbon (3.5–5 nm) (see Note 21).

5. Vent the coating chamber. Remove the samples together with the mounting tape and place in a Petri dish. The samples can be safely stored at ambient conditions at this stage.

3.5 Preparation of Replicas

The release of the replicas from the coverslips is achieved by floating the coverslips onto the surface of hydrofluoric acid solution, which dissolves glass. After that, the replicas are washed and mounted on EM grids.

1. While the coverslips are still attached to the sticky tape, scratch the coated surface of each coverslip with a needle or a razor blade to make regions fitting the size of an EM grid.

2. Fill the wells of a 12-well plate with ~10% HF almost to the top, which makes replica handling easier.

3. Detach a coverslip from the sticky tape and place it on the surface of the HF solution, so that the coverslip remains floating. Wait until the glass sinks, leaving the platinum replica floating. The replica will fall apart along the scratches made in step 1 (see Note 22).

4. Fill the wells of another 12-well plate with distilled water, and add a trace amount of a detergent to decrease the surface tension of water. Stock solution of the detergent is prepared by dissolving ~1 drop of detergent in ~20 mL of water. To make a working solution, dip a platinum loop into the stock
solution, take it out (this will create a film on the loop), and dip the loop into a water-filled well. The final concentration of detergent is \(10^{-6}\%\) (see Note 23).

5. Using a platinum loop, transfer the replica pieces onto the surface of the detergent-containing water. Wait for 1 min or more.

6. Fill the wells of another 12-well plate with distilled water without detergent. Transfer the replica pieces onto the surface of the water. Wait for 1 min or more.

7. Pick up the replica pieces onto Formvar-coated EM grids with the lower side of the replica attached to the Formvar film. The technique of replica mounting is similar to the way thin sections are picked up onto grids. Fasten a grid in a pair of forceps, partially submerge the grid into water at a \(45^\circ\) angle, bring the grid close to a piece of replica and allow them to make contact; then, gently pull the grid out of the water making sure that the replica piece remains attached to, and spreads over, the grid (see Note 24). Dry grids.

8. Examine the samples in TEM. Present the images in inverse contrast (as negatives) because it gives a more natural view of the structure, as if illuminated with scattered light.

### 3.6 Immunogold PREM

Structural information has much greater value if the identity of the structures is known. Immunostaining is a conventional way to identify cellular components. For EM purposes, the antibodies are labeled with electron-dense markers. A popular marker, colloidal gold, has a higher electron density than platinum and thus is appropriate for PREM. For successful immunogold PREM, a primary antibody should work after glutaraldehyde fixation, which optimally preserves the structure (see Note 25).

1. After glutaraldehyde fixation (Subheading 3.2, step 1), wash the samples with three changes of PBS. Incubate for at least 5 min in the last change.

2. Quench samples with NaBH\(_4\) in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Shake off the bubbles occasionally. Rinse with PBS as in step 1.

3. Incubate with blocking solution for 20 min at room temperature.

4. Apply primary antibody at concentration giving bright staining by light microscopy. Incubate for 30–45 min at room temperature. Wash with PBS as in step 1.

5. Rinse once in immunogold buffer with 0.1% BSA. Apply gold-conjugated secondary antibody diluted \(1:10\) in immunogold buffer with 1% BSA. Incubate overnight at room temperature in a sealed container in moist conditions (see Note 26).
6. Rinse in immunogold buffer with 0.1% BSA as in step 1, and perform the remaining steps starting from Subheading 3.2, step 1 (see Note 27).

### 3.7 Correlative Light Microscopy and PREM (CLEM)

CLEM combines the advantages of both microscopic techniques, namely, the high spatial resolution of EM and the high temporal resolution of optical live imaging. In this procedure, the cell dynamics is recorded by light microscopy, and then the same cell is analyzed by EM. The correlative analysis is extremely important from at least two points of view: to control for potential artifacts and to establish functional connections between the cytoskeletal organization and the cell’s motile behavior or the dynamics of cytoskeletal components [79, 80]. Modifications of the basic procedure as required for correlative PREM are described below.

   (a) Grow cells in dishes with marked coverslips mounted over a hole in the bottom of the dish. To make a dish, drill a 18 mm hole in the bottom of a 35 mm dish; polish the edges to remove any burrs; apply a thin layer of vacuum grease just outside the edges of the hole; place a marked coverslip symmetrically over the hole with the coated side facing up; and press firmly to spread the grease until it forms a clear circle and all the air bubbles are gone (see Note 28).
   (b) While imaging a region of interest, mark its position on a map with a pattern of reference marks.
   (c) Because of the large difference in the resolution of light microscopy and PREM, perform light microscopy at the highest possible resolution.
   (d) PREM is able to reveal even minor photodamage, not recognizable at the light microscopic level; therefore, keep the illumination of the samples to a minimum.

2. Extraction: Change the culture medium to extraction solution as soon as possible after the acquisition of the last live image, and take another image after extraction. It will serve as a reference to correlate the light and PREM images.

3. Fixation: Perform all the fixation and washing steps with the marked coverslips still attached to the dishes, by exchanging solutions.

4. Dehydration: Before loading the coverslips into the CPD sample holder, excise the central marked area of the coverslip as following:
   (a) Wipe away the immersion oil from the bottom of the dish using dry cotton swabs first, followed by ethanol-soaked swabs.
(b) Detach the marked coverslip from the bottom of the dish, and immediately place it into a water-filled 100 mm Petri dish.

(c) Lightly press down the coverslip to allow the vacuum grease on the underside to secure the coverslip in the dish. Make sure that grease does not contaminate the region of interest.

(d) Use a diamond pencil as a cutter and a razor blade as a ruler to cut off the greasy margins of the coverslip. Do not press the pencil hard, but instead make several light cuts along the same line, guided by the razor blade, until the margin detaches. Move it out of the way and cut off another margin. This procedure should be done with the coverslip completely submerged in the water (see Note 29). When done, transfer the excised central region to another water-filled container. Use a new dish for the next coverslip, as the remaining glass crumbs may cause shattering of the coverslip.

All subsequent processing, including coating, is performed as in the basic procedure.

5. Preparation of replicas: After the samples are coated, the region of interest needs to be specifically recovered for PREM analysis as follows:

(a) Immobilize a coated coverslip in the middle of a 100 mm Petri dish, with two pieces of double-sided tape positioned under opposite corners of the coverslip, so that the region of interest is not obstructed and the coverslip is not attached too strongly.

(b) Under a dissection microscope, localize the cells of interest using locator marks. Dried and shadowed cells have sufficient contrast for their shape to be seen even at low magnification. If necessary, use a regular light microscope with a low power lens.

(c) Using a razor blade (or a needle), make cuts in the platinum–carbon layer around the region of interest (see Note 30). To facilitate the separation of this region from the rest of the replica after HF treatment, make additional cuts connecting the region of interest with the edges of the coverslip.

(d) Make a drawing on the map to depict the exact shape of the outlined region of interest, which will help to identify it from among the other replica pieces during replica preparation.

(e) Perform the washing and mounting on grids, as described in the basic protocol, handling only the replica piece with
the region of interest. While mounting on a grid, use a
dissection microscope to make sure that the cell of interest
does not go to a grid bar; or use single-hole grids.

### 3.8 Actin Depletion

Depletion of abundant actin filaments from the cytoskeletons by
gelsolin treatment exposes other normally obscured cytoskeletal
structures, thus permitting detailed analyses of their organization
in cells (see Note 31).

1. After detergent extraction (Subheading 3.1, step 4), rinse
coverslips once with gelsolin buffer supplemented with 10
μM taxol to preserve microtubules (see Note 32).

2. Make small drops of gelsolin solution on a piece of Parafilm
placed on the bottom of a Petri dish, one drop per coverslip at
sufficient distances from one another (see Note 33).

3. Place a coverslip onto the gelsolin drop with cell side up and
press the coverslip down to allow the gelsolin solution to
completely cover the coverslip surface. Close the dish and
incubate 1 h at room temperature in moist conditions (see
Note 34).

4. Rinse three times with PEM buffer and proceed to sample
fixation (Subheading 3.2, step 1).

### 4 Notes

1. Small coverslips allow for better exchange of solutions during
dehydration and CPD and thus for better quality of samples at
the end.

2. Stock solutions with a concentration of more than $2 \times$ relative
to the working concentration would change pH significantly
after dilution to the working concentration. Free acid PIPES is
not soluble in water and forms a milky suspension but becomes
soluble upon neutralization. KOH granules can be used for
neutralization initially, until the solution almost clears. How-
ever, remember to allow enough time for the granules to
dissolve before adding more. Finish the pH adjustment with
1 N KOH. KOH is preferable over NaOH because K+-contain-
ing buffers more faithfully imitate the cytoplasm composition.

3. PEG is a nonspecific stabilizer of the cytoskeleton; phalloidin
and taxol are specific stabilizers of actin filaments and micro-
tubules, respectively.

4. Low molecular weight tannic acid (MW ~322), also called
digallic acid, which was originally manufactured by Mallinck-
rodt Inc. under the product number 1764, has been shown to
yield best results in preserving cells structures, including
fibrillar components of the cytoplasm [81] and the actin cytoskeleton, in particular [82], as compared with high molecular weight tannins (≥1400). We are still using the Mallinckrodt brand of low molecular weight tannic acid that was previously offered by Fisher but currently discontinued. Digallic acid is now offered by other companies, e.g., Santa Cruz Biotechnology, and appears to be a valid substitute, although we did not test it.

5. Commercially available etched coverslips are not suitable for PREM, as the etched marks are not visible in TEM.

6. Rinsing with PBS is optional, but if omitted, the extraction solution at the next step should be added in sufficient quantity to overcome the potentially harmful effects from the remaining medium and serum.

7. High viscosity of the extraction solution makes it poorly miscible with PBS or medium, in which cells were submerged in the preceding step. Without stirring, slow diffusion of the detergent would lead to gradual extraction and result in severe cell distortion and cytoskeleton disruption. On the other hand, vigorous stirring would disrupt the cytoskeleton mechanically. Thus, a fine compromise should be found. The choice of the extraction solution depends on a cell type and a goal. For a new experimental system, try different options in preliminary experiments. A basic extraction solution (Triton X-100 in PEM) produces better clarity of the cytoskeleton but makes it easier to damage the cells during extraction. If using this protocol, handle the samples extremely gently, and use phalloidin and taxol to better preserve the actin filaments and microtubules, respectively. The addition of PEG to the extraction solution allows for better preservation of the structure, but it also retains many cytoskeleton-associated components, which may partially obscure the filament arrangement. Such an effect is increased with increasing PEG concentration and molecular weight, but PEGs in the range of 20,000–40,000 act similarly. We typically use 2% PEG (35,000). For extremely fragile and poorly attached cells, low concentrations of glutaraldehyde can be added to the extraction solution as stabilizing supplements. In this case, the detergent and fixative compete with each other, and the results depend on their ratio. The extraction solution containing 0.5% Triton X-100 and 0.25% glutaraldehyde in PEM buffer worked well in our experiments.

8. For PEG-containing extraction solutions, use a longer washing time, at least 1 min in each change. If the stabilizing drugs are used during extraction, add them also to the rinsing buffer in a fourfold to fivefold lower concentration.
9. It is convenient to use a multiwell plate with numbered wells (24-well for 6–8 mm coverslips or 12-well for 9–12 mm coverslips) to transfer the samples. This makes it possible to combine samples from different experiments for PREM processing while keeping parallel samples in the original container as a backup.

10. Uranyl acetate and tannic acid react with each other and form a precipitate. Extensive washing is important to avoid the formation of debris on the samples.

11. Two alternative procedures have been used to dry samples before metal coating: freeze-drying [41, 49, 57, 83–87] and air-drying from an organic solvent hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) [47, 88–90]. Freeze-drying, when successful, results in excellent sample quality but is limited by a low success rate. In some published images of freeze-dried samples, neighboring filaments appear fused suggesting inadequate drying. This problem might arise from insufficient rate of ice sublimation or partial sample melting. Air-drying from HMDS is becoming popular due to its simplicity. As compared with water, drying from HMDS causes less sample distortion because HMDS has low, but not zero, surface tension. Although CPD and HMDS drying are believed to be equally good, direct comparisons of these two procedures were conducted only using microscopy techniques that were insufficient to resolve individual cytoskeletal filaments [91–93]. We directly compared sample quality by PREM of cytoskeletal preparations prepared using either CPD or air-drying from HMDS. We found that the samples were comparable at low and medium magnifications, but at high magnifications required to resolve individual actin filaments, the quality of HDMS-dried samples was clearly inferior. Specifically, in CPD samples, actin filaments had uniform diameters and were clearly distinguishable from one another even in tight bundles. In contrast, in the HDMS-dried samples, actin filaments often fused with each other and with an underlying surface. Similar artifacts can also be seen in published PREM images obtained after HMDS drying.

12. Pieces of lens tissue slightly larger, than the holder’s bottom area, will make minor wrinkles which promote looser packing of the coverslips in the holder and facilitate the liquid exchange.

13. The acceptable number of samples for a load depends on the sizes of both the holder and the coverslips. For an 18 × 12 mm holder and ~7 × 7 mm coverslips, the maximum load is 12. For larger coverslips, the load should be decreased. Larger holders may accept more samples, especially if the coverslips are staggered.
14. It is not necessary to dry the beakers before the next incubation, as the ethanol concentration may not be exact, except for 100% ethanol, when it is better to dry the beakers and scaffolds. Incubation for 5 min is minimal. For larger coverslips or greater loads, increase the incubation time.

15. The process of CPD is most commonly used for scanning EM and production of microelectronics. Consequently, the protocols suggested by the manufacturers or incorporated into automated procedures of CPDs are designed for those applications. PREM, however, is more demanding in terms of sample quality. We adjusted the CPD processing to fully remove all traces of ethanol from the samples before bringing the CO₂ to the critical point; this helps to eliminate minor artifacts that appear as a fusion of closely positioned filaments in the cytoskeleton. The CPD operation described here is applicable to manual CPDs, such as Samdri PVD-3D (Tousimis), which we use in the lab, or to semi-automatic CPDs switched to a manual mode of operation, e.g., Samdri-795 (Tousimis).

16. Lower temperatures are acceptable, but the diffusion of ethanol from the samples will be slower, so longer washing time is needed. Warming up the chamber till the ambient temperature is allowed if the outlet valve of the CPD is closed, and the CO₂ remains pressurized and in liquid form. However, it is important to cool down the chamber back to 15 °C before opening the outlet valve for purging out the ethanol–CO₂ mixture.

17. Letting the liquid level go below the samples will irreversibly damage them. On the other hand, a too low rate of liquid exchange is also a mistake. Adjust the outlet valve to get a steady-state liquid level, about halfway from the top of the holder to the top of the chamber. This will also make the liquid mixing more efficient. Although the shaking step sounds a bit amusing, it does make difference by helping to remove the ethanol from the samples.

18. Fast release of pressure may cause condensation of CO₂ back to liquid state and ruin the dried samples.

19. Conventional Scotch double-sided tape becomes too sticky in a vacuum, preventing the safe detachment of the samples after coating. To avoid this problem, sandwich the double-sided tape between the glued parts of two Post-It notes, with the sticky sides exposed.

20. Humidity in the room should be below 35%; the 35–50% humidity level may be acceptable, but much caution and the speedy mounting of the samples is required; humidity >50% is not acceptable. Try to run a powerful dehumidifier in the latter case.
21. If the evaporator is not equipped with a thickness monitor, the thickness of the coating may be adjusted in the preliminary experiments based on the amount of coating material loaded (for platinum) or used (carbon) for evaporation.

22. To safely float a coverslip, grab it with the forceps from the top for parallel edges, lift the coverslip, and carefully place it onto the liquid surface, keeping it in a horizontal position. Practice first by placing a coverslip onto a clean solid surface. Alternatively, a coverslip can be placed first on a platinum loop bent at 90° angle and then loaded horizontally onto the liquid surface. If the replica does not fall apart along the scratches, use the platinum loop to reach the replica from below, lightly touch it, and pull or shake it to detach it from other pieces. Extreme care should be used not to ruin the replicas with these manipulations.

23. Water has much greater surface tension than HF, which may cause severe replica breakage, if detergent is not added. Test the detergent concentration before applying it to the samples. An overdose of detergent causes shrinkage and even drowning of the replicas. Stock solutions should be changed at least every 2 weeks. Old detergents leave contamination on the samples, looking like semi-transparent films between filaments. Household non-colored detergent, such as Ivory, works fine. Triton X-100 can also be used, but it should be prepared fresh every time.

24. Sometimes, replicas appear to be repelled by the grid, making it difficult to establish the initial contact between a replica piece and a grid. Try to gently guide a piece of replica to the wall of the well to restrict its motility, and then pick it up. However, there is a danger of smashing the replica against the wall with this approach. Treating grids with glow discharge prior to capturing replicas also helps.

25. The efficiency of staining may be improved if the cells are fixed with a lower (e.g., 0.2%) glutaraldehyde concentration before staining. For some antibodies that do not work after glutaraldehyde fixation, it may be possible to stain unfixed samples by incubating them with primary antibodies diluted in PEM with phalloidin and taxol for 10–15 min, then fixing with glutaraldehyde, quenching, washing and staining with a secondary antibody.

26. Gold size of 10–20 nm is optimal for this technique, as smaller particles are poorly visible and larger particles are too disruptive for an image.

27. Second glutaraldehyde fixation helps retaining gold-conjugated secondary antibody during subsequent procedures.
28. The coverslips can be mounted either inside or outside the dish, but inside mounting is more convenient at later stages, when the centerpiece of the coverslip needs to be cut out. For mounting, use a minimal amount of grease, just sufficient to seal the dish; excessive grease causes complications at later stages. Commercially available glass-bottom dishes can also be used, but it may be difficult to remove the coverslip from the bottom for PREM processing.

29. Cutting under water is more difficult than in the air; therefore, use a sharp diamond pencil and avoid glass crumbs.

30. To reduce the effect of shaky hands, hold a razor blade with one hand with the sharp blade corner pointing down; stabilize the blade by putting the index finger of the other hand onto the blunt blade corner pointing up; rest the forearms on the table and the other fingers of both hands on the microscope stage and/or dish edges; keep the blade above the sample and find its unfocused image in the microscope; slowly bring down the sharp corner of the blade until it almost comes to focus; bring the blade corner to a region where a cut is to be made; and under microscope control, bring it down to the sample and make a scratch.

31. It appears that a similar goal of depleting actin filaments could be achieved using actin-depolymerizing drugs, such as latrunculins or cytochalasins. However, these drugs need to be applied to living cells, which severely affects cell physiology and causes multiple structural rearrangements of the cytoskeleton. In contrast, gelsolin is applied to cytoskeletal preparations, not to living cells, and non-actin structures retain their endogenous organization in the course of treatment [46, 64, 65].

32. Taxol should be added to all solutions for preservation of microtubules, because otherwise all microtubules will be destroyed by the Ca\(^{2+}\)-containing gelsolin buffer. If using calcium-independent N-terminal fragment of gelsolin [94], rather than the full length gelsolin, it is possible to use PEM buffer instead of gelsolin buffer as vehicle. Yet, addition of taxol greatly helps in proper preservation of microtubules in either case.

33. Hydrophobic surface of Parafilm prevents gelsolin solution from spreading. The volume of drops depends on the size of coverslips and should be sufficient to cover the coverslip surface.

34. One option to generate moist conditions is to attach a water-soaked filter paper to the lid to the Petri dish, shake off extra water, and close the lid. Another option is to put wet filter paper around the dish periphery.
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Imaging the Actin Cytoskeleton in Live Budding Yeast Cells

Cierra N. Sing, Emily J. Yang, Theresa C. Swayne, Ryo Higuchi-Sanabria, Catherine A. Tsang, Istvan R. Boldogh, and Liza A. Pon

Abstract

Although budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is widely used as a model organism in biological research, studying cell biology in yeast was hindered due to its small size, rounded morphology, and cell wall. However, with improved techniques, researchers can acquire high-resolution images and carry out rapid multidimensional analysis of a yeast cell. As a result, imaging in yeast has emerged as an important tool to study cytoskeletal organization, function, and dynamics. This chapter describes techniques and approaches for visualizing the actin cytoskeleton in live yeast cells.

Key words Yeast, Fluorescent proteins, Vital staining, Microscopy, Live cell imaging, Deconvolution, Ratio imaging, Actin, Cytoskeleton

1 Introduction

Thirty-six years ago, the actin cytoskeleton was first visualized in fixed budding yeast cells with fluorescent phalloidin [1]. Subsequently, fluorescence imaging became a useful tool to study actin-containing structures in yeast. Many elements of actin cytoskeletal structure and function are conserved within the eukaryotic lineage. Nevertheless, unlike mammalian cells, budding yeast contains a single actin gene, making it a convenient model organism for studying actin organization and function. The actin cytoskeleton is responsible for similar functions in yeast as in other eukaryotic cells including cell polarity, cell division, endocytosis, and organelle transport.

The actin cytoskeleton of yeast consists of two major structures that are maintained throughout the cell cycle: patches and cables. Actin patches are endocytic vesicles that are coated with actin filaments that are nucleated by the Arp2/3 complex. Other patch constituents include conserved proteins such as clathrin and its
adaptors, endocytic adaptors, nucleation promoting factors (NPFs), kinases, and actin bundling proteins (e.g., Sac6p/fimbrin) [2]. Early in the G1 phase of the cell cycle, actin patches become concentrated at the nascent bud site, reflecting cell polarization toward the small growing daughter cell during bud emergence. Patches remain concentrated in the bud during isotropic growth [3, 4]. Finally, when the bud cell reaches a similar diameter to the mother cell, actin patches redistribute to the bud neck and facilitate cytokinesis [3, 4]. Thus, the distinctive polarity of actin patches can be used as an indicator for the function of the cell polarization machinery.

Actin cables are bundles of actin filaments that extend through the entire length of the yeast cell and reach the mother distal tip. They are essential for yeast cell division because they act as tracks for transport of cellular constituents including mitochondria, Golgi, vacuoles, secretory vesicles, spindle alignment elements, and mRNA from mother to developing daughter cells during cell division [5]. They are also critical for transport of vesicles and other cargos that contribute to cytokinesis.

Actin cables are highly dynamic. They undergo retrograde flow, movement from the bud toward the mother cell tip. In yeast, as in mammalian cells, retrograde actin cable flow (RACF) is driven by the pushing force of actin cable assembly and pulling force of a type II myosin protein, Myo1p [6, 7]. Actin cable assembly occurs in the bud tip and bud neck. During this process, actin filaments are polymerized by formin proteins (Bni1p and Bnr1p) and assembled into bundles by Sac6p (fimbrin), Abp140p, and Bnr1p. Actin cables are further stabilized by two tropomyosin isoforms (Tpm1p and Tpm2p).

Although RACF occurs in the retrograde direction, from daughter to mother cell, actin cable-dependent cargo transport is primarily in the anterograde direction, from mother to daughter cell. Thus, mitochondria and other cargos are effectively “swimming upstream,” against the opposing force of RACF as they move into the daughter cells along actin cables. As a result, actin cable dynamics play a role in promoting inheritance of fitter mitochondria by yeast daughter cells, which, in turn, affects daughter cell fitness and life span [8]. Therefore, studying actin cable dynamics is important for understanding yeast cell biology.

Imaging techniques and technical methods have evolved over time to allow for efficient live-cell imaging of actin dynamics in yeast cells. Here, we describe the steps to visualize and characterize actin movement: tagging of yeast genes at their chromosomal loci with fluorescent proteins; determining functionality of tagged proteins; optimizing imaging methods; and analyzing actin dynamics quantitatively.
1.1 Choosing a Fluorescent Protein for Detection of Cellular Structures

Live imaging of the yeast actin cytoskeleton can be carried out by tagging endogenous proteins with fluorescent proteins or expression of tagged heterologous actin-binding peptides. The most commonly used fluorescent protein is GFP and its variants; however, other fluorescent proteins can be used. Here, we describe how to select a fluorescent protein for imaging studies and tag a protein at its chromosomal locus.

The green fluorescent protein (GFP) revolutionized live-cell imaging in cell biology. GFP was first cloned from the jellyfish *Aequorea victoria* and has been used as a foundation for developing variants with a range of colors and molecular properties. Moreover, combining laboratory mutagenesis with novel fluorescent proteins, such as mCherry from *Discosoma* sp. coral and Teal from *Clavulina* sp. coral, has produced fluorescent proteins with new colors, improved brightness, faster folding, and decreased oligomerization [9].

Fluorescent proteins that are used for live-cell imaging must be photostable and able to withstand repeated excitation. In addition, the emission of the selected fluorescent protein must be bright enough to highlight structures of interest above background fluorescence and detector noise while being spectrally distinct from other labels being used. Fluorescent protein brightness is determined by the protein’s intrinsic brightness (the product of extinction coefficient and quantum yield) and the behavior of the fluorescent protein upon ectopic expression (rate of folding and stability). The amount of signal that is excited and detected depends on the imaging system’s light sources, lenses, filters, and detectors and their compatibility with the fluorescent protein excitation and emission spectra. For more information on fluorescent protein physical and spectral properties, [https://www.fpbase.org/](https://www.fpbase.org/) is an invaluable resource. Lastly, the fluorescent protein must not be toxic or disrupt the cellular behavior or protein function to which it is fused.

1.2 Tagging Endogenous Proteins

Uniquely in yeast, homologous recombination methods are commonly used to tag a protein of interest at its chromosomal locus. This involves the use of an insertion cassette, a double-stranded linear DNA that contains the fluorescent tag, and a selection marker, which is inserted into the target site. It is produced by PCR using a tagging vector as a template. Templates for tagging vectors encompass a variety of fluorescent proteins (e.g., GFP, mCherry, mCitrine), epitopes (e.g., HA, myc), or affinity tags (e.g., GST, TAP, His) that are linked with one of many selectable markers that confer drug resistance or auxotrophic rescue. Families of tagging vectors have been constructed to share PCR-priming sequences. Therefore, a single set of primers made from one template family can be used to insert different tags associated with that template for a given target gene. Additionally, template vectors are
available to regulate expression levels from endogenous promoters, constitutively active promoters (e.g., \textit{AHDI}, \textit{GPD1}), or regulatable promoters (e.g., \textit{GALI}), which can be used for N-terminal tagging. Fluorescent protein and epitope tags can also be used for biochemical techniques including affinity purification, immunoprecipitation, Western blot analysis, and immunofluorescence.

Some cassettes, like the pOM family, permit the removal of the selectable marker after tagging \[10\]. These cassettes are constructed with a marker flanked by LoxP sites, allowing removal of the marker by bacteriophage Cre recombinase that is conditionally expressed from a plasmid \[11\]. Removing the selectable marker is useful in several situations: (1) to create an N-terminal tag with no separation between the tag and the endogenous promoter of the tagged protein; (2) to insert a tag internally within the coding region of the gene of interest; (3) for multiple rounds of tagging at the same locus; and (4) for use in yeast strains with a limited number of selectable markers. Commonly available tagging vectors are listed in Table 1.

Tagging vector primers should be designed with sequences that hybridize with both the tagging vector and the target site for homologous recombination within the yeast chromosome. The insertion cassette is produced by PCR using the tagging vector as a template. The resulting amplified DNA is transformed into yeast using a standard protocol \[12\]. Recombinants that carry the inserted tag are selected using the marker from the insertion cassette, followed by PCR-based screening to ensure the correct insertion size, and finally sequencing to confirm accuracy of the coding sequence.

1.3 Visualization of Actin Dynamics with Actin Bundling Protein Abp140p

Live-cell imaging of actin dynamics permits analysis of RACF velocity as well as cargo trafficking, such as the movement of mitochondria along actin cables (Fig. 1) \[13, 14\]. Here, and in Subheading 1.4, we describe the two methods that we use to visualize actin dynamics in living yeast cells.

Tagging the actin-encoding \textit{ACT1} gene at its C-terminus with GFP compromises actin function: plasmid-borne \textit{ACT1-GFP} does not rescue the loss of the endogenous \textit{ACT1} gene \[15\]. Generating a functional fusion protein with actin is challenging, because every surface of the actin protein is involved in important protein–protein interactions, including nucleation, polymerization, interaction with motor proteins and other force generators, cable assembly, capping, cross-linking, and severing. As an alternative, actin-binding proteins are often more tolerant of tagging with fluorescent proteins. For example, tagging actin-binding proteins like Abp140p and Abp1p with C-terminal GFP produces a fluorescent signal that localizes to actin cables and actin patches, respectively (Fig. 1) \[6, 7\]. Other actin proteins that can be tagged with GFP while maintaining normal function are listed in Table 2.
Table 1
Yeast tagging cassette vectors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid family</th>
<th>Tag position</th>
<th>Promoter</th>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pFA6a&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C-terminal</td>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>GFP(S65T), 3xHA, 13xMyc, GST, GFPEnvy&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, GPPlys&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, GFP&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TRP1, kanMX6, HIS3MX6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pFA6a-PGAL1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N terminal or internal</td>
<td>GAL1</td>
<td>GFP(S65T), 3xHA, GST</td>
<td>TRP1, kanMX6, HIS3MX6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pUR&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C-terminal</td>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>DsRed</td>
<td>HIS3, URA3(K.l.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYM&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C-terminal</td>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>yEGFP, EGFP, EBFP, ECFP, EYFP, DsRed, DsRedI, RedStar, RedStar2, eqFP611, FlAsH, 1xHA, 3xHA, 6xHA, 8xMyc, 9xMyc, 1xMyc+7xHis, TAP</td>
<td>Protein A, kanMX4, hphNT1, natNT2, HIS3MX6, K/Trp1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pKT&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>C-terminal</td>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>yEGFP, yECFP, yEVenus, yECitrine, yeSapphire, yeEmCFP&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;, yeEmCitrine, tdimer2&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;, yeCitrine+3xHA, yeCitrine+13xMyc, yeECFP+3xHA, yeECFP+13xMyc</td>
<td>KanMX, SpHIS5, CaURA3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pOM&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N terminal or internal</td>
<td>Endogenous&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>yEGFP, 6xHA, 9xMyc, Protein A, TEV-ProteinA, TEV-GST-6xHis, TEV-ProteinA-7xHis</td>
<td>kanMX6, URA3(K.l.), LEU2(K.l.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Even when protein function is preserved, fluorescent protein fusions may require optimization for successful imaging. For example, Abp140p-GFP produces only a low signal in the cells propagated using glucose-based media. However, this can be improved by culturing cells in a non-fermentable carbon source, such as lactate. Cells grown in lactate medium produce cables that are thicker and therefore more visible (see Note 1). Alternatively, improved signal-to-noise ratio can be achieved by tagging actin-binding proteins with GFPEnvy. GFPEnvy is an improved version of GFP with brighter signal and photostable, which was constructed by combining mutations found in Superfolder GFP and GFPγ [16]. Tagging Abp140p with GFPEnvy improves signal intensity and reduces photobleaching during time-lapse videos. GFP and GFPEnvy do not disrupt actin dynamics when fused to Abp140p; however, other fluorophore proteins such as td-Tomato compromise the function of Abp140p. Moreover, although GFPEnvy was produced from a monomeric form of GFP, recent studies indicate that it can dimerize in vitro [17]. Thus, it is important to test whether actin dynamics are preserved when using fluorescent protein tags.

### Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid family</th>
<th>Tag position</th>
<th>Promoter</th>
<th>Tags</th>
<th>Markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pCY1</td>
<td>C-terminal</td>
<td>Endogenous</td>
<td>Cerulean yECFP yEmCFP yEGFP Venus yEVenus yECitrine yEmCitrine mCherry mEos2 yEC-MYC yEHA yEFLAsH</td>
<td>HygromycinB Zeocin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a[40]  
b[16]  
c[41]  
d[42]  
e[43]  
1Monomeric version  
2Tandem dimer of DsRed  
3[10]  
4After Cre-mediated removal of auxotrophic marker  
5[44]
1.4 Visualization of Actin Dynamics with Actin-Binding Peptide Lifeact

An alternative probe for visualizing actin dynamics is Lifeact, an actin-binding peptide, tagged with a fluorescent protein. Lifeact consists of the first 17 amino acids of Abp140p and is sufficient to mediate actin localization of fluorescent proteins without disrupting dynamics [18]. Lifeact has been used across many eukaryotic organisms, including fungi, plants, and invertebrates [19–25]. However, there are some caveats to using an exogenous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actin-containing structure</th>
<th>GFP-tagged proteins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actin patch (early)</td>
<td>Las17p [45, 46], Sla1p [46, 47], Pan1p [46, 48], End3p [49], Sla2p [46, 50], Bzz1p [51], Vrp1 [52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin patch (mid)</td>
<td>Myo3p [53], Myo5p [54], Bbc1p [51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin patch (late)</td>
<td>Abp1p [15, 46, 55, 56], Arp2p [45], Arp3p [45], Arc15p [46, 57], Sac6p [56, 58], Cap1p [59], Cap2p [60], Scp1p [61], Cof1p [62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin cable (vegetative)</td>
<td>Abp140p [6], Lifeact (Abp140 aa 1-17) [18, 31]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Colocalization of the actin cytoskeleton with cargo. Yeast cells were grown in YPG and washed in SC medium prior to imaging. **Left panels**: Actin cables visualized with Abp140p-GFP. **Middle panels**: Two cellular structures, actin patches (top) and mitochondria (bottom), visualized by tagging Abp1p and Cit1p, respectively, with mCherry. Images were captured using standard GFP filters and 300 ms exposure time for GFP and standard DsRed filters and 200 ms exposure time for mCherry. **Right panels**: merged images showing partial colocalization of actin patches and mitochondria along actin cables. Scale bar: 1 μm

Table 2

GFP-tagged actin proteins in budding yeast
construct to visualize the actin cytoskeleton. Lifeact fails to label some actin structures, such as stress-induced cofilin-bound actin rods [26]. Moreover, Lifeact overexpression can alter actin dynamics, generating artifacts. For example, overexpressing Lifeact in Drosophila germline cells causes loss of cortical actin integrity leading to fertility defects [27]. In fission yeast, highly expressed Lifeact-mCherry or mEGFP-Lifeact affects actin patch formation and cytokinesis [28]. The structure of the Lifeact-F-actin complex indicates that dosage-dependent effects are caused by the reduced binding of cofilin to actin filaments [28–31]. Therefore, expression conditions should be optimized for each application.

Initial reports of Lifeact in budding yeast indicated that it can label actin-containing structures, but its fluorescence intensity was extremely weak, preventing long-term imaging [18, 31]. Our lab has resolved this issue by integrating Lifeact conjugated to GFPEnvy, rather than GFP, into the yeast genome for stable expression.

The stable genomic expression of Lifeact-GFPEnvy (LA-Envy) at the HO locus does not interfere with cell growth (Fig. 2a). Moreover, our previous studies indicate that Abp140p-GFP labels a small number of punctate structures (e.g., Fig. 2c) that either are not actin patches or are a subset of actin patches. On the other hand, we find that LA-Envy localizes to both punctate and filamentous structures, which co-localize with phalloidin-stained actin patches and cables (Fig. 2b). Equally important, we find that the expression of LA-Envy does not result in depolarization of the actin cytoskeleton. The presence of >5 actin patches in the mother cell is a hallmark of cell depolarization, and we found LA-Envy-expressing cells contain <5 punctate structures per mother cell (Fig. 2c).

When we compared labeled cables in cells expressing LA-Envy and Abp140p-GFPEnvy, we observed fewer cables in LA-Envy-expressing cells in both lactate and SC medium, compared to Abp140p-GFPEnvy-expressing cells (Fig. 2c). However, there was no detectable difference in actin cable number in phalloidin-stained cells expressing these two probes (data not shown). Taken together, our results suggest that LA-Envy and Abp140p-GFPEnvy label both patches and cables, but with different affinities; patches are more likely to be labeled with LA-Envy and cables with Abp140p-GFPEnvy. At least in the case of cables, the difference does not appear to be an artifact of fusion protein expression but rather arises from a difference in binding that renders some structures below the threshold of detection. It remains to be determined why this phenomenon occurs, but we speculate that it results from competitive binding between actin cables and patches.

Overall, we find that Lifeact-Envy is the first actin probe that labels both actin cables and patches in live cells. Table 2 lists known functional fluorescent protein-labeled actin-binding proteins that
Fig. 2 Visualizing actin cable dynamics with Lifeact-GFPEnvy. (a) Maximum growth rates and growth curves of untransformed cells (WT) and cells expressing Lifeact-GFPEnvy or Abp140p-GFPEnvy in YPD or SC media at 30 °C. Maximum growth rates were calculated for 2 h intervals (n = 3–6 cultures for each independent experiment; statistical significances were calculated by unpaired Student’s t-test. Error bars represent SEM (standard error of the mean)). (b) Representative images of fixed mid-log phase yeast cells in lactate medium. Left panels: F-Actin structures stained with Alexa568-phalloidin. Middle panels: Lifeact or Abp140p tagged with GFPEnvy. Right panels: Merged images, showing that actin cables visualized by Lifeact-GFPEnvy or Abp140p-GFPEnvy colocalize with phalloidin. White arrows indicate punctate structures (actin patches) in mother cells. Lifeact-GFPEnvy reveals more punctate structures than Abp140p-GFPEnvy. Scale bars: 1μm. (c) Left panels: Quantification of punctate actin structures and actin cables in mid-log phase mother cells expressing Lifeact-GFPEnvy or Abp140p-GFPEnvy in lactate or SC media at 30 °C. n > 30 cells/trial. Statistical significances were calculated by unpaired t-test (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ****p < 0.0001). Error bars represent SEM. Right panels: Representative images of live yeast cells expressing Lifeact-GFPEnvy or Abp140p-GFPEnvy grown in the indicated media. Scale bars: 1μm
serve as probes for actin patches or actin cables in budding yeast. Finally, Table 3 lists suggested imaging conditions for common fluorescent protein-labeled actin-binding proteins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Fluorescent protein</strong></th>
<th><strong>Excitation/emission</strong></th>
<th><strong>Light source</strong></th>
<th><strong>Exposure time</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abp140p-GFP</td>
<td>488/507</td>
<td>LED 470 nm (45%) or Metal-halide lamp + standard GFP filter</td>
<td>250 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abp140p-GFP Envy</td>
<td>488/507</td>
<td>LED 470 nm (45%) or Metal-halide lamp + standard GFP filter</td>
<td>250 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeact-GFP Envy</td>
<td>488/507</td>
<td>LED 470 nm (45%) or Metal-halide lamp + standard GFP filter</td>
<td>250 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abp1p-mCherry</td>
<td>587/610</td>
<td>LED 550 nm (45%) or Metal-halide lamp + standard DsRed filter</td>
<td>200 ms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Materials

2.1 Yeast Growth Media

1. Synthetic complete medium (SC): For 1 liter, dissolve 6.7 g yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 20 g glucose, and amino acid supplements as needed in 800 mL distilled H₂O. Adjust pH to 5.5 with NaHCO₃ and bring volume to 1 L with distilled H₂O. Sterilize by autoclaving.

2. Amino acid supplements: For 1 L of synthetic complete medium, supplement with all of the following. For dropout medium, omit one or more components to select for the desired strains: 10 mL adenine (2 mg/mL stock in 0.05 M HCl), 10 mL uracil (2 mg/mL stock in 0.5% NaHCO₃), 10 mL arginine (1 mg/mL stock in H₂O), 10 mL histidine (1 mg/mL stock in H₂O), 10 mL leucine (10 mg/mL stock in H₂O), 10 mL lysine (3 mg/mL stock in H₂O), 10 mL methionine (2 mg/mL stock in H₂O), 10 mL phenylalanine (5 mg/mL stock in H₂O), 10 mL tryptophan (2 mg/mL stock in H₂O), and 30 mg tyrosine.

3. Yeast peptone-dextrose medium (YPD): For 1 L, dissolve 10 g yeast extract, 20 g bacto-peptone, and 20 g glucose in distilled H₂O, and bring volume to 1 L with distilled H₂O. Sterilize by autoclaving.

4. Lactate medium: For 1 L, combine 3.0 g yeast extract, 0.5 g glucose, 0.5 g CaCl₂, 0.5 g NaCl, 0.5 g MgCl₂, 1 g KH₂PO₄,
1 g NH₄Cl, 22 mL 90% lactic acid, and 7.5 g NaOH pellets. Dissolve ingredients in 800 mL distilled H₂O. Adjust pH to 5.5 with NaOH. Bring volume to 1 L with distilled H₂O.

5. Synthetic raffinose medium: For 1 L, combine 6.7 g of yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and amino acid supplements as needed. Dissolve ingredients in 600 mL distilled H₂O. Adjust pH to 5.5 with NaHCO₃. Bring volume to 900 mL with distilled H₂O. Supplement after autoclaving with 100 mL filter-sterilized 20% raffinose.

6. Synthetic glycerol medium (SG): For 1 L, combine 6.7 g of yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 30 mL of glycerol, 0.5 g glucose, and amino acid supplements as needed. Dissolve ingredients in 800 mL distilled H₂O. Adjust pH to 5.5 with NaHCO₃.

7. Synthetic galactose medium: For 1 L, combine 6.7 g of yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and amino acid supplements as needed. Dissolve ingredients in 600 mL distilled H₂O. Adjust pH to 5.5 with NaHCO₃. Bring volume to 950 mL with distilled H₂O. Supplement after autoclaving with 50 mL filter-sterilized 40% galactose.

2.2 PCR Amplification

1. Betaine solution 5 M, PCR reagent (Sigma, B0300).
2. KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (2×) (Kapa Biosystems).
3. Sterile distilled H₂O.
4. Forward and reverse primers (diluted to 50 μM each).

2.3 Yeast Transformation and Marker Excision

1. 50% w/v polyethylene glycol 3350 in H₂O.
2. 1 M lithium acetate in H₂O.
3. 0.1 M lithium acetate in H₂O.
4. Carrier DNA: 2 mg/mL single-stranded DNA from salmon testes in H₂O. Store at −20 °C.
5. DNA for transformation (1 PCR reaction as described in protocol, or 1μg).
6. Sterile distilled H₂O for resuspending cells before plating.
7. Selective plates for growth of cells containing plasmid or integrated DNA.

2.4 Wide-Field Imaging of Live Yeast

Examples of epifluorescence microscope setups that can be used for wide-field live yeast imaging include the following:

1. Inverted AxioObserver.Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped with a metal halide lamp and Colibri LEDs for excitation; 100×/1.3 EC Plan Neofluar oil-immersion objective; and an Orca ER cooled CCD camera
with 1280 × 1024 pixel resolution (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ). ZEN software (Carl Zeiss) is used to control the camera and microscope hardware.

2. Upright Axioskop 2 microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped with a pE-4000 LED illumination system (CoolLED, Andover, UK) for excitation; 100×/1.4 Plan-Apochromat objective (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY); and an Orca ER cooled CCD camera. NIS Elements 4.60 Lambda software (Nikon, Melville, NY) is used to control the camera and microscope hardware.

3 Methods

3.1 Modification of Yeast Genes at Their Chromosomal Loci

3.1.1 Primer Design

Generally, primers should be designed with 60 or more bases. The 5' end of each primer consists of at least 40 bases with perfect homology to the target site. As an example, for C-terminal tagging with a fluorescent protein, the 5' end of the forward primer should contain the 40–45 bases directly upstream of the stop codon, while the 5' end of the reverse primer should contain the reverse complement of the 40–45 bases directly downstream of the stop codon. The 3' ends will consist of 18–25 bases complementary to the sequences that will be inserted from the vector, which include the open reading frame of the fluorescent protein, the transcription termination site, and the selectable marker (see Note 2).

For further preservation of protein function and folding when tagging with a fluorescent protein, one can insert a short linker between the gene of interest and the fluorescent tag. For instance, GFP fluorescence can often be further optimized by increasing the length of the linker between the target gene and GFP molecule (e.g., by adding five alanines). The linker length and amino acid composition may need to be adjusted for different target proteins. Typically, proteins with processive enzymatic functions, such as polymerases and telomerases, benefit from poly-glycine linkers [32]. When C-terminally tagging, the linker should be incorporated into the forward primer by including codons encoding the appropriate amino acids between the 40 bases of homology to the target gene and the 20 bases corresponding to the template. A similar strategy can be used in the reverse primer for N-terminal tagging.

3.1.2 Amplification of Insertion Cassette from Tagging Vector

1. Prepare the PCR reaction: For 50μL, 10μL Betaine 5 M (Sigma, B0300), 25μL Kapa HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (2×), 12μL sterile distilled H2O, 1μL each of forward and reverse primers, 1μL template DNA. A single 50μL PCR reaction will provide sufficient DNA for a single yeast transformation (minimally 1μg of DNA) if a high-fidelity, high-yield polymerase is used.
2. Amplify the cassette using the following thermocycler conditions: Initial denaturation cycle at 95 °C for 2–5 min; 35 cycles of 98 °C for 20 s, 60–75 °C (see Note 3) for 15 s, and 72 °C for 60 s/kb; final extension cycle at 72 °C for 1–5 min.

3. Use the PCR reaction directly in the lithium acetate transformation protocol described below. Alternatively, amplified DNA can be purified using any commercially available PCR purification kit (see Note 4).

### 3.2 Lithium Acetate Transformation of Yeast

The lithium acetate transformation protocol is the most commonly used method for yeast transformations [12]. The following protocol is for one transformation reaction. A negative control containing no DNA should always be carried out in parallel.

1. Grow yeast to mid-log phase (0.2–0.5 OD<sub>600</sub>).
2. Transfer 10<sup>7</sup> cells from the culture to a 1.5 mL microfuge tube.
3. Pellet cells (30 s, 6000 × g) and wash with 500 μL 0.1 M lithium acetate. Resuspend in 240 μL of 50% w/v polyethylene glycol 3350.
4. Add 36 μL 1.0 M lithium acetate, 25 μL of carrier DNA, and 1 μg (50 μL) of DNA to be transformed (PCR-amplified insertion cassette or plasmid DNA) or 50 μL of H<sub>2</sub>O for negative control.
5. Vortex vigorously and incubate in a water bath at 30 °C for 30 min.
6. Heat shock in a water bath at 42 °C for a minimum of 20 min and a maximum of 3 h.
7. Concentrate cells by mild centrifugation (30 s, 6000 × g).
8. For transformations using auxotrophic markers, resuspend cell pellet gently in 100 μL of sterile distilled H<sub>2</sub>O and plate on appropriate selective media.
9. For transformations using drug resistance markers (e.g., KanMX6), resuspend cell pellet gently in 500 μL of YPD or synthetic complete medium with dropouts as needed to maintain plasmids. Allow cells to recover at 30 °C for 2–4 h. Concentrate and plate cells as described in steps 7 and 8 on appropriate selective medium containing drug.

### 3.3 Marker Excision by Cre Recombinase

If using a LoxP-containing vector such as the pOM family for tagging a gene of interest, the selectable marker can be removed by the following method.

1. Verify tagging by PCR and sequencing, as described below.
2. Using methods described above, transform the tagged strain with a plasmid that encodes Cre recombinase under control of a galactose-inducible promoter [33]. Select transformants on appropriate medium selecting for the Cre plasmid.
3. Grow cells to mid-log phase in 5 mL liquid medium in a 50 mL conical-bottom tube. Use medium that selects for the Cre plasmid.

4. Pellet cells (5 min, 4000 × g) and resuspend in 5 mL galactose medium.

5. Incubate cells in galactose medium in a shaking incubator at 30 °C for 12–16 h.

6. Plate an aliquot of cells on non-selective (YPD or SC) medium.

7. Screen colonies for loss of the tagging cassette marker by replica plating on selective plates.

8. To induce dropout of the Cre plasmid, grow cells in non-selective liquid medium for 24–48 h, plate on non-selective medium, and screen for loss of the plasmid by replica plating on selective plates (see Note 5).

3.4 Validating and Characterizing Fluorescent Protein-Tagged Cytoskeletal Proteins

3.4.1 PCR Screening and Sequencing

Validating genomic tag insertion at the target locus can be done using a PCR screening method. Use isolated genomic DNA from transformants and untransformed cells as templates for the PCR reaction, and compare fragment sizes amplified by a primer pair that hybridizes up- and downstream of the tagging locus. Sequencing should then be carried out to verify that tagged genes do not carry any mutations.

3.4.2 Verification of Protein Expression and Function

Initial steps to confirm successful expression of tagged proteins in transformed cells can be performed through visual inspection. Prepare cells for short-term imaging as described below, and screen several colonies for consistent fluorescent protein expression.

We recommend using Western blot analysis to study expression of tagged proteins. Benefits of protein expression monitoring include (1) comparing the expression level of tagged protein to that of its native form; (2) troubleshooting protein expression when the levels fall below the threshold of detection by microscopy; and (3) identifying degradation products that may hinder proper localization and function.

It is important to evaluate the localization and functions of tagged constructs and confirm that these are preserved, when knowledge of the gene of interest is available. Tagging proteins with fluorescent proteins may alter their function and/or localizations by disrupting native protein–protein interactions or by inducing oligomerization through the fluorescent protein. Evaluating whether function is preserved in fluorescent protein-tagged strains can be done by examining their cell growth rates and loss of any characterized phenotypes of the gene of interest. Fluorescent protein-tagged strains with compromised protein function will exhibit similar phenotypes to cells bearing mutations in that gene.
For a positive indicator of function, a plasmid-borne version of the fluorescent protein-tagged protein can be used to rescue a wild-type phenotype in yeast cells with a genomic deletion of the gene of interest (see Note 6). After confirming proper localization and preserved function, strains can be used for live-cell analysis. If, on the other hand, target protein localization and/or function is found to be compromised by a fluorescent protein tag, an alternative approach is to fuse the target protein to a smaller epitope tag such as myc or HA and visualize the protein in fixed cells using immunofluorescence.

3.5 Wide-Field Imaging of Living Cells

Budding yeast are relatively small in comparison to other eukaryotic organisms. The diameter of mother cells is typically 4–6 μm. Therefore, a microscope equipped with a high-magnification, high-numerical aperture objective lens and a sensitive camera are required to resolve small intracellular structures with good spatial resolution.

Light throughput and sensitivity of an imaging system are imperative for live-cell imaging because short exposure times and low illumination intensity are needed to reduce photobleaching and phototoxicity. Short exposure times are also important for improving temporal resolution when imaging dynamic structures. Additional time resolution improvement can be achieved by using a direct trigger connection between the acquisition computer and imaging components and maximizing the computer’s processor speed, random access memory (RAM), and storage disk speed.

3.6 Multicolor Imaging

Live-cell imaging is not limited to visualizing one organelle at a time. Multiple organelles can be imaged at once with the use of different fluorescent proteins or vital dyes, allowing the analysis of the interaction between organelles or morphological changes within multiple organelles. As described earlier, S. cerevisiae is amenable to integration of a wide variety of fluorescent proteins at multiple chromosomal loci. This can be achieved by sequential tagging through multiple homologous recombination events or through crossing different lines.

The limiting factor for multicolor imaging is identifying a compatible collection of distinct fluorescent proteins that are sufficiently bright, spectrally distinct, and photostable and that do not compromise protein function. The most commonly paired fluorescent proteins for two-color imaging are GFP (or GFPEnvy) and red fluorescent protein (RFP), such as mCherry. These fluorescent proteins have distinct spectra and display consistently bright and robust signals. For three-color imaging, the most commonly combined fluorescent proteins are GFP, RFP, and blue fluorescent protein (BFP) [34]. However, BFP requires UV excitation, which can lead to organelle fragmentation, reactive oxygen species production, and cell death [35]. As an alternative, our lab developed a
trio of fluorescent proteins that are photostable, fluorescently distinct, and well tolerated by yeast: mTFP1/mCitrine/mCherry [36].

Multiple fluorescent proteins can be detected either simultaneously or sequentially. Simultaneous detection requires either splitting the emitted signal into multiple detectors or dividing the camera area into multiple regions using an image splitter (e.g., Dual-View, Optical Insights, Santa Fe, NM). Although this approach maximizes speed of acquisition, it entails a significant risk of spectral bleedthrough. Therefore, in most cases, sequential imaging offers sufficient speed and more reliable differentiation between labels. There are three strategies to achieve sequential imaging: (1) changing excitation and emission filters, (2) LED excitation switching, and (3) laser excitation switching. Changing excitation and emission filter sets requires a motorized microscope stand that rotates the filter turret or separate filter wheels for excitation and emission. Rotating the filter turret allows the use of specialized cubes for each channel, which will result in the best signal. However, turret rotation may induce vibration in the system and is also quite slow (>1 s) compared to that of separate filter wheels (tens or hundreds of ms).

A faster, more stable approach is switching the excitation wavelength directly at the light source. This is accomplished using either lasers or narrow-spectrum, high-intensity light-emitting diodes (LEDs), such as those listed in the example microscope configurations above. These systems can be configured with multiple wavelengths that match the spectra of commonly used fluorescent proteins and are controlled individually by electrical signals with virtually no lag time. Signal can be collected through a multi-band dichroic/emission filter cube, eliminating any mechanical motion. Thus, these systems are preferred for multicolor imaging of dynamic structures and for excitation ratio imaging.

### 3.7 Preparing Cells for General Short-Term Imaging

For short-term visualization, concentrated cells can be deposited directly on a slide. However, cells on a microscope slide should not be imaged for more than 10 min. After this point, cells exhibit signs of stress and significant decreases in viability. The following protocol can be used for general imaging of cells expressing fluorescent proteins. Special procedures for imaging actin cable dynamics are detailed in the next section.

1. Grow yeast cells overnight to mid-log phase ($\text{OD}_{600} = 0.2–0.5$) in 5 mL of appropriate liquid medium in a 50 mL conical-bottom tube at 30 °C with shaking (see Note 7).

2. Transfer 1 mL of mid-log phase culture to a 1.5 mL microfuge tube and concentrate cells by centrifugation at ~6000 × $g$ for 30 s (see Note 8).
3. Without disturbing the pellet, remove almost all of the supernatant, leaving a volume of supernatant in the tube approximately twice the volume of the pellet.

4. Resuspend pellet in residual medium and transfer ~1.5 μL of the cell suspension to a cleaned microscope slide (see Note 9).

5. Apply a #1.5 (170-μm-thick) coverslip, taking care to avoid creating bubbles between slide and coverslip (see Note 10).

6. Acquire images. Prepare a fresh slide from the concentrated cell suspension after 10 min.

3.8 Preparing Cells for Short Time-Lapse Imaging of Actin Cable Dynamics

Short-term imaging can be used to determine the velocity of actin cable movement. Concentrated cells in lactate medium are applied directly to a slide. This protocol can be used to follow actin cable dynamics using fluorescent proteins conjugated to either Abp140p or Lifeact.

1. The morning before the day of the experiment, prepare a pre-culture. Inoculate cells from a colony into 5 mL of appropriate medium in a 50 mL conical-bottom tube and incubate for 4–5 h in a 30 °C shaking incubator.

2. From the pre-culture, inoculate an overnight culture with fresh media to reach mid-log phase (OD 600 0.2–0.5) the following day.

3. On the day of the experiment, transfer 1 mL of culture to a 1.5 mL microfuge tube and concentrate cells by centrifugation at ~6000 × g for 30 s (see Note 9).

4. Without disturbing the pellet, remove almost all of the supernatant, leaving a volume of supernatant in the tube approximately twice the volume of the pellet.

5. Resuspend pellet in residual medium and transfer ~1.5 μL of the cell suspension to a cleaned microscope slide.

6. Apply a #1.5 (170-μm-thick) coverslip, taking care to avoid creating bubbles between slide and coverslip (see Note 10).

7. Acquire time-lapse series at a single focal plane, at 500 ms intervals, for 30 s (see Notes 11 and 12).

8. Analyze cable velocity as described in Subheading 3.13.

3.9 Optimizing Imaging Conditions and Preventing Toxicity

During live-cell imaging, extended illumination of cells can lead to phototoxicity by two primary mechanisms: (1) direct cell damage by photons with wavelengths close to the UV and (2) free radical and reactive oxygen species production by the interaction between photons and cellular molecules.

3.9.1 Checking for Photodamage

The following criteria can be used to check for photodamage to cytoskeletal function.
1. Cytoskeletal structures: intense or long excitation can cause actin cable depolymerization.

2. Dynamics: While behavior of photodamaged cytoskeletal components has not been characterized, photodamage can be suspected if there are alterations in cytoskeletal velocity or other motility parameters in wild-type cells.

3.9.2 Reducing Phototoxicity and Photobleaching

Even if cells remain healthy throughout the imaging process, excessive light exposure still can cause photobleaching of fluorophores. Phototoxicity and photobleaching can be reduced by the following strategies. Resolution, sensitivity, and toxicity must always be balanced. However, in general, longer exposures at low intensity are less phototoxic than shorter exposures at high intensity.

1. Reduce excitation intensity. For LED and/or lasers, adjust intensities through acquisition software. Alternatively, add a neutral density filter.

2. Reduce exposure time. However, be sure to maintain enough dynamic range in pixel intensities to produce an interpretable image.

3. Reduce spatial or time resolution. For example, increase the time interval and/or the z-series interval.

3.9.3 Increasing Signal-to-Noise Ratio

Use the following strategies to increase signal-to-noise ratio without increasing light exposure:

1. Apply binning in the camera. This will result in decreased spatial resolution, but this can be alleviated by adding a projection tube before the camera.

2. Increase camera gain.

3. Reduce camera readout speed, if possible.

4. Use a filter set with a broader spectral window or more efficient coatings to increase throughput.

3.10 Special Considerations for Quantitative Analysis

Two criteria must be met for quantitative fluorescence imaging: (1) emitted fluorescence must be proportional to the number of fluorescent molecules present, and (2) recorded pixel intensities must be proportional to the amount of light emitted by the sample. Under well-controlled conditions, these criteria are met at sufficient levels and can be used to measure many biological factors.

Absolute quantification of fluorescent molecules by imaging is a serious challenge due to many variables that complicate the linearity of fluorescence emission and pixel intensities [37]. However, proper controls and normalization can allow reliable measurements of relative changes in the volume and intensity of fluorescent structures, providing a powerful tool for hypothesis testing.
Minimally, when comparing intensities, the following variables must be controlled in any wide-field fluorescence imaging experiment:

1. Age and concentration of fluorescent probe.
2. Sample age, unless photobleaching is known to be negligible.
3. Objective lens.
4. Illumination spectrum and intensity, including filters, field aperture setting, and light source alignment.
5. Camera model, binning, exposure time, gain, offset, readout speed, and bit depth.

Imaging parameters should always be adjusted to produce pixel values above the background level of the detector and sample, but below saturation if intensities are compared between groups, adjust imaging parameters using the brightest samples, to avoid saturating the detector. In addition, sample size (number of cells imaged) must be sufficient to determine the variability within the population, and fields of view must be selected without conscious or unconscious bias.

### 3.11 Deconvolution of Wide-Field Fluorescence Images

Typically, the resolution of wide-field fluorescence z-series can be further improved by deconvolution. Deconvolution is a computational method for removing out-of-focus light from wide-field fluorescence images. As a result, images are sharper with a higher signal-to-noise ratio and enhanced three-dimensional information. Various algorithms are available; however, only some allow for quantitation after processing [38]. Deconvolution can be executed by algorithms provided by commercial software such as Volocity (Quorum Technologies) or by free open-source software, such as Fiji/ImageJ. We have found that Volocity and Fiji/ImageJ produce comparable results. For best deconvolution results, use z-series acquired with an interval of 0.2–0.3μm.

#### 3.11.1 Volocity Deconvolution

1. Acquire z-series images using general short-term imaging conditions described above.
2. Load datasets in Volocity and verify that the x, y, and z scale are correctly set.
3. For each channel, generate a new calculated PSF. When prompted, enter the numerical aperture of the objective lens and the maximum wavelength of fluorescence emission (e.g., 507 nm for GFP and 620 nm emission wavelength for mCherry).
4. Using the calculated PSF for each channel, perform iterative deconvolution with 60 iterations, 100% confidence limit (see Note 13). Number of iterations can be adjusted according to signal intensity and noise level.
3.11.2 Fiji/ImageJ Deconvolution


2. Create a calculated PSF by using Diffraction PSF 3D: Plugins > Diffraction PSF 3D. Set up PSF parameters by entering immersion medium refractive index, objective lens numerical aperture, emission wavelength, image pixel size, image z step size, image width, image height, and image z slice number. The following are typical settings using our microscopy setup:
   (a) Refractive index: 1.518 (if using immersion oil).
   (b) Numerical aperture: 1.40.
   (c) Emission wavelengths: 507 nm or 610 nm for GFPEnvy and RFP, respectively.
   (d) Longitudinal spherical aberration at maximum aperture: 0.
   (e) Image pixel spacing: 64.50 (substitute your own spacing in nm if applicable).
   (f) Slice spacing: 300 (substitute your own spacing in nm if applicable).
   (g) Image width: 1343 (substitute your own image size in pixels if applicable).
   (h) Image height: 1023 (substitute your own image size in pixels if applicable).
   (i) Image depth: 21 (substitute your own z-stack size if applicable).
   (j) Normalization: sum of pixel values \(= 1\).
   Name the PSF with the corresponding wavelength for easy recognition.

3. Deconvolve selected images: Plugins > Iterative Deconvolve 3D. Select the image and corresponding PSF, set Wiener filter gamma to 0, maximum iterations to 60, and delta for termination to 0. The deconvolution will be terminated automatically if iterations do not produce better resolution.

4. Save the deconvolved file.

3.12 Quantification of Fluorescent Signals

To quantify the amount of actin within the whole cell or subregions of the cell, two common measurements of fluorescence signal intensity can be executed: mean and integrated intensity. Mean fluorescence intensity (mean gray value) is the average value of pixels in a cell or region of interest (ROI). Mean intensity can be a proxy for concentration of a fluorophore but is not a good indicator of the total amount of a fluorophore, unless the cells or compartments are of similar size. For example, a small bud and a
large bud may have the same number of labeled actin structures, but the small bud will have a higher mean intensity because the structures are crowded into a smaller volume. In scenarios where ROI sizes vary greatly, it is best to approximate the total amount of a fluorescent probe by calculating the integrated intensity (also called integrated density), which is the sum of all of the pixel values in the region. Thus, the integrated intensity takes into consideration not only the mean pixel value but the area of the ROI. Here, we show how to obtain intensity measurements using Volocity and Fiji/ImageJ. For more sensitive and accurate measurements, background should be measured and subtracted from mean intensity values. To correct integrated intensity for background, subtract the product of the background intensity and the area of the ROI.

3.12.1 Using Volocity to Measure Integrated Intensity

1. From the Measurements tab, create a protocol by adding the following steps in order: Find Objects Using Intensity, Exclude Objects by Size, Clip Objects to ROIs, Measure Objects.

2. For images with multiple channels, make sure that the correct channel is selected under the Find Objects Using Intensity tab.

3. Under Exclude Objects by Size, select a size criterion that will exclude background pixels or other artifacts of image capture or deconvolution. This value will depend on imaging conditions and must be determined empirically.

4. Under the Measure Objects tab, click the gear icon to select which measurements you wish to use. Check Intensity and Volume Measurements.

5. Define the ROI you wish to measure. Volocity allows rectangular, circular, and freehand ROIs. Use the Zoom function to make it easier to outline the desired area.

6. Adjust the threshold under the Find Objects Using Intensity tab by manipulating the lower and upper limits. We suggest maximizing the upper limit to include all pixels that have high intensity values. The lower limit should be adjusted to remove enough background to include only signal that is found within the cellular structure of interest.

7. All measurements will appear in a tab below the image. Individual objects will be separated (e.g., if mitochondrial fluorescence intensity is being measured and there are three mitochondrial structures, three measurements will be provided, each color-coded to depict which structure they represent). These measurements can be copied into a spreadsheet application such as Microsoft Excel or saved within Volocity by clicking Make Measurement Item under the Measurement tab.
8. In the results table, the Sum column reports the integrated intensity of each object. The sum of all of these values is the integrated intensity within the ROI.

3.12.2 Using Volocity to Measure Mean Intensity

1. Define the ROI you wish to measure including background ROIs (outside the cell). Volocity allows rectangular, circular, and freehand ROIs. Use the Zoom function to make it easier to outline the desired area.

2. Click Measure Object tab to measure the signal intensity.

3. All measurements will appear in a tab below the image. These measurements can be copied into a spreadsheet application such as Microsoft Excel or saved within Volocity by clicking Make Measurements under the Measurement tab.

4. Record the mean gray value (total fluorescence of area divided by area pixels).

5. Calculate corrected intensity measurements: subtract the background mean intensity from the mean intensity of each measured ROI.

3.12.3 Using Fiji/ImageJ to Measure Mean or Integrated Intensity

1. From the Analyze menu, choose Set Measurements. Check the following: Area, Mean Gray Value, Integrated Density, Display Label.

2. Subtract background intensity in the image:
   (a) Generate a maximum projected image: Image > Stacks > Z project. Include all slices by selecting the start and stop slice. Select “Max Intensity” as the projection type.
   (b) Use the Rectangle selection tool to select a background region (outside the cells). Measure the mean intensity in this region.
   (c) Subtract the mean background mean intensity from the original image: Process > Math > Subtract. Enter the mean background intensity from the previous step. Process all slices.

3. Using one of the ROI tools, define the ROI you wish to measure.

4. From the Analyze menu, choose Measure (or press M).

5. Copy or save the measurements that appear in the results window.

3.13 Analysis of Actin Dynamics: Measuring Retrograde Actin Cable Flow Velocity

The methods described here employ Fiji/ImageJ [39] as the tool of choice in our own laboratory. Similar functions are available in most image analysis and processing software packages. Tracking movements involves marking the position of an object at successive timepoints. For structures with elongated, irregular, or dynamic shapes, like actin cables, a point can be defined on the object of
interest and can be used as the reference point for velocity measurements. For example, when tracking actin dynamics with Abp140p-GFP-labeled actin, heterogeneity of Abp140p binding produces bright dots along the actin cable, and these areas serve as fiduciary marks to track movement [6]. Tracking can provide several quantitative measurements including velocity, distance traveled, and direction of movement. Here, we show how to calculate velocity.

1. Identify individual cells displaying cable motility by rapidly scrolling through the movie. Configure the Point Tool on the Fiji toolbar to Add to Overlay and Label Points. Then mark and label each cell of interest using the Point Tool.

2. Capture and save a screenshot showing the numbered cells of interest: Plugin > Utilities > Capture screen.

3. For each cell of interest, crop the movie to include only that cell, and save a copy with the cell number.

4. To track actin cable movement, use the Fiji Manual Tracking plugin.

5. Manually enter the microscope and image parameters, including time interval (e.g., 0.5 s) and x/y calibration (pixel size in μm). To generate an overlay showing the track, also check the following boxes: Tracking > Show path; Drawing > Overlay Dots & Lines.

6. Configure the Point Tool on the Fiji toolbar to Auto-measure and Auto-Next Slice.

7. Set the movie to the first timepoint to be analyzed, and click Add track.

8. Begin tracking a cable by clicking on a point of interest: either the leading or trailing end or a fiduciary mark.

9. Continue marking the motile structure for the duration of observable movement.

10. Once tracking is finished, click End track. This will produce two result windows:
   (a) The tracked path drawn as an overlay on the image. Use this to confirm that the movement of the actin cable matches the manual tracing.
   (b) The Results table, giving position, slice number, distance, and velocity.

11. Use the Manual Tracking results or manually calculate the incremental velocity using the following equation (see Note 14, Fig. 3):

\[
\text{Velocity} = \frac{\text{Distance Traveled}}{\text{Video Time Interval}}
\]
4 Notes

1. Abp140p-GFP signal intensity is higher in yeast propagated using non-fermentable carbon sources. Lactate medium is the preferred choice as it is not autofluorescent and provides more nutrients than synthetic complete medium with glycerol (SG). However, fragmentation of mitochondria may occur in lactate medium. If this is a problem, use glycerol-based media, such as SG.

2. The sequences for the 3’ ends are often provided by the designers of the tagging plasmid. In many cases, the forward primer will include the sequence of the polylinker upstream of the fluorescent protein tag, while the reverse primer will include the reverse complement of the last few nucleotides of the selection marker, or some sequence downstream of the selection marker.

3. The second step of the 35-cycle procedure is the primer annealing phase. The temperature used should match the melting temperature of the DNA. For primers that have secondary structures, or when primer sequences cannot be manipulated to make the melting temperatures comparable, betaine, DMSO, or both can be added to the PCR reaction to a final concentration of 1 M and 5%, respectively (10μL and 5μL, respectively, for a 50μL reaction).

4. For most PCR reactions, we find that product purification is unnecessary. While purification will eliminate some contaminants from the PCR reaction, the accompanying loss of DNA from the process often outweighs the benefits of performing the purification. We specifically find that when using the KAPA HiFi HotStart or Platinum Taq DNA Polymerase High Fidelity PCR reaction kits, purification to eliminate contaminants is unnecessary.

5. The galactose-inducible Cre recombinase plasmids are CEN plasmids, which can often be dropped out by continuous...
growth on non-selective medium. Alternatively, pSH47 can be used as this galactose-inducible Cre recombinase expression plasmid contains the URA3 selectable marker which can be removed from cells by counter-selection using 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) plates after marker selection.

6. When performing rescue/plasmid complementation assays, it is important to express the fluorescent protein-tagged protein under the endogenous promoter of the gene. This will avoid unexpected artifacts, such as overexpression of a partially functional protein rescuing wild-type phenotypes.

7. For live-cell imaging, avoid using medium containing yeast extract (e.g., YPD, YPG) as the yeast extract is autofluorescent and will require repeated washing prior to imaging. Instead, use synthetic complete or lactate medium.

8. Avoid excessive/extended centrifugation, which causes actin cable depolymerization.

9. Remove as much glass debris and dust from the slide before adding the cell suspension to ensure a single-cell layer is formed when applying the cover slip.

10. Excess volume of cell suspension can cause cells to float and move during image acquisition, while insufficient volume can compress cells or cause uneven spreading. Slight pressure should be applied to the edges of the coverslip to stably trap cells between the slide and coverslip and avoid movement of cells during image acquisition. Take care not to put too much pressure or cells may burst.

11. Actin cable dynamics are quite sensitive; acquire no more than four 30 s datasets per slide. Beyond this point, visible actin motility is greatly reduced due to stress-induced disorganization of the actin cytoskeleton.

12. Videos are captured within a single z-plane; therefore, the initial z-slice placement is the focal plane for the entire video. Due to the 3D shape of a yeast cell, actin cables are visually more apparent either just above or just below the center of the cell.

13. Artifacts can occasionally appear in deconvolved images. These may include halo-like structures, amplification of noise pixels, or the disappearance of structures that are visible in the raw data. If artifacts appear, repeat the deconvolution with fewer iterations. However, if artifacts do not appear, but the image is still blurry or faint, repeat with more iterations. If deconvolution fails to exceed the 95% confidence limit, verify that optics are clean, light source is aligned, and cells are mounted under a #1.5 coverslip. Also make sure that images are acquired with pixel values at least in the middle of the detector range and not saturating the detector.
Acknowledgments

We thank the members of the Pon laboratory for support and invaluable advice. This work was supported by awards from the National Institutes of Health (NIH) (GM122589 and AG051047) and Muscular Dystrophy Association (MDA 314107) to LAP; the NIH (AG055326 and DK7647) to CNS; and awards from the National Institute of Aging (NIA) (1K99AG065200-01A1) to RHS. The Confocal and Specialized Microscopy Shared Resource is in the Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center at Columbia University Medical Center, which is supported in part by an award from the NIH/NCI (5 P30 CA13696).

References


Chapter 4

Imaging the Actin Cytoskeleton in Fixed Budding Yeast Cells

Cierra N. Sing, Emily J. Yang, Ryo Higuchi-Sanabria, Liza A. Pon, Istvan R. Boldogh, and Theresa C. Swayne

Abstract

Budding yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is an appealing model organism to study the organization and function of the actin cytoskeleton. With the advent of techniques to perform high-resolution, multidimensional analysis of the yeast cell, imaging of yeast has emerged as an important tool for research on the cytoskeleton. This chapter describes techniques and approaches for visualizing the actin cytoskeleton in fixed yeast cells with wide-field and super-resolution fluorescence microscopy.
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1 Introduction

The actin cytoskeleton was first visualized in fixed budding yeast cells with fluorescent phallotoxins, and, since then, fluorescence imaging has emerged as a useful tool to study actin-containing structures [1]. The organization and function of the actin cytoskeleton are conserved within the eukaryotic lineage. However, actin organization in yeast is less complex than that found in other eukaryotes. Moreover, yeast contain a single actin gene. Therefore, yeast is a good model organism to study the actin cytoskeleton.

Yeast contain two actin-based structures that persist throughout the cell cycle: patches and cables. Actin patches are endocytic vesicles that are coated with a network of actin filaments and associated with actin-binding proteins including fimbrin (Sac6p), capping protein, the Arp2/3 complex and its nucleation-promoting factors, as well as clathrin and its adaptor proteins [2]. Actin patches localize to sites of polarized cell surface growth including the incipient bud site at the start of the cell division cycle, the bud tip and periphery though most of the cell cycle, and the
bud neck prior to cytokinesis [3, 4]. The polarized distribution of actin patches can be used as a proxy for the function of the cell polarization machinery.

The other major actin structure is actin cables. Actin cables are bundles of actin filaments that serve as tracks for anterograde (from mother to daughter cell) transport of cellular constituents (e.g., mitochondria, Golgi, vacuoles, secretory vesicles, spindle alignment elements, and mRNA) and are essential for cell division [5]. Late in the cell cycle, actin cables mediate transport of cytokinesis factors to the bud neck. The organization and assembly of actin cables reflect these cell cycle-regulated functions. Actin cables form in the bud tip and neck using formins (Bni1p and Bnr1p), actin bundling proteins (fimbrin, Abp140p and Bnr1p), and tropomyosins (Tpm1p and Tpm2p). During most of the cell cycle, they extend along the mother-bud axis from the bud tip to the mother cell tip. However, toward the end of cell division, actin cables in both the mother cell and bud are oriented with their plus ends toward the bud neck, the site of cytokinesis.

While observations in fixed cells cannot capture the dynamic nature of the actin cytoskeleton, there are cases where fixed-cell imaging is the method of choice. Certain proteins are not amenable to live-cell imaging, either because the tags or methods used for visualization compromise protein function or localization or because the signal produced is below the limit of detection. Ectopic expression of tagged proteins may increase signal but may also compromise the cytoskeleton. Imaging fixed cells can enhance both signal and resolution compared to live-cell imaging: (1) signal can be amplified by tagging or staining methods that are usable only with fixed cells (e.g., adding multiple copies of an epitope tag), and (2) many high- and super-resolution imaging methods (e.g., stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy) are slow and not readily adaptable to live-cell imaging. Finally, stained fixed cell samples are relatively stable and versatile: they can be visualized by more than one imaging method or stored and imaged more than once.

Here, we describe methods for visualizing the actin cytoskeleton by immunofluorescence or phalloidin staining followed by either conventional wide-field fluorescence microscopy or super-resolution structured illumination microscopy (SR-SIM). We also describe how to quantify various aspects of actin cable morphology using freely available image analysis software.

2 Materials

2.1 Yeast Growth Media

Synthetic complete (SC) medium: 6.7 g yeast nitrogen base without amino acids, 20 g glucose. Dissolve ingredients in 800 mL distilled H_2O. Supplement with the following amino acids as
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1. Wash solution: Mix 12.5 mL 1 M potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, and 400 mL 1 M KCl. Bring volume up to 500 mL with distilled H₂O. Sterilize by autoclaving.

2. Tris/DTT: 1 mL 1 M Tris–SO₄, pH 9.4, 100μL 1 M DTT (final 10 mM). Bring volume to 10 mL with distilled H₂O. Make this solution on the day of use.

3. Zymolyase (Seikagaku Inc., Tokyo, Japan): Dissolve Zymolyase 20T in wash solution to a concentration of 50μg/mL. Make this solution on the day of use.

4. NS: 10 mL 1 M Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 21.4 g sucrose, 1 mL 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5 mL 1 M MgCl₂, 0.05 mL 1 M ZnCl₂, 0.05 mL 0.5 M CaCl₂. Adjust volume to 500 mL with distilled H₂O. Filter-sterilize and store in 40 mL aliquots at −20 °C.

5. NS+: On the day of use, supplement 10 mL NS with 40μL 200 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) dissolved in ethanol (final 0.8 mM), 5μL beta-mercaptoethanol (final 0.05%), and 100μL 10% (w/v) NaN₃ (final 0.1%).

6. 10× PBS stock: 80 g NaCl, 2 g KCl, 14.4 g Na₂HPO₄, 2.4 g KH₂PO₄. Dissolve ingredients in 800 mL distilled H₂O. Adjust pH to 7.4 with HCl/NaOH. Bring volume to 1 L with distilled H₂O.

7. PBS+: 1× PBS, 1% bovine serum albumin, and 0.1% NaN₃ (from a 10% (w/v) stock) prepared in distilled H₂O. Make the solution on the day of use (see Note 1).

8. PBT: 1× PBS, 1% bovine serum albumin, 0.1% NaN₃ (from a 10% (w/v) stock), and 0.1% Triton X-100 prepared in distilled H₂O. Make the solution on the day of use.

9. Polylysine: Dissolve poly-1-lysine in distilled H₂O to 0.5 mg/mL. Filter-sterilize and store in 1 mL aliquots at −20 °C.

10. ConA (Concanavalin A, Sigma C7275): Dissolve ConA in distilled H₂O to 2 mg/mL. Filter-sterilize and store in aliquots at −20 °C.

11. Fluorescent phalloidin (rhodamine phalloidin or Alexa Fluor phalloidin, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA): Dissolve in 100% methanol to 200 units/mL. Store in 50μL aliquots at −20 °C.

13. Paraformaldehyde (see Note 2): 20% solution, EM grade (Electron Microscopy Sciences 15713, Hatfield, PA).

2.3 Antibodies

Several proteins can be used for immunofluorescence visualization of actin in *S. cerevisiae*. For actin, we suggest the C4 mouse monoclonal antibody, which recognizes a conserved region in actin that is common to yeast and many other cell types [6].

2.4 Equipment for Wide-Field Microscopy

Two examples of suitable wide-field microscopy systems:

1. Inverted AxioObserver.Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped with a metal halide lamp and Colibri LEDs for excitation; 100×/1.3 EC Plan Neofluar oil immersion objective; and an Orca ER cooled CCD camera with 1280 × 1024 pixel resolution (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ). ZEN software (Carl Zeiss) is used to control the camera and microscope hardware.

2. Upright Axioskop 2 microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped with a pE-4000 LED illumination system (CooLED, Andover, UK) for excitation; 100×/1.4 Plan-Apochromat objective (Carl Zeiss Inc., Thornwood, NY); and an Orca ER cooled CCD camera. NIS Elements 4.60 Lambda software (Nikon, Melville, NY) is used to control the camera and microscope hardware.

3 Methods

The paraformaldehyde fixation detailed here (Subheading 3.1) preserves cellular structures by creating covalent hydroxymethylene bridges between spatially adjacent amino acid residues. Other fixatives, such as methanol and acetone, are compatible with some immunofluorescence staining, including the actin cytoskeleton. However, these fixative solutions are not recommended when evaluating additional organelles, like mitochondria, because they solubilize many membranes [7]. Fixed cells can be stained by various methods. For immunofluorescence staining, the cell wall must first be removed with zymolyase. The resulting spheroplasts are then exposed to pretreated primary and secondary antibodies in a staining chamber and mounted on a polylysine-coated coverslip (Subheading 3.2). If antibody staining is not required, we recommend leaving the cell wall intact in order to preserve cell integrity and 3D shape. Intact cells can be stained directly with non-antibody agents.
3.1 Fixation of Yeast Cells

1. Grow a 5 mL liquid culture of cells in a 50 mL conical-bottom tube to mid-log phase (OD$_{600}$ = 0.2–0.5) (see Note 3).
2. Add paraformaldehyde to the culture medium to a final concentration of 3.7% (see Note 4).
3. Incubate cells under normal growth conditions for 50–60 min.
4. Concentrate $1 \times 10^7$ cells by centrifugation (30 s at 10,000 g).
5. Continue either to non-antibody staining (Subheadings 3.3 or 3.4) or to removal of the cell wall for immunofluorescence (Subheading 3.2).

3.2 Immunofluorescence

3.2.1 Pretreatment of Antibodies with Yeast Cell Walls

Fungi are a common feature of the environment, including that of the animals used to generate polyclonal antisera. As a result, commercial polyclonal antibodies may contain antibodies that recognize the yeast cell wall. Spheroplasts, yeast that have been enzymatically treated to remove the cell wall, can contain residual cell wall components. As a result, staining of spheroplasts with antibodies that recognize cell wall components may result in high background. To remove these nonspecific antibodies, we recommend pretreating polyclonal antibodies with intact yeast cells. Pretreated antibodies can be prepared in bulk and stored for later use.

1. Grow yeast cells to late log phase (OD$_{600}$ > 1.0). A 5 mL culture is sufficient to treat 400 μL of undiluted antiserum.
2. Dilute antiserum to 1/25 in PBS+.
3. From the yeast cell culture, take a volume equal to $\frac{1}{4}$ the volume of diluted antibody (250 μL per mL of diluted antibody), and transfer to a microcentrifuge tube.
4. Concentrate cells by centrifugation (30 s at 10,000 × g), and wash three times with 1 mL of PBS+.
5. Resuspend cells in diluted antiserum, and incubate with gentle mixing for 2 h at 4 °C.
6. Pellet cells by centrifugation, and transfer antiserum into a fresh tube.
7. Repeat steps 3–5 (exposing treated antiserum to a fresh batch of cells).
8. Pellet the cells by centrifugation, and aliquot pretreated antiserum for storage at −20 °C.
3.2.2 Preparation of a Staining Chamber

To protect samples from desiccation and unnecessary light exposure, incubations are performed in a dark, humid chamber.

1. Cut ten 10 × 15 cm sheets of Parafilm, and press them together, crimping slightly at the edges, to make a flat, semi-rigid platform.
2. Place damp rolled paper towels on Parafilm platform.
3. Cover the platform and paper towels with an inverted opaque tray for the duration of the incubation.

3.2.3 Removal of the Cell Wall with Zymolyase

1. Resuspend fixed cell pellet (from Subheading 3.1) in 1 mL Tris/DTT, and incubate for 20 min at 30 °C water bath.
2. Concentrate cells by centrifugation (30 s at 10,000 × g).
3. Wash 1× with 500 μL wash solution.
4. Concentrate cells by centrifugation, resuspend pellet in 0.5 mL of zymolyase solution, and incubate for 0.5–1.0 h at 30 °C. The actual incubation time varies from strain to strain (see Note 5).
5. Pellet cells gently (30 s at 6000–8000 × g), and wash cell pellet three times with 0.5 mL of NS+ (see Note 6).
6. Resuspend the final cell pellet with 2–5 × pellet volume of NS+.
7. Store fixed spheroplasts at 4 °C for up to 1 week or continue with immunofluorescence staining.

3.2.4 Attachment of Spheroplasts to Coverslip with Polylysine

Polylysine is a polycation that enhances the attachment of the negatively charged spheroplasts to a coverslip.

1. Place 30–40 μL of polylysine solution on the Parafilm platform.
2. Lay a 22 × 22 mm coverslip on the polylysine drop, and apply some pressure to allow the entire coverslip to be covered by polylysine (see Note 7).
3. Incubate for at least 10 s.
4. Remove the coverslip from the polylysine by slowly pipetting 200–300 μL distilled water under the edge of the coverslip. This will cause the coverslip to float off the Parafilm for easy removal.
5. Rinse off excess polylysine by pipetting water gently on the coverslip for approximately 0.5 s. Drain excess liquid by touching the edge of the coverslip to filter paper.
6. Place coated coverslip with polylysine-coated side facing up on Parafilm platform.
7. Place 100 μL of sterile filtered 1xPBS on polylysine-coated coverslip.
8. Add 10μL of fixed spheroplast cell suspension to the drop of 1× PBS on the coverslip.

9. Mix and spread cells gently over the coverslip with the side of the pipet tip (see Note 8).

10. Incubate 35 min at room temperature in the staining chamber.

11. Remove unbound spheroplasts by gently pipetting 500μL 1× PBT onto the coverslip and allowing it to run off.

12. Wash coverslip 2× with 500μL 1× PBT and place coverslip with cell side up on filter paper.

For efficient antibody usage, spheroplast-coated coverslips are inverted on a small volume of diluted, pretreated antibody on a Parafilm platform.

1. Place 30μL of diluted primary antibody in 1xPBT on the Parafilm platform. Lay the spheroplast-coated side of the coverslip onto the drop, ensuring the solution is evenly spread over the coverslip.

2. Incubate for 2 h at room temperature in the staining chamber (see Note 9).

3. Lift the coverslip by slowly pipetting 200–300μL of 1× PBT under the edge of the coverslip. Rinse coverslip by gently pipetting 500μL 1× PBT onto the coverslip and allowing it to run off; repeat for three to four times. Rock coverslip back and forth during washing and wick excess liquid by touching the edge of the coverslip to filter paper.

4. Place 30–40μL of diluted secondary antibody in 1× PBT on the Parafilm platform. Place the coverslip on the antibody as in step 1.

5. Incubate 1 h at room temperature in the staining chamber.

6. Lift coverslip, rinse, and drain as in step 2.

7. Place 1–2μL of mounting medium on a microscope slide, and gently place the coverslip, sample side down, onto the mounting solution. Dry any residual liquid from the edges of the coverslip. Seal the edges with clear nail polish.

8. After the nail polish is dry, rinse the coverslip surface with distilled water, and dry gently with a Kimwipe. Visualize sample as soon as possible to maximize fluorescence signal. Most samples are stable for several days.

The following protocol is for staining of cells in suspension. Any fluorophore of choice conjugated to phalloidin can be used (see Note 10). However, we recommend using Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin for imaging actin by wide-field microscopy or super-resolution structured illumination microscopy, because of its reproducible, robust, and photostable signal.
1. Grow cells overnight to mid-log phase (OD$_{600} = 0.2–0.5$) in a 50 mL conical bottom tube.

2. Fix 1 mL of cells by adding 20% paraformaldehyde to a final concentration of 3.7%, and incubate in fixative for 50 min to 1 h in a 30 °C shaking incubator (see Note 11).

3. Wash fixed cell pellet three times with 500 μL of either wash solution or 1× PBS, concentrating each time by centrifugation (30 s at 10,000 × g).

4. Wash cells with 500 μL of 1× PBT and resuspend in 25 μL of 1× PBT.

5. Add 20 μL of Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin to a concentration of 2.5 μM and incubate for 25 min at room temperature.

6. Wash cells with 100 μL of 1× PBS.

7. Mount cells for imaging. For enhanced immobilization of intact cells, resuspend in 30–60 μL 1× PBS and mount on a ConA-coated coverslip (Subheading 3.4). For a simpler preparation, continue to the next step.

8. Resuspend cells in 7–10 μL of SlowFade mounting solution (see Note 12). Place ~1.5 μL of cell suspension onto a microscope slide. Place a 22 × 22 mm coverslip on top of the cells and seal the edges of the coverslip with nail polish.

3.4 Preparation for Super-Resolution Structured Illumination Microscopy (SR-SIM)

Cells are attached to ConA-coated coverslips either before or after paraformaldehyde fixation (Fig. 1).

1. Wash high-performance coverslips (18–22 mm square) with distilled H$_2$O. Wick away any excess liquid and place on filter paper to air dry.

2. Place clean coverslip on a Parafilm platform, and add 20 μL of 2 mg/mL ConA solution on top of the coverslip, and spread using the side of a pipet tip.

3. Incubate in staining chamber for 30 min at room temperature.

4. Remove unbound ConA by gently adding distilled H$_2$O to the corner of the coverslip until the coverslip is full of liquid and then rocking the coverslip to allow liquid to run off.

**Fig. 1** Schematic of ConA immobilization of cells onto a coverslip. Coverslips are coated with ConA to immobilize cells. ProLong Diamond or another mounting solution is placed onto the slide, and coverslips are placed directly on the mounting medium with cells facing down.
5. Allow coverslip to air dry in dark chamber, and store in chamber until needed.

6. Place 30 μL of fixed cell suspension on the coverslip, and spread using the side of a pipet tip.

7. Incubate in staining chamber for 15 min.

8. Wash off excess cells by gently applying distilled H2O as in step 4. Repeat wash step three to four times.

9. Remove excess liquid by touching the edge of the coverslip to filter paper.

10. Place 7.5 μL of mounting solution (see Note 13) onto the center of a slide. For optimal signal preservation (which is essential for SR-SIM), we recommend using ProLong Diamond Antifade mounting solution.

11. Place the coverslip cell side down onto the mounting solution. Apply gentle pressure on the coverslip to push out any air pockets that may have formed.

12. If using a hard-set mounting medium such as ProLong Diamond, lay slide flat and allow to cure at room temperature in the dark for 24 h or following the manufacturer’s instructions. In this case, there is no need to seal with nail polish.

13. If using a non-curing mounting medium, seal the coverslip edges with nail polish.

14. For best signal intensity, image as soon as possible (within a few days).

3.5 Imaging Fixed Budding Yeast Cells: Wide-Field Microscopy and SR-SIM

Here, we provide two methods for imaging fixed budding yeast cells: wide-field microscopy with deconvolution and super-resolution structured illumination microscopy (SR-SIM). Deconvolution is a computational method that reassigns out-of-focus signal to produce sharper images with enhanced three-dimensional information [8]. Wide-field imaging with deconvolution yields better signal-to-noise ratio and better sensitivity in comparison to point-scanning or spinning-disk confocal imaging. Here, we describe how to deconvolve wide-field images using commercial Velocity software or the free, open-source Fiji/ImageJ software.

Alternatively, even higher-resolution images can be obtained by SR-SIM, which allows resolution to be improved up to twofold over conventional wide-field methods [9]. SR-SIM requires a specialized microscope system (such as Zeiss Elyra or Nikon N-SIM), additional sample preparation, and increased imaging and processing time. Nevertheless, suitable SR-SIM samples yield dramatically improved resolution (Fig. 2). In our experience, SR-SIM is superior for obtaining highly detailed qualitative images of actin structures, assessing actin cable thickness, and counting...
cables. We typically are able to detect more cables in SR-SIM images than in wide-field deconvolved images. However, wide-field imaging recapitulates trends in cable abundance observed by SR-SIM.

3.5.1 Visualization of Fixed Cells by Wide-Field Imaging and Deconvolution

On average, yeast cells are only 4–6 μm in diameter, so a high-magnification, high-numerical aperture objective lens and high-resolution camera are essential for successful imaging. It is important for cameras to be able to detect signal under low-intensity illumination and short exposure times to minimize photobleaching. Additionally, it is imperative to use filter sets that maximize light throughput while preventing bleed-through in multicolor imaging experiments.
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**Fig. 2** Comparison of conventional wide-field microscopy with deconvolution and SR-SIM. Wild-type cells fixed in 3.7% paraformaldehyde and stained with 2.5 μM Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin. **Left panel:** Z series were collected at 0.3 μm intervals using conventional wide-field microscopy with 1 x 1 binning, 116 gain, 250 ms exposure time, and 470 nm LED excitation with standard GFP filter for Alexa Fluor 488. Images were deconvolved (Velocity) using 60 iterations and 100% confidence interval. **Middle panel:** Z series were collected at 0.125 μm intervals using a super-resolution structured illumination microscope (N-SIM, Nikon) with 1x1 binning, 200 gain, 300 ms exposure time, and 488 nm laser at 45% power. Image reconstruction was performed using Nikon Elements software with the following parameters: illumination modulation contrast = 2, high-resolution noise suppression = 1, out-of-focus blur suppression = 0.2. **Right panels:** 3x magnification of the boxed area. Scale bar: 1 μm. Quantification of actin cables using the two methods is shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Actin cables/cell (±SEM)</th>
<th>Actin patches/cell (±SEM)</th>
<th>n (cells)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wide-field with deconvolution</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.13</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR-SIM</td>
<td>11.37 ± 0.25</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.20</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

Actin cables and patches were counted in mother cells using conventional wide-field microscopy and SR-SIM. Actin cables parallel to the mother-bud axis and greater than half the length of the mother cell were counted.
1. Optimize signal levels by adjusting fixation and staining conditions and using as fresh a sample as possible.

2. Set exposure time and camera gain so that pixel values fill as much as possible of the dynamic range of the camera, without saturation.

3. Acquire z-series of images at a focus interval of 0.2–0.3μm.

4. Deconvolve using either Volocity or Fiji/ImageJ following the steps below.

**Deconvolution Using Volocity**

1. Load datasets in Volocity and verify that the x, y, and z scale are correctly set.

2. For each channel, generate a new calculated PSF. When prompted, enter the numerical aperture of the objective lens and the maximum wavelength of fluorescence emission (e.g., 507 nm for Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin).

3. Using the calculated PSF for each channel, perform iterative deconvolution with 60 iterations, 100% confidence limit (*see Note 14*).

**Deconvolution Using Fiji/Image J**

1. Download and install Diffraction PSF 3D ([https://imagej.net/Diffraction_PSF_3D](https://imagej.net/Diffraction_PSF_3D)) and Iterative Deconvolve 3D ([https://imagej.net/Iterative_Deconvolve_3D](https://imagej.net/Iterative_Deconvolve_3D)) plugins from the FIJI website ([https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads](https://imagej.net/Fiji/Downloads)).

2. Create a calculated PSF by using Diffraction PSF 3D: **Plugins > Diffraction PSF 3D.** Set up PSF parameters by entering immersion medium refractive index, objective lens numerical aperture, emission wavelength, image pixel size, image z step size, image width, image height, and image Z stack. Typical settings using our microscopy setup:
   (a) Refractive index: 1.518 (if using immersion oil).
   (b) Numerical aperture: 1.40.
   (c) Emission wavelengths: 507 nm or 610 nm for red or green fluorophores, respectively.
   (d) Longitudinal spherical aberration at maximum aperture: 0.
   (e) Image pixel spacing: 64.50 (substitute your own spacing in nm if applicable).
   (f) Slice spacing: 300 (substitute your own spacing in nm if applicable).
   (g) Image width: 1343 (substitute your own image size in pixels if applicable).
   (h) Image height: 1023 (substitute your own image size in pixels if applicable).
3. Deconvolve selected images: Plugins > Iterative Deconvolve 3D. Select the image and corresponding PSF, set Wiener filter gamma to 0, maximum iterations to 60, and delta for termination to 0. The deconvolution will be terminated automatically if iterations do not produce better resolution.

4. Save the deconvolved file.

SR-SIM imaging reveals complex details like fine structures of the actin cytoskeleton that are not visible using conventional wide-field imaging (Fig. 2, Table 1). The improved resolution in SR-SIM is generated by modulating the fluorescence excitation pattern with a diffraction grating. The interaction between the illumination pattern and fine sample details produces a Moiré effect. The superimposed grating is then rotated at specific angles to generate multiple sets of Moiré patterns, which can be reconstructed computationally to restore details not captured by standard illumination. Importantly, “super-resolution” or “high-resolution” SIM (SR-SIM) differs from previously marketed structured illumination systems such as Apotome, which only provide optical sectioning. SR-SIM, in contrast, doubles resolution in the x, y, and z dimensions.

Sample Preparation and Imaging

There are several criteria that must be met for optimal SR-SIM imaging:

1. Minimal movement in the sample. We recommend fixing and immobilizing cells and using a hard-set mounting medium. In addition, the room and equipment should be stable in temperature and free from drafts.

2. Maximal signal intensity and photostability. Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin is preferred for labeling the actin cytoskeleton. Mounting medium should show excellent anti-bleaching performance; ProLong Diamond is recommended.

3. Predictable optical performance. A #1.5 (170 μm) coverslip is recommended. Because standard coverslip thickness varies by tens of micrometers, which may induce spherical aberration, high-performance coverslips (manufactured with smaller variation in thickness) should be used. In addition, mounting medium should have a consistent, stable refractive index.

4. Adequate Z sampling. Z-series of images should be acquired at a focus interval of ~0.125 μm.
5. Appropriate pixel values in raw data. Exposure time and camera gain should be set so that pixel values fill no more than 1/4 of the dynamic range of the camera. EM gain for EMCCD cameras should not exceed 150.

Reconstruction

Parameters for SR-SIM reconstruction vary with the software used. Here, we describe options for Nikon Elements software (Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY).

The following three parameters should be adjusted for each sample. Recommended values for images of actin are given.

1. Illumination modulation contrast. Higher values reveal more detail but may induce artifacts. Recommended values: 0.5–2.0.

2. High-resolution noise suppression. Higher values suppress artifacts due to pixel noise but also suppress fine details. Recommended values: <1.0.

3. Out-of-focus blur suppression. Higher values suppress out-of-focus signal, essential for densely labeled areas, but also reduce overall signal and resolution. Recommended values: 0.1–0.2.

3.6 Quantification of Fluorescent Signals

Mean fluorescence intensity (mean gray value) is the average value of pixels in a cell or region of interest (ROI). Mean intensity can be a proxy for concentration of a fluorophore but is not a good indicator of the total amount of a fluorophore, unless the cells or compartments are of similar size. For example, a small bud and a large bud may have the same number of labeled actin structures, but the small bud will have a higher mean intensity because the structures are crowded into a smaller volume. In scenarios where ROI sizes vary greatly, it is best to approximate the total amount of a fluorescent probe by calculating the integrated intensity (also referred to as integrated density), which is the sum of all of the pixel values in the region. Thus, the integrated intensity takes into consideration not only the mean pixel value but the area of the ROI. Here, we show how to obtain intensity measurements using Volocity and Fiji/ImageJ. For more sensitive and accurate measurements, background should be measured and subtracted from mean intensity values. To correct integrated intensity for background, subtract the product of the background intensity and the area of the ROI.

3.6.1 Using Volocity to Measure Integrated Intensity

1. From the Measurements tab, create a protocol by adding the following steps in order: Find Objects Using Intensity, Exclude Objects by Size, Clip Objects to ROIs, Measure Objects.

2. For images with multiple channels, make sure that the correct channel is selected under the Find Objects Using Intensity tab.
3. Under **Exclude Objects by Size**, select a size criterion that will exclude background pixels or other artifacts of image capture or deconvolution. This value will depend on imaging conditions and must be determined empirically.

4. Under the **Measure Objects** tab, click the gear icon to select which measurements you wish to use. Check **Intensity and Volume Measurements**.

5. Define the ROI you wish to measure. Volocity allows rectangular, circular, and freehand ROIs. Use the Zoom function to make it easier to outline the desired area.

6. Adjust the threshold under the **Find Objects Using Intensity** tab by manipulating the lower and upper limits. We suggest maximizing the upper limit to include all pixels that have high intensity values. The lower limit should be adjusted to remove enough background to include only signal that is found within the cellular structure of interest.

7. All measurements will appear in a tab below the image. Individual objects will be separated (e.g., if mitochondrial fluorescence intensity is being measured and there are three mitochondrial structures, three measurements will be provided, each color-coded to depict which structure they represent). These measurements can be copied into a spreadsheet application such as Microsoft Excel or saved within Volocity by clicking **Make Measurement Item** under the **Measurement** tab.

8. In the results table, the Sum column reports the integrated intensity of each object. The sum of all of these values is the integrated intensity within the ROI.

3.6.2 Using Volocity to Measure Mean Intensity

1. Define the ROI you wish to measure including background ROIs (outside the cell). Volocity allows rectangular, circular, and freehand ROIs. Use the Zoom function to make it easier to outline the desired area.

2. Click **Measure Object** tab to measure the signal intensity.

3. All measurements will appear in a tab below the image. These measurements can be copied into a spreadsheet application such as Microsoft Excel or saved within Volocity by clicking **Make Measurements** under the **Measurement** tab.

4. Record the mean gray value (total fluorescence of area divided by area pixels).

5. Calculate corrected intensity measurements: subtract the background mean intensity from the mean intensity of each measured ROI.
3.6.3 Using Fiji/ImageJ to Measure Mean or Integrated Intensity

1. From the Analyze menu, choose Set Measurements. Check the following: Area, Mean Gray Value, Integrated Density, Display Label.

2. Subtract background intensity in the image:
   (a) Generate a maximum projected image: Image > stacks > Z project. Include all slides by set the start and stop slice. Select “Average Intensity” as the projection type.
   (b) Use the Rectangle selection tool to select a background region (outside the cells). Measure the mean intensity in this region.
   (c) Subtract the mean background mean intensity from the original image: Process > Math > Subtract. Enter the mean background intensity from the last step. Process all slides.

3. Using the ROI tool, define the ROI you wish to measure.

4. From the Analyze menu, choose Measure (or press M). Copy or save the measurements that appear in the results window.

3.6.4 Quantitative Analysis of Actin Cable Number, Thickness, and Intensity Using Line Profile Measurements

Actin cable number and structure within a cell vary with age, metabolic state, and other factors, and this is controlled by a host of actin-binding and regulatory molecules. To quantify these effects, we use a line profile analysis method. Since actin cables are organized mostly in parallel along the length of the mother cell, a line profile drawn across the center of the mother cell effectively samples the cable population and can be used to determine the number, thickness, and actin content of actin cables (Fig. 3). When the pixel intensity along the line is plotted, cables appear as high-intensity peaks. The number of peaks corresponds to the number of cables, and the width of each peak corresponds to the thickness of the actin cable (Table 2). The height of the peak or the area under the curve can be used to estimate relative actin content. Although this analysis method is relatively objective, it underestimates the abundance of actin cables. Alternatively, cables can be counted manually by viewing the Z stack and marking identified cables with either Volocity or Fiji/ImageJ. The manual method is more sensitive for capturing cable numbers, but it is not as objective as line profile analysis and requires a trained eye to not overestimate cable number. The following protocol describes how to use Fiji/ImageJ to perform these measurements [10].

Creating Line Profiles

1. Collect z-stack images of cells stained with fluorescent phalloidin. It is recommended that images be deconvolved using Volocity or comparable software to remove out-of-focus signal and increase the signal-to-background ratio.
2. Make a maximum-intensity projection of the z stack using Image > Stacks > Z Project; choose Projection Type: Max Intensity.

3. If the image has more than one channel, make sure the actin channel is selected.

4. Set up Fiji/ImageJ with the following parameters:
   (a) Edit > Options > Input-Output. Under Results Table Options, check Copy Row Numbers and leave other options unchecked.
   (b) Edit > Options > Profile Plot Options. Set width and height equal to the width and height of your image. Set Minimum Y to 0 and Maximum Y to the image maximum, obtained from Analyze > Histogram.
(c) **Analyze > Set Measurements.** Check Area and Display Label.

(d) **Analyze > Set Scale.** Make sure that the pixel size is set accurately.


6. Draw a line ROI perpendicular to the mother-bud axis, near the middle of the mother and avoiding actin patches, as shown in Fig. 3a. Both ends of the line should extend into the background outside the cell.

7. **Analyze > Plot Profile.** A Plot window should appear showing Gray Value (pixel value) versus Distance.

8. If desired, save the plot image (**File > Save**). Data can be saved (click **Save** on the Plot window) or copied and pasted into a spreadsheet application such as Excel (Click **Copy** on the Plot window and then paste into the other application). Proceed to the next section to quantify the profile data using ImageJ.

---

**Measurements of Cable Number, Thickness, and Intensity**

1. Count the number of peaks in the profile. This represents the number of actin cables within the mother cell (**see Note 15**).

2. Determine a background level by inspection or by using a spreadsheet to average the values from the parts of the profile that are outside the cell. In ImageJ, draw a horizontal line across the plot at that gray value, as shown in red in Fig. 3b.

3. Cable thickness is defined as the width of the peak at half-maximal height above background. To find the height, using the Line ROI tool, draw a vertical line from the maximum height of the peak to the background line, as shown in blue in Fig. 3b. Holding the shift key while drawing a line forces the line to be either vertical or horizontal.

4. Press T to add the vertical line to ROI Manager. **Analyze > Measure.** The Length value represents the maximum cable intensity.

5. In the ROI Manager window, check Show All and Labels. A small number will appear in the middle of the vertical line, marking half the peak height.

6. Draw a horizontal line across the peak at half the peak height as shown in green in Fig. 3b. **Analyze > Measure.** The length of this horizontal line represents the thickness of the actin cable.

7. Click the Wand tool and select an area under the peak above the background line. **Analyze > Measure.** The Area measurement represents the integrated intensity of the cable and can give a relative measurement of actin content for each cable.
4 Notes

1. Filter sterilization can be used to remove any contaminants and/or large aggregates of BSA. Add 0.1 M lysine to 1xPBT to help remove high background.

2. Paraformaldehyde is toxic and carcinogenic. It is readily absorbed through the skin as a liquid and toxic to the respiratory tract as a gas. Gloves and safety goggles are recommended when handling paraformaldehyde, and all handling should be performed in a chemical hood.

3. We recommend fixing cells in mid-log phase because internal structures, including the actin cytoskeleton, are better preserved, compared to cells picked from solid media. The choice of carbon source is also important when imaging actin [11, 12]. Actin cable thickness is increased in cells grown using a non-fermentable carbon source such as lactate.

4. The actin cytoskeleton can be disrupted by centrifugation and rapid changes in temperature [13]. Adding fixative directly to a liquid culture under growth conditions will minimize disruptions. Other important variables are pH and fixative concentration. High paraformaldehyde concentration and low pH increase the number of crosslinks formed and improve structural preservation, but excessive crosslinking can result in artifacts or background fluorescence, owing to crosslinking of fluorescent substances in the media to cellular proteins. Therefore, it is necessary to optimize fixation protocols.

5. To check for cell wall removal, examine 1–2μL of cells under a microscope using transmitted light. Cell edges become less refractile when the wall is removed. Overtreatment with zymolyase will cause cell lysis.

6. Zymolyase treatment changes the integrity of the pellet. When washing, initially add 50μL of buffer and gently aspirate the cells through the pipet tip to resuspend. Then add the remaining wash volume. This will aid in resuspending the cell pellet.

7. Marking one corner of the coverslip with a solution-resistant marker will help differentiate between the coated and uncoated sides.

8. The polylysine-coated coverslips are extremely hydrophobic; try to spread cells to the edges of the coverslip as well as you can.

9. Two antigens can be simultaneously stained by mixing the two primary antibodies in the first incubation and mixing the two secondary antibodies in the second step. Primary antibodies must be raised in different species, and fluorophores used for visualization must have sufficiently separated excitation and emission spectra.
10. This protocol can be adapted for spheroplasts that are attached to coverslips. For adherent spheroplasts, add ¼ volume of fluorescent phalloidin solution (200 units/mL) to the secondary antibody solution and proceed as described in the immunofluorescence protocol.

11. For best results, use 1 mL of cells at OD\textsubscript{600} = 0.5.

12. Cell density should be around $3 \times 10^8$ mL but can be adjusted as needed to produce good distribution of cells on the coverslip.

13. Fixation prior to attaching cells to coverslips may result in incomplete immobilization [14]. Moreover, it leads to loss of cells from the coverslip during staining manipulations. We find that using a hard-set mounting medium (such as ProLong Diamond) effectively immobilizes the cells, even if they are fixed before binding. A protocol for fixation of cells after binding to a coverslip can be found in [14].

14. Artifacts occasionally appear in deconvolved images. These may include halo-like structures, amplification of noise pixels, or the disappearance of structures that are visible in the raw data. If artifacts appear, repeat the deconvolution with fewer iterations. If artifacts do not appear, but the image is still blurry or faint, repeat with more iterations. If deconvolution fails to exceed the 95% confidence limit, verify that optics are clean, light source is aligned, and cells are mounted under a #1.5 coverslip. Also make sure that images are acquired with pixel values at least in the middle of the detector range and not saturating the detector.

15. The line plot profile method for counting cables is reliable and more objective than counting by eye. However, it is important to keep in mind that the measurements are only performed in the center of the mother cell. This may result in underrepresentation of the true cable count due to failure to include cables that do not traverse the region where the line is drawn or adjacent cables that are too close for the software to resolve. Alternatively, cables may be counted manually.
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Abstract

The actin cytoskeleton plays a fundamental role in the regulation of multiple cellular pathways, including trafficking and locomotion. The functional integrity of the cytoskeleton is important during aging, as the decline of cytoskeletal integrity contributes to the physiological consequence of aging. Moreover, improving cytoskeletal form and function throughout aging is sufficient to drive life span extension and promote organismal health in multiple model systems. For these reasons, optimized protocols for visualization of the actin cytoskeleton and its downstream consequences on health span and life span are critical for understanding the aging process. In *C. elegans*, the actin cytoskeleton shows diverse morphologies across tissues, potentially due to the significantly different functions of each cell type. This chapter describes an imaging platform utilizing LifeAct to visualize the actin cytoskeleton in live, whole nematodes throughout the aging process and methods to perform follow-up studies on the life span and health span of these organisms.
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1 Introduction

The actin cytoskeleton is a critical component for the viability of eukaryotic cells, as it contributes to a variety of cellular functions, including maintenance of mechanical support and structure, trafficking of cellular components, and even mediation of cellular stress responses and autophagy [1–5]. The diversity of actin’s roles within the cell is mirrored by its complexity: the actin protein is first synthesized as a monomer, which has little to no function on its own. However, by coordinating with an intricate set of regulatory proteins, actin can assemble into complex polymers with multifaceted functions. Thus, maintenance and quality control of the actin cytoskeleton are both significant and exceptionally complex, as it involves regulating not only the actin protein itself but the
hundreds of actin-regulating proteins that exist. Moreover, the importance of maintaining actin is made evident by the numerous diseases in which cytoskeletal dysfunction is observed, including neurodegeneration, muscle myopathies, and the natural aging process [6–8]. Actin is, therefore, a promising area of biological research, with potential discoveries poised to improve our understanding of cellular and organismal health throughout the aging process.

Visualization of the actin cytoskeleton in live organisms proves a unique challenge due to the highly dynamic nature of actin and the protein-protein interactions used by every surface of the monomer to form branches and filaments, making fluorescent tags at the endogenous actin-encoding gene highly impractical [9, 10]. However, a more recent study utilized a split-GFP system, whereby a small fragment of GFP can be used to tag the actin gene at the endogenous locus and the rest of the GFP protein is expressed from a separate construct to allow for GFP assembly in trans. By placing only a very small tag on the actin protein itself, the protein tag does not disrupt the polymerization capacity of actin, even when linked to the rest of the GFP protein in trans [11]. As an alternative, many actin-binding proteins have been tagged with fluorescent proteins, such as Abp140p in S. cerevisiae [12, 13]. This approach can be refined by instead tagging and expressing exogenous proteins that bind to actin, such as LifeAct, F-tractin, and Utrophin, all of which have variable benefits and consequences that should be taken into consideration when being utilized [14, 15]. For example, overexpression of many of these constructs can actually alter F-actin stability and/or dynamics [15]. Additionally, some constructs may differentially label various actin structures [16].

1.1 Imaging of the Actin Cytoskeleton in C. elegans

The nematode, Caenorhabditis elegans, is an attractive model organism for biological studies as they are easy to grow and have a multicellular anatomy that allows investigation of tissue-specific effects and cell-to-cell communication. Nematodes have been used heavily as an effective model system for large-scale screening due to the ease of genetic perturbations through RNA interference (RNAi), which can be delivered through a bacterial vector. Their quick generation time, isogeny, transparency, genetic tractability, and large evolutionary conservation of cellular pathways make them an ideal system. However, a major disadvantage of C. elegans as a model system is that tools for cell biological studies are severely limited, especially for studying cytoskeletal form and function. Moreover, the thick cuticle of the adult animal is difficult to penetrate with traditional large-molecule actin-staining dyes, such as phalloidin. As such, staining animals would generally require the additional step of breaking down the cuticle, making genetic reporters a simpler and more attractive option for visualization of the actin cytoskeleton in live, adult worms.
In *C. elegans*, expression of LifeAct fused to a fluorescent protein provides a simple and efficient way of visualizing the actin cytoskeleton in live worms [17]. LifeAct is the first 17 amino acids of a yeast actin binding protein, Abp104p, which binds to F-actin filaments and can be used to visualize the F-actin network in live cells when fused to a fluorescent protein [14]. While LifeAct is not the only tool available for visualization of the actin cytoskeleton, we have seen that ectopic expression of F-tractin or Utrophin in *C. elegans* caused detrimental effects, and stable lines could not be synthesized. However, further optimization and reduced expression levels could potentially resolve this issue. Alternatively, using the split-GFP system to create direct tags to the actin protein may yield better results, except the genetics of *C. elegans* make this quite challenging. First, *C. elegans* possess five different genes for actin, in which some inherent differences in expression, function, and localization to tissues may exist [18, 19]. This would require complete characterization of all actin genes to determine which gene should be tagged for each functional readout. Moreover, the requirement of creating an additional transgene expressing the remainder of the GFP protein, subsequent crossing of these two lines together, and the necessity to carry two transgene into all future crosses (e.g., to determine cytoskeletal integrity in mutants) make this system less ideal in *C. elegans*. Thus, we present LifeAct here as a robust and reliable approach to actin visualization paired with ease of application.

### 1.2 Making of *C. elegans* Transgenic Lines

Transgenic constructs can be introduced into *C. elegans* through two methods: microinjections or biolistic bombardment. Microinjections are accomplished by directly injecting DNA into the nematode’s gonads, while biolistic bombardment is achieved through high-speed bombardment of the animal with DNA-coated micro-particles. Both methods can produce animals with extrachromosomal arrays, but only biolistic bombardment can directly result in integration of transgenes into the genome [20–22]. Microinjections require a secondary irradiation step for genome integration or concurrent employment of advanced techniques during the microinjections, such as Mos1-mediated single-copy insertion (MosSCI) [23–26]. Here, we will focus on the latter as our preferred method of strain creation.

DNA introduced into the *C. elegans* germline can form extrachromosomal arrays consisting of multiple copies of the DNA. These extra-chromosomal arrays are heritable to varying degrees from parent to offspring and from cell to cell within the same animal during development [27]. While the copy number can be coarsely adjusted by altering the concentrations of DNA introduced into animals, these changes are imprecise. Ultimately, the copy-number and heritability of the extra-chromosomal array are stochastic. Even though the creation of transgenic animals with
extra-chromosomal arrays is quick and proves uniquely useful for some circumstances, such as mosaic analysis, these animals prove to be less effective for use with cell biology markers [28]. The imprecise expression level and variability from cell to cell can prove to be problematic for constructs that may be toxic at high levels and when consistent expression is required in specific cells. Furthermore, the unstable nature of the arrays requires selection of array-positive animals through markers, such as fluorophores, gene rescues, or dominant mutant alleles, thereby increasing labor requirements for experimental setups and strain maintenance [23]. While integration of these arrays into the genome would solve the variability in expression level and heritability, transgene copy-number and the site of the integration would remain uncontrolled. MosSCI transgenic animals, however, are single-copy insertions at known loci, making them an ideal choice for cell biology marker strains. The single-copy transgenes allow expression levels to have minimal effects on cells, improving the likelihood of successfully creating strains expressing potentially toxic constructs, and the known loci enable coordination of insertion sites with potential future crosses.

Transgenic animals were created by fusing LifeAct to mRuby and expressing them under tissue-specific promoters to accomplish robust labeling of the actin cytoskeleton (Fig. 1a, b). These cytoskeletal structures can be manipulated by conditions that alter cytoskeletal dynamics, such as actin knockdown by RNAi, heat-shock, or treatment with drugs that alter actin polymerization, providing evidence that they serve as a reliable tool for interrogating cytoskeletal integrity (Fig. 1c–e). Finally, deterioration in actin cytoskeletal structural integrity can be visualized during the aging process and thus can also be used for aging studies (Fig. 2). The actin cytoskeleton in muscle cells is visualized as linear striations of filamentous actin parallel to muscle fibers, which show disorganization beginning at day 7 of adulthood (Fig. 2a). Actin in the intestine is visualized as two thick cables found along the intestinal lumen, with more complex structures at the pharynx and anus, all of which also begin to show deterioration at day 7 (Fig. 2b). In the hypodermis, there exist two distinct subpopulations of actin structures. The first is observed in the outer region of the syncytium, closer to the cuticle, appearing as large clusters of actin filaments throughout adulthood. A second set of clusters is found in the inner region of the syncytium, and potentially also in the seam cells, but only become visible at approximately days 3–4 of adulthood (Fig. 2c). These structures show loss of organization by day 7 and are completely lost by day 10. All of the constructs in this method have minimal background signal, making them exceptionally easy to image using standard compound microscopes without the need for more refined imaging techniques (e.g., confocal or deconvolution microscopy) to evaluate these actin structures.
**Fig. 1** LifeAct is a robust and reliable reporter for tissue-specific visualization of actin in live animals. (a) Left: Schematic for tissue-specific LifeAct expression in *C. elegans*. LifeAct is fused to the red fluorescent protein, mRuby, and expressed in worms using a tissue-specific promoter, such as myo-3p for muscle expression. LifeAct-mRuby allows robust visualization of the actin cytoskeleton by binding to F-actin. Right: schematic of the location where LifeAct-mRuby is expressed and visualized in the muscle, intestine, and hypodermis. (b) LifeAct-mRuby-marked structures colocalize with Alexa Fluor 488 phalloidin staining. Transgenic worms expressing LifeAct-mRuby under tissue-specific promoters for the muscle (myo-3p), intestine (gly-19p), and hypodermis (col-19p) were grown to D1 on empty vector (EV) RNAi from hatch, fixed, and stained as described in [17]. All scale bars are 5 μm. (c–e) LifeAct-mRuby-marked actin is susceptible to disruption by actin-destabilizing conditions, such as heat-shock and cytochalasin D treatment in the muscle (c), intestine (d), and hypodermis (e).
Fig. 1 (continued) hypodermis (e). Transgenic worms were grown to D1 for muscle and intestine and D4 for hypodermis on EV RNAi. Worms were heat-shocked at 34 °C for 2–6 h on solid agar or treated with 0.5–2.5 μM cytochalasin D spinning in M9 solution for 4 h at 20 °C and imaged immediately. For actin RNAi, worms were grown from hatch until L4 on EV RNAi and then moved to act-1 RNAi for 24 h and imaged on D1. Images for act-1 RNAi were contrast enhanced separately from other images for ease of visualization of phenotypes due to a significant decrease in fluorescent signal under these conditions. Scale bars are 5 μm. DMSO treatment of worms did not affect cytoskeletal integrity in any tissue type compared to untreated worms. Therefore, DMSO treatment is shown here as a representative control. (Original figure from [17])

Fig. 2 The actin cytoskeleton shows loss of structure and integrity as a function of age. Cytoskeletal integrity was monitored in the muscle (a), intestine (b), and hypodermis (c) of live C. elegans at various stages of adulthood (D1, D4, D7, D10, D13), using transgenic worms expressing LifeAct-mRuby under tissue-specific promoters as described in Fig. 1. Worms were grown on EV RNAi from hatch and age-isolated by three different methods: sedimentation, FUDR treatment, or hand-picking, as described in Subheading 3.6. All three methods show similar trends. Representative data from hand-picking are shown. Scale bars are 5 μm. (Original figure from [17])
Surprisingly, we did not observe any significant cytoskeletal structures in the neurons or pharynx using LifeAct::mRuby, although these transgenic animals are available.

The primary strength of *C. elegans* as a model system is their genetic tractability. The cytoskeletal reporters described here are amenable for testing the effect of genetic perturbations, including RNAi, introduction of genetic mutations, or overexpression of constructs of interest. As an example, the effect of RNAi knockdown and overexpression of *hsf-1* is described. *hsf-1* encodes the transcription factor, heat-shock factor 1 (HSF-1), which is one of the key transcription factors for regulating the cytosolic heat-shock response, a critical quality control machinery for maintaining cytoplasmic protein homeostasis under stress [29]. HSF-1 also regulates the expression of cytoskeletal-regulatory genes and directly impacts the quality and function of the actin cytoskeleton [30]. RNAi knockdown of *hsf-1* accelerates the destabilization of the actin cytoskeleton during aging, resulting in premature breakdown of actin structures in the muscle, intestine, and hypodermis (Fig. 3a–c). In contrast, overexpression of *hsf-1* is sufficient to protect the cytoskeleton at advanced age (Fig. 3d–f).

### 1.4 Physiological Assays to Measure Organismal Health

The cytoskeletal network is of critical importance to a diverse array of cellular functions. Accordingly, disruption of the actin cytoskeleton by RNAi knockdown of the actin gene, *act-1*, significantly impacts cellular health and decreases organismal life span (Fig. 4a) [17]. In contrast, preservation of cytoskeletal function through overexpression of *hsf-1* significantly increases life span and resistance to thermal stress in *C. elegans* (Fig. 4b–d) [17, 30]. Moreover, promoting actin dynamics has been shown to contribute to the increased quality of other organelles, such as the mitochondria in yeast, also leading to increased health and life span [3]. Thus, life span and thermostolerance assays can serve as an indirect readout of cytoskeletal health. The short life span and ease of maintenance of *C. elegans* make it an excellent model organism for using these physiological measurements and performing other previously established stress assays to evaluate the impact of actin manipulations on organismal health [31].

## 2 Materials

### 2.1 C. elegans Growth Media

1. Lysogeny broth (LB): All standard LB recipes are acceptable, including homemade recipes using bacto-tryptone, yeast extract, and NaCl. For this study, commercial LB from Fisher Scientific was used.

2. Nematode growth media (NGM): 1 mM CaCl$_2$, 5 μg/mL cholesterol, 25 mM KPO$_4$ pH 6.0, 1 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.25% (w/v) Bacto-Peptone, 51.3 mM NaCl; 2% w/v agar added for plates.
Fig. 3 HSF-1 is required for maintenance of cytoskeletal integrity. Cytoskeletal integrity was monitored in the muscle (a), intestine (b), and hypodermis (c) of transgenic worms expressing LifeAct::mRuby under tissue-specific promoters. For (a–c) worms were grown on either EV or hsf-1 RNAi from hatch. Imaging was stopped at D7 due to the large number of dead worms grown on hsf-1 RNAi beyond D10. (d) Cytoskeletal integrity was monitored in muscle of wild-type and myo-3p::hsf-1 worms at D1, D4, D7, D10, and D13. (e) Cytoskeletal integrity was monitored in the hypodermis of wild-type and col-19p::hsf-1 worms at D1, D4, D7, D10, and D13. (f) Cytoskeletal integrity was monitored in the intestine of wild-type and gly-19p::hsf-1 worms at D1, D4, D7, D10, and D13. For (d–f) worms were plated on EV RNAi from hatch. All worms were age-isolated by sedimentation, growth on FUDR, or hand-picking. Representative images from hand-picking are shown. Scale bars are 5µm. (Original figure from [17])
3. NGM RNAi plates: 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 μg/mL cholesterol, 25 mM KPO₄ pH 6.0, 1 mM MgSO₄, 2% (w/v) agar, 0.25% (w/v) Bacto-Peptone, 51.3 mM NaCl, 1 mM IPTG, 100 μg/mL carbenicillin/ampicillin. Store at 4°C in dark for up to 3 months.

4. 5-Fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FUDR): 10 mg/mL dissolved in M9 (22 mM KH₂PO₄ monobasic, 42.3 mM KH₂PO₄, 85.6 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO₄).

2.2 MosSCI Construct Synthesis

1. MosSCI vector (see Table 1).

2. Forward and reverse primers with homology overlap for all plasmid components, 10 μM working solution each (see Subheading 3.3).

3. Distilled H₂O.
4. High-Fidelity Polymerase 2× Master Mix (we recommend Q5 Hot Start, NEB M0494S).
5. PCR purification kit: we recommend QIAGEN QIAquick PCR Purification Kit.
6. DNA Assembly Master Mix (we recommend NEBuilder HiFi, NEB E5520S).
7. Competent DH5α cells.
8. Standard LB agar plates for bacterial growth, containing 50 μg/mL carbenicillin.
9. Carbenicillin stock 50 mg/mL.

2.3 C. elegans

Microinjections

3. Glass coverslips: #1.5, 22 × 50 mm.
4. Glass coverslips: #1.5, 22 × 22 mm.
5. Injection pad agarose: 2% (w/v) agarose.
7. Dissecting microscope: Leica S7E Stereo Zoom w/ Fiber Optic Light.
8. Fluorescent dissecting microscope: Leica M165 FC, with DSR filter (Leica 10447412).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Mos1 locus</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Vector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EG6701</td>
<td>ttTì4348</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pCFJ352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG6702</td>
<td>ttTì4391</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>pCFJ353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG6699</td>
<td>ttTì5605</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>pCFJ350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG6703</td>
<td>cxTì10816</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>pCFJ356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG6700</td>
<td>cxTì10882</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>pCFJ351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG6705</td>
<td>ttTì14024</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>pCFJ355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
MosSCI strains, transposon loci, integration chromosome, and corresponding MosSCI vectors

11. MosSCI strains (see Table 1).

12. MosSCI plasmids (see Table 2).

### Table 2
MosSCI injection plasmids and injection mixture concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Transgene</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Expression tissue</th>
<th>Working concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pCFJ601</td>
<td>eft-3p::Mos1 transposase</td>
<td>Transposase</td>
<td>Ubiquitous</td>
<td>50 ng/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGH8</td>
<td>rab-3p::mCherry::unc-54 UTR</td>
<td>Co-injection marker</td>
<td>Pan-neuronal</td>
<td>10 ng/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCFJ90</td>
<td>myo-2p::mCherry::unc-54 UTR</td>
<td>Co-injection marker</td>
<td>Pharynx</td>
<td>2.5 ng/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCFJ104</td>
<td>myo-3p::mCherry::unc-54 UTR</td>
<td>Co-injection marker</td>
<td>Body wall muscle</td>
<td>5 ng/uL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCFJ35X</td>
<td>construct, Cb-unc-119(+)</td>
<td>MosSCI construct</td>
<td>Construct dependent</td>
<td>25 ng/uL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. M9 solution: 22 mM KH₂PO₄ monobasic, 42.3 mM KH₂PO₄, 85.6 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO₄.

2. Bleaching solution: 1.8% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite, 0.375 M KOH.

### C. elegans

#### Synchronization

1. Sodium azide: 1 M (~6.5%) stock solution of sodium azide in water. Store in the dark at 4 °C. This is a 10× solution and is diluted to a 100 mM working stock with M9 for most imaging experiments. A 10 mM working stock can also be used for reduced toxicity over longer-term imaging.

2. Glass slides: we recommend Superfrost Plus Microscope slides.

3. #1.5 cover glass.

4. Nail polish: we recommend clear nail polish.

5. Compound/wide-field microscope: The system used here is a Zeiss AxioObserver.Z1 microscope equipped with a Lumencor Sola light engine, Zeiss AxioCam 506 camera, Zen (blue edition) software, standard GFP filter (Zeiss filter set 46 HE), standard dsRed filter (Zeiss filter set 43), and a 63× Plan-Apochromat oil objective with a 1.4 NA (Zeiss Objective 44 07 60).
3 Methods

3.1 Growth and Maintenance of C. elegans

The two bacterial strains most commonly used as a food source for C. elegans are OP50, a B strain, and HT115, a K-12 strain that is used almost exclusively for RNAi [32, 33]. There are some major differences between the two bacterial diets, which cause physiological changes in worms, including metabolic profile, mitochondrial quality, and life span [34]. Some of these differences are due to the vitamin B12 deficiency found in OP50 bacteria, which can result in defects in mitochondrial homeostasis and increased sensitivity to pathogens and stress, none of which are observed on an HT115 diet [35]. Therefore, we recommend that all experiments are performed on HT115 if possible, regardless of the necessity of RNAi. However, due to the ease of using OP50, all standard growth (e.g., maintenance and amplification of animals) can be performed on OP50. For all the experiments described in this protocol, we have not seen significant differences in animals maintained on OP50 diets but moved to HT115 post-synchronization.


2. Seed saturated OP50 culture onto NGM plates: ~100–200 μL of volumes on 60 mm NGM plates and approximately 0.8–1 mL of culture onto 100 mm plates. Allow to completely dry before plating worms on bacterial lawn.

3. For standard maintenance, it is recommended to move 10–15 young animals (eggs, L1, or L2 stages) onto a 60 mm plate, although more can be moved if dealing with mutants or transgenic animals with low fecundity (see Note 2). If kept at 15 °C, animals are moved once per week, and if animals are kept at 20 °C, animals are moved twice per week. A fresh thaw should be performed every 25–30 passages.

4. To expand animals for experimentation, a full 60 mm plate can be chunked onto 100 mm plates. To chunk animals, a small piece of the agar that contains worms of interest is broken off the original plate and placed animal side down onto the bacterial lawn of a fresh NGM plate. As a general frame of reference, animals with wild-type fecundity can have a full 60 mm plate cut into four to six parts and chunked onto individual large 100 mm plates to create full large plates, without starvation of the animals, at 20 °C within 2–3 days.

3.2 Making C. elegans MosSCI Strains

MosSCI constructs are integrated into the genome through homology-directed repair (HDR) at sites of a double-stranded break created by excision of the Mos1 transposon. More specifically, transgenic lines are created by injecting MosSCI specific strains with a plasmid cocktail composed of the pCFJ601, multiple...
tissue-specific fluorescent markers, and the transgenic construct within a MosSCI specific vector (refer to “About MosSci,” WormBuilder.org Legacy site, 2020) [24, 36]. The MosSCI strains contain the *unc-119(ed3)* mutant allele and the Mos1 transposon at specific loci. pCFJ601 expresses the Mos1 transposase under a germline promoter to induce transposon excision, thereby creating a double-stranded break. Since DNA microinjections can lead to extrachromosomal array formation, the tissue-specific co-injection markers used do not integrate into the genome and serve as indicators of arrays that may form. By including multiple tissue markers, one can reduce the likelihood of extra-chromosomal array false negatives resulting from the mosaic cell to cell heritability of the arrays. Lastly, the MosSCI vectors contain sequence homology for corresponding Mos1 transposon sites in MosSCI strains and the *C. briggsae* *unc-119(+)* homolog. Alternatively, strains created for the universal MosSCI system have been engineered to have the same sequences surrounding all transposon insertion sites, allowing for use of a single vector with all the universal MosSCI strains [37]. The homology sequences within the vectors allow for HDR-mediated integration of the construct into the genome at single-copy levels, while the *Cb-unc-119(+)* is used as a selection marker for successfully injected worms and potential transgene integration.

3.3 MosSCI Construct Design and Synthesis

To create *C. elegans* strains expressing fluorescent LifeAct, genetic constructs must contain the necessary components to ensure proper expression. All constructs must contain a 5' UTR (promoter), our gene of interest (LifeAct::mRuby), and a 3' UTR. Optionally, these constructs may also contain useful sequences such as introns, an internal ribosome entry site (IRES), a spliced leader 2 (SL2) sequence, or 2A peptide sequence.

Promoters must be empirically determined; however, the first 1–2 kb upstream of the gene start codon are usually sufficient for expression [38]. Promoters of specific genes with well-characterized expression profiles are commonly used for expression within distinct tissues [39]. A list of recommended promoters that have been validated by our lab is provided in (Table 3). In addition to the promoter’s regulation, the 3'UTRs contain regulatory sequences, which affect mRNA stability and translation and must therefore be included in the construct [40]. We find that for most constructs, the well-established 3' UTR of the *unc-54* gene is sufficient for stable gene expression. We utilize the last 29 bp of the gene (including the stop codon), in addition to the 700 bp after the stop codon. Alternatively, including the 3' UTR of a gene-of-interest may more closely reflect the regulation of that particular gene.
When creating transgenic *C. elegans* strains, considerations must be taken to promote gene expression level in the tissue of interest, as *C. elegans* nematodes possess the ability to silence the expression of foreign genetic material, especially for high-copy number transgenes within the germline [41]. The inclusion of introns within the sequence can reduce silencing and improve transgene expression, and we therefore recommend them for single-copy transgenes [42]. Inclusion of introns can be accomplished by inserting endogenous introns (i.e., genomic sequences) or introns foreign to the gene sequence. The optimal insertion site of foreign introns must be empirically determined, as they can potentially have negative effects on expression levels [43]. We find that constructs containing fluorescent protein genes with introns are stably expressed.

Lastly, an IRES, SL2, or 2A sequence may be utilized to allow for concurrent expression of two or more individual proteins from a single promoter construct [44, 45]. Combining these sequences with a fluorophore has been used for monitoring of fluorescent gene expression without fusion of the gene-of-interest to the fluorophore [46]. However, how well these multi-expression systems function across age is not well-characterized.

To assemble the MosSCI construct, we utilize an isothermal DNA assembly protocol [47]. For this method, we design primers to amplify all individual sequences within the MosSCI vector, the MosSCI vector, and a small portion of the upstream proximal sequence. This results in final products with overlapping regions, which are utilized to assemble all sequences in the correct order by isothermal assembly.

1. Utilize online resources such as Wormbase or Addgene to find the desired sequences.
2. Utilize software such as APE (A Plasmid Editor), SnapGene, or Benchling to assemble the sequences into a plasmid.
3. Design primers for each sequence to be amplified and then include 15–20 base pairs upstream of the primer within the in silico designed plasmid, to provide the necessary overlap for isothermal assembly.

4. Perform all individual PCR reactions following the polymerase manufacturer’s guidelines. For Q5 Master Mix: Add 2.5 μL of both forward and reverse 10 μM primer to a PCR tube. Add 1–10 ng of the template DNA to be amplified. Add 25 μL of the Q5 hot start 2× master mix. Add water to a final volume of 50 μL and mix by pipetting. Run the following thermocycler protocol:
   (a) 30 s at 98 °C for initial denaturation.
   (b) 25–35 cycles of: 5–10 s at 98 °C, then 20 s at 50–72 °C
       (see Note 3) followed by 20–30 s/kb.
   (c) 2 min at 72 °C.
   (d) Hold at 4–10 °C.

5. Verify the product length by gel electrophoresis and purify the DNA according to the QIAGEN QIAquick PCR Purification Kit manufacturer’s guidelines.

6. Assemble PCR fragments through an isothermal assembly reaction according to the manufacturer’s guidelines:
   (a) Mix 0.03–0.5 pmols of vector and insert PCR fragments into a PCR tube with a 1:2 (vector:insert) molar ratio (see Note 4), totaling 10 μL.
   (b) Add 10 μL of NEBuilder reaction mix and pipette to mix thoroughly.
   (c) Incubate in a thermocycler for 60 min at 50 °C, then hold at 23 °C.

7. Transform the assembled plasmid with a transformation protocol. Here, we use a heat-shock transformation protocol:
   (a) Dilute isothermal assembly reaction 1:3 (reaction:water).
   (b) Add 5 μL of diluted reaction to 50 μL of competent cells (e.g., DH5a) thawed on ice.
   (c) Incubate on ice for 25 min.
   (d) Heat shock for 30 s at 42 °C, then immediately place on ice for 2 min.
   (e) Plate bacteria on an LB plate containing carbenicillin and incubate overnight at 37 °C.

8. Inoculate successful bacterial colonies into LB with 50 μg/mL carbenicillin and grow culture overnight to saturation.

9. Isolate the plasmid according to the QIAGEN QIAprep spin Miniprep Kit manufacturer’s guidelines.

10. Sequence the plasmid with multiple primers to verify that all inserted sequences are present and correct.
Making *C. elegans* transgenic strains is a multistep, multi-day process. Injection pads and worms must be prepared at least 24 h prior to the day of injections. The injection mixture may be prepared in advance and should be adjusted to fit individual needs. We utilized mCherry fluorescent markers, but different tissue markers may be substituted for one of the markers in Table 2 to avoid similar fluorescence of both the MosSCI construct and a co-injection marker within the same tissue. While successful injections are dependent on the individual skill level and chance, we find that injecting 15–30 worms per construct usually results in creation of the MosSCI strain. Following injections, the progeny is iteratively screened for the presence of the MosSCI construct and absence of the co-injection markers. The screening process may require 2–6 generations of progeny to complete.

### 3.4.1 Preparation of Injection Pads

Injection pads are coverslips with dried agarose used to immobilize worms during injections. The pads must be made at least 24 h prior to injections for the agarose to dry. Once made, they can be stored indefinitely at room temperature. Care must be taken to not touch the agarose while handling the injection pad to avoid contamination.

1. Make 2% molten agarose.
2. Cut a pipette tip to allow for a bigger opening, and pipette a small amount of 2% molten agarose on the center of a 22 × 50 mm coverslip.
3. Place a second 22 × 50 mm coverslip on the molten agarose in perpendicular orientation to the first coverslip to flatten the agarose.
4. Allow ~1 min for the agarose to solidify, and then carefully slide off the top coverslip while leaving the agarose on the center of the bottom coverslip. If the agarose sticks to the top coverslip, flip over the coverslip and allow the agar to dry on it.
5. Repeat as necessary to make more injection pads.
6. Allow the flattened agarose pad to open-air dry overnight.
7. Once dry, stack injection pads in the same orientation and store in a coverslip case. Make a note of the orientation to ensure the correct side is used at a later time.

### 3.4.2 Worm Selection for Injections

Twenty-four hours prior to injecting, select L4 stage *unc* animals from the MosSCI strain, move them to a new NGM plate seeded with OP50, and store at 20 °C for 24 h to have a collection of day 1 adult animals to inject the following day. Alternatively, a standard bleaching protocol can be used to synchronize a population of worms (see Subheading 3.5 below) and timed to inject day 1 adult animals.
While needles can be pulled any time prior to injection and carefully stored in an empty petri plate on rows of mounting putty, the rest of the preparation must be performed immediately before injecting. The needles are loaded with a small volume of the DNA mixture. Loading the needles with DNA too far in advance can result in evaporation of some of the fluid, resulting in changes to the plasmid concentrations or evaporative loss of all fluid in the DNA mixture.

1. Prepare the injection mixture according to Table 2. (DNA can be mixed beforehand and stored at −20 °C.)

2. Use the micropipette puller to pull needles from the quartz capillary glass using setting 50, according to the P-2000 manufacturer’s guidelines (a petri plate with mounting putty can serve as a holder for multiple needles so the fragile tips do not contact anything).

3. Pipette DNA mixture into the open end of needle. A small volume of liquid will fill the tip of the capillary tube. Allow capillary action to move the liquid to the needle end before adding more liquid to the open end. Repeat until ~1 μL of DNA mixture is loaded into each needle (Fig. 5a). Loading 2–4 needles at a time is recommended to have extra needles ready in the event that a needle clogs or breaks incorrectly.

4. Load a needle containing the plasmid mixture into the Femto-Jet capillary holder, and secure it on the micromanipulator attached to the compound microscope. Set the FemtoJet settings to manual and the pressures to 1000 for pi and 333 for pc.

5. Set up a 22 × 22 mm coverslip on top of one end of a 22 × 50 mm coverslip, add a drop of oil on one edge of the top coverslip that is closest to the center of the larger bottom coverslip, and then spread the oil along the middle part of the edge so that the middle half of the edge is under oil.

6. Place the oil-covered coverslip on the stage of the compound microscope such that the edge of the coverslip is in the center of the field and focus on the coverslip edge using the 10× objective.

7. Switch to the 40× objective and get the center Z-position of the edge into focus.

8. Switch to the 10× objective and adjust the needle tip so that it is in the oil and in focus using the micromanipulator controls without adjusting the focus. Ensure that the needle tip is far away enough from the coverslip edge to avoid breaking the needle. If the needle holder must be adjusted to achieve the correct positioning, raise the needle away from the stage with micromanipulator controls, adjust the positioning manually, and then attempt to position the needle tip with the micromanipulator controls in order to avoid damaging the needle tip.
9. Change to the 40× objective and adjust the needle tip again so that it is in focus and close to the coverslip edge using the micromanipulator controls without adjusting the focus. Both the needle tip and coverslip edge center Z-position should be in focus.

10. Using the fine adjustments of the micromanipulator, break the needle tip by slowly moving it toward the coverslip edge. A small amount of liquid may come out and cling to the coverslip edge upon a successful break (see Note 5). Pressing inject or clean should release liquid and confirm that needle tip has broken (Fig. 5b).

Fig. 5 Schematic for *C. elegans* microinjections. (a) Quartz needle loaded with adequate amount of DNA mixture. (b) Quartz needle after breaking the tip on the edge of the smaller coverslip and pressing “clean” to confirm the break by the formation of an aqueous droplet within the oil. (c) Animals properly lined up and immobilized on an oil patch on top of an agarose pad in preparation for microinjections. (d) Close-up view of an immobilized worm viewed through dissecting microscope. The black triangles mark the clear gonad positioned to the right side of the animal, and the white triangles mark the internal eggs positioned closer to the left side of the animal. (e) Immobilized worm viewed through compound microscope 40× DIC objective with needle in focus. Triangles mark nuclei “dimples” within outer edge of the gonad, indicating correct Z-position for injecting. (f) Immobilized worm viewed through compound microscope 40× DIC objective with injection needle tip positioned past the gonad.
11. Raise the needle using the micromanipulator Z-controls only, making sure not to change the X or Y settings so that the needle remains centered within the field of view when it is lowered back down in later steps.

3.4.4 Injecting Worms

Worms are immobilized for injections by adhering to the dried agarose pad that has been covered with a thin layer of injection oil. Excessive time on the agarose pad, even when covered by oil, can result in irreversible desiccation of the animal. Therefore, we recommend that animals be prepared for injections after the needle has been fully prepared (see previous Subheading 3.4.3).

1. Place an injection pad under the dissecting microscope with the dried agarose side up, and add a large drop of injection oil next to agarose pad. This drop will serve as the supply for further steps.

2. Adjust the dissecting microscope to get the agarose pad in focus by focusing on the edge of the pad (see Note 6).

3. Using a sterile worm pick, make a thin, square patch of oil on the agarose pad large enough to contain 4–6 worms.

4. Sterilize the pick and move 4–6 worms onto the oil patch from the synchronized population of day 1 *unc* MosSCI worms (see Note 7).

5. With a pick, manipulate the worms so that the gonad is visible on the side where the needle will be located, and pat down the worm to ensure that they are immobilized on the agarose under the oil. Correct positioning will also result in the vulva and eggs being positioned on the opposite side (Fig. 5c, d).

6. Move the injection pad to the compound microscope and focus on the worms using the 10× objective.

7. Switch to the 40× objective and get the center Z-position of either gonad in focus and at the center of the field of view. We recommend focusing on the widest part of the gonad to increase the likelihood of positioning the needle tip within the gonad in later steps. When focused on the center Z-position of the gonad, the large nuclei in the outer edge of the gonad will be visible as dimple-like structures through DIC microscopy (Fig. 5e).

8. Using the micromanipulator controls only, lower the needle until the tip is in focus and close to the gonad without contacting the worm (Fig. 5e).

9. Move the needle toward the gonad until it pierces the animal and the tip is within the gonad. Due to the force needed to puncture the cuticle, the needle tip may penetrate completely through the gonad (Fig. 5f). If this occurs, the needle must be retracted so that the tip is near the center before injecting the DNA.
10. Inject DNA into the gonad and move the needle out of the gonad. Injections can be accomplished by pressing the “inject” button on the FemtoJet, or alternatively, the “clean” button can be used if the needle break is small and requires more pressure. During the injection, fluid may travel up the gonad arm or a few eggs may be released from the vulva (see Note 8).

11. Raise the needle with the micromanipulator so that it is out of focus and out of the way, then switch to the $10\times$ objective, and locate another worm to inject.

12. Repeat steps 7–11 until all worms have been injected. Both gonads in a worm can be injected; however, doing so will increase the risk of excessive injections.

13. Raise the needle with the micromanipulator so it is completely out of the way and at least an inch above the coverslip.

14. Move the injection pad coverslip back to the dissecting microscope, and free the worms from the agarose pad by adding a small amount of M9 buffer to the patch of oil containing the worms. Excessive M9 may leak onto the unused agarose pad and reduce its useable space.

15. Sterilize a worm pick and move the worms from the M9/oil to a new NGM plate seeded with OP50 (see Note 9).

16. Repeat steps 2–15 as necessary to inject more worms. If no more space is available on the agar pad, a new pad should be set up from step 1.

17. Allow injected worms to recover for 24 h at 20 °C, then maintain them at 25 °C.

3.4.5 Isolating MosSCI Transgenic Animals

After 2–3 days at 25 °C, a fluorescent dissecting microscope will be used to identify MosSCI integrants in the progeny of injected worms. While obtaining a MosSCI integrant without extra-chromosomal arrays in the F1 population is possible, obtaining animals with arrays is more likely. These animals may or may not have the MosSCI construct integrated into the genome and must be kept at 25 °C for screening of future progeny for loss of the array.

1. Under a fluorescence dissecting microscope, use white light to identify worms positive for the construct by looking for wild-type movement (non-$unc$ worms). They will be larger and leave sinusoidal tracks in the bacteria.

2. Turn the white light off and turn on the fluorescence channel to determine if the worms identified in step 1 possess an array by looking for fluorescence in the tissues corresponding to the co-injection markers utilized.
3. Animals positive for the construct (non-unc) and negative for the arrays (lack fluorescence from the co-injection markers) should be singled out and transferred to their own individual NGM plates seeded with OP50 and maintained at 25 °C.

(a) If all non-unc animals are positive for arrays, the worms must be placed back at 25 °C until the next population of progeny have developed and are ready to be screened (see step 1).

(b) If the plate has starved, worms can be chunked to a new NGM plate seeded with OP50 and screened once they have grown (see step 1).

4. Progeny of the individually selected animals from step 3 (non-unc, array-negative) are then screened for homozygosity of the MosSCI construct and double-checked for array fluorescence and integration of the construct.

(a) If all the offspring are non-unc, the original single animal selected is homozygous for the MosSCI construct integration. This new strain can now be confirmed using PCR genotyping and used for backcrosses or experiments. Higher magnification fluorescent imaging can be used to confirm lack of dimly expressed arrays.

(b) If any unc worms are present on the plate, the original single animal selected was heterozygous, and 8–16 non-unc single worms must be transferred to individual plates for screening of the next progeny population.

(c) If unc worms are present on every plate for more than two rounds of step 4 screening, non-unc worms are likely arrays without co-injection markers and can be discarded. Injection of new animals is recommended if this is the case.

3.5 Synchronization of C. elegans Using Bleaching

A synchronized population of animals is required whenever age-related studies are performed. There exist many different methods for synchronization of animals, which can be as simple as hand-picking animals of a specific stage when a small number of animals are required or more involved like bleaching, when large numbers of animals are required. Bleaching is a method used to degrade the adult carcasses and isolate eggs from within the uterus. Because eggshells are more resilient to bleaching, a properly timed bleaching protocol will completely dissolve the animals while leaving the living embryos within the eggs intact. This protocol is a great method to collect a large population of synchronized animals. However, because eggs within a uterus can be 6–10 h apart in developmental stage, a tighter synchronization can be accomplished by L1-arresting animals. When eggs hatch in the absence
of food, animals arrest in an early larval stage. Animals can remain in this larval stage for extended periods of time with limited effects on physiology [48].

1. Wash gravid worms (animals with a uterus full of eggs) off plates using 3–15 mL of M9 solution, swirling the plates to suspend the animals in the M9 (see Note 10).

2. Using a serological pipette, move the worm/M9 mix from plates into single 15 mL conical tubes. Multiple plates of the same strain may be collected to the same tube.

3. Centrifuge the worms at 1000 \( \times g \) for 30 s and aspirate the supernatant, being mindful that adult worm pellets are loose. It is not necessary to wash off residual bacteria as the bleaching protocol will also eliminate bacteria. If there are large clumps of mold or bacteria, worms can be washed several times with M9.

4. Add 2–10 mL of freshly prepared bleaching solution to the tubes, using \( \sim 1 \text{ mL of bleach for every } \sim 0.1 \text{ mL of animal pellet. Invert the worm and bleaching mixture for } \sim 5 \text{ min, or vigorously shake tubes to speed up the reaction. Regularly check the progress of the bleaching under a dissection microscope, as different strains may bleach at different rates. Continue to step 5 once the adult carcasses have been fully dissolved and the eggs are suspended in the solution (see Note 11).}

5. Spin the tubes at 1000 \( \times g \) for 30 s (see Note 12), aspirate the supernatant, and add M9 solution up to 15 mL. Cap and shake the tubes to resuspend the egg pellets, and wash any residual bleach off the eggs, taking care to ensure entire egg pellet is resuspended.

6. Repeat step 5 two times to ensure that all bleach has been washed from the eggs (see Note 13).

7. Spin the tubes at 1000 \( \times g \) for 30 s, and then aspirate the supernatant and add M9 solution up to 10 mL, depending on the expected concentration of eggs.

8. Pipet egg/M9 mix onto plates to grow at 15–20 °C for experimentation. To approximate worm count, 5 \( \mu \text{L of egg mixture can be placed on an agar plate or microscope slide to count the number of eggs under a microscope. Divide the count by 5 to get an approximation of number of eggs per 1 } \mu \text{L of volume. Averaging of 3 or more independent counts will improve accuracy of the egg count. To avoid starvation of worms for experiments, refer to Table 4 for recommended egg counts per plate.}

9. Alternatively, animals can be L1-arrested for tighter synchronization of animals. To L1 arrest, eggs are resuspended in 10 mL of M9 and incubated in a rotator at 20 °C for up to 24 h. Wild-
type animals have shown no defects in physiology after L1 arresting for up to 48 h. The count of arrested L1 worms can be approximated as described in steps 7–8.

3.6 Aging of C. elegans for Age-Associated Studies

Since *C. elegans* are hermaphrodites and self-mate, maintaining a population of animals throughout age poses a challenge. Progeny must be eliminated to prevent contamination of the aging population by younger animals. When dealing with a small population of animals, adult animals can be moved away from their progeny by hand-picking adults onto new plates, similar to a life span assay and other survival protocols. However, this requires extensive manual labor and becomes much more difficult with protocols requiring a large population of worms. Another manual method is to collect animals from plates with M9 solution and allowing the adults to sediment in the liquid before aspiration of the upper fractions containing younger animals, which take longer to settle in a liquid. This method is applicable to larger populations of worms and does not require genetic or chemical manipulations. However, it requires extensive sample manipulation, is low throughput, may result in loss of animals, and has the greatest risk of being contaminated by younger animals.

To avoid manual or mechanical separation of adults from progeny, animals can be sterilized by genetic or chemical means. There exist several temperature sensitive mutants that do not produce progeny when grown at elevated temperatures, such as the *glp-1* and *glp-4* mutants, which lack a majority of their germlines [49, 50], or the sperm-deficient double-mutant CF512 strain [51]. However, these strains must be exposed to elevated temperatures and crossed with strains of interest. The simplest method for sterilization is through the use of chemicals, such as exposure to FUDR, which inhibits DNA replication [52]. For the imaging protocols described here, all methods of aging showed similar trends of data, although a minor level of variability was observed as expected: washing caused a slightly higher rate of deterioration of actin due to mechanical stress, while FUDR treatment caused the slowest deterioration of actin due to a complete lack of mechanical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plate size</th>
<th>Bacteria type</th>
<th>No. of animals to reach day 1 adulthood</th>
<th>No. of animals to reach L4 stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60 mm OP50</td>
<td>100–150</td>
<td>150–300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 mm HT115</td>
<td>70–100</td>
<td>120–200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mm OP50</td>
<td>600–1000</td>
<td>1500–2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mm HT115</td>
<td>350–600</td>
<td>700–1300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stress. However, these differences were not significant relative to the changes seen in the strains used. Representative data for each set of experiments are shown and described in figure legends. FUDR treatment can cause changes in life span and should not be used for sterilization for life span studies [53].

### 3.6.1 Manual Removal of Animals from Progeny with a Standard Pick

1. Prepare plates spotted with bacteria of interest (Subheading 3.1). We recommend using HT115 bacteria even in experiments not involving RNAi knockdown. Prepare enough plates for the experiments, based on the assumption that animals will be moved seven to ten times based on progeny development.

2. Synchronize animals of choice using methods described in Subheading 3.5, and plate animals onto spotted plates using criteria recommended in Table 4.

3. Incubate eggs at 20 °C for 3–4 days (65–96 h) until day 1 of adulthood, depending on the strain fecundity and speed of development.

4. At day 1, transfer animals onto separate plates. We recommend placing 20–25 animals on each plate.

5. For the first 5–7 days, animals should be moved away from progeny manually using a pick every day until progeny are no longer visible (see Note 14). After progeny are no longer visible, animals can be kept on plates and only moved if there is a risk of starvation.

### 3.6.2 Isolation of Adult Animals Using Washing and Sedimentation

1. Prepare plates spotted with bacteria of interest (Subheading 3.1). We recommend using HT115 bacteria even in experiments not involving RNAi knockdown. Prepare enough plates for experiments, based on the assumption that animals will be moved seven to ten times based on progeny development (see Note 15).

2. Synchronize animals of choice using methods described in Subheading 3.5, and plate animals onto spotted plates using criteria recommended in Table 4.

3. Incubate eggs at 20 °C for 3–4 days (65–96 h) until day 1 of adulthood, depending on the strain fecundity and speed of development.

4. Starting from day 2, animals are washed off plates using M9 and transferred into a 15 mL conical tube. Adult animals are then allowed to sediment for ~1–2 min. Immediately after an adult pellet is visible, aspirate off all M9/progeny mix, removing the top layer of the adult pellet to ensure all progeny are removed. If the number of animals is a major concern, do not remove the top later of adults, and instead perform two to three extra washes to ensure all progeny are removed.
3.6.3 Chemical Sterilization of Adult Animals

1. Prepare plates spotted with bacteria of interest (Subheading 3.1). We recommend using HT115 bacteria even in experiments not involving RNAi knockdown. Prepare two sets of plates.

2. Synchronize animals of choice using methods described in Subheading 3.5, and plate animals onto spotted plates using criteria recommended in Table 4.

3. On a second set of plates, add FUDR directly to the center of the bacterial lawn, and swirl the plates to ensure FUDR mix spreads evenly across the bacterial lawn. We recommend 100 μL for 60 mm plates and 500 μL for 100 mm plates. Let dry overnight.

4. Incubate eggs at 20 °C for 2 days (~56 h) to the L4 stage.

5. Wash L4 animals off plates using M9 into a 15 mL conical tube. Centrifuge at 1000 × g for 30 s to pellet and then aspirate the M9 solution.

6. Plate L4 animals onto the second set of plates containing FUDR. Animals can now be grown on FUDR plates until the desired age for experimentation. If there is a risk of starvation, animals can be moved onto a new FUDR-containing plate (see Note 16).

3.7 Visualization of the Actin Cytoskeleton in C. elegans

To visualize the actin cytoskeleton in C. elegans, we utilize transgenic animals in which LifeAct::mRuby is expressed using tissue-specific promoters. These constructs robustly and reliably label the actin cytoskeleton [17]. Here, we use fluorescent wide-field/compound microscopy to visualize the actin cytoskeleton in muscle, hypodermis, and intestine. In these cell types, the actin cytoskeleton is composed of bright and highly uniform structures, making confocal, super-resolution, or other more advanced microscopy unnecessary for general evaluation of these structures.

3.7.1 Preparation of Slides for Imaging

1. Prepare animals to the desired age following the protocol described in Subheading 3.6.

2. Prepare a fresh working stock of 100 mM sodium azide by dilution of 1 M stock 1:10 into M9 solution (see Note 17).

3. Place 10–18 μL of 100 mM sodium azide onto a glass microscope slide (see Note 18).
4. Manually pick 10–25 animals from NGM plates, and gently place them into the sodium azide solution on the glass slide.

5. Gently apply a cover slip onto the sample, taking care not to introduce any air bubbles or apply pressure onto the worms, as that may cause animals to be damaged.

6. The edges of the cover slip can be sealed with Valap, wax, or nail polish if desired, but for short-term imaging, sealing is not necessary (see Note 19).

3.7.2 Considerations for Wide-Field/Compound Microscopy

1. To reduce phototoxicity and photobleaching, any of the following strategies can be used:

   (a) Reduce excitation intensity. Most LED and laser sources have internal intensity control through the software. For those without internal intensity control, a manual attenuator can be used (for example, a manual attenuator can be installed into the Zeiss stand used in this protocol to reduce the intensity of the light source).

   (b) Reduce exposure time, but keep in mind that longer exposure time and lower intensity result in comparable image quality with less photo damage.

   (c) Reduce spatial or temporal resolution (e.g., increase the z-step size or reduce number of z-sections; increase the time interval duration).

2. To increase signal-to-noise ratio without altering light exposure, the following strategies can be used:

   (a) Apply binning to the camera. Increased binning will decrease spatial resolution but amplify signal.

   (b) Increase camera gain.

   (c) Reduce camera readout, if possible.

   (d) Use a filter with a broader spectral window, which will allow more light to pass through. However, keep in mind that this may increase autofluorescence or bleed-through between channels, so take care to properly understand the specimen used.

3.8 Quantitative Analyses of Actin Cytoskeletal Integrity in Worms

3.8.1 Quantification of Actin Thickness in Muscle Filaments Using ImageJ

Changes in actin cable thickness are an indirect readout of actin cytoskeletal health [17, 54]. Here, we describe a protocol adapted from yeast where ImageJ software can be used to objectively measure actin cable thickness in muscle. A line is drawn perpendicular to the actin filaments across the thickest part of the muscle cell, and the pixel intensity profile of this line is plotted. The width at the median of the peak (50% of the distance of the height of the peak) is measured to approximate actin cable thickness.
1. Acquire images of muscle actin with fluorescent LifeAct.
2. Open image in ImageJ.
3. If the image has more than one channel, ensure that the actin fluorescent channel is selected.
4. Set up ImageJ with the following parameters:
   (a) Edit > Options > Input/Output: Under Results Table Options, check Copy Row Numbers and leave other options unchecked.
   (b) Edit > Options > Plot: Set width and height equal to those of the image. Set Minimum Y to 0 and maximum Y to the Image Max, obtained from Analyze > Histogram.
   (c) Analyze > Set Measurements: Check Area, Display Label.
   (d) Analyze > Set Scale: Make sure that the spatial scale is set accurately.
5. Set the Line ROI tool to Straight Line mode (right click on the tool to change the mode). Double click on the Line ROI tool and set the Line Width to 3 and ensure that Spline Fit is unchecked.
6. Draw a line ROI perpendicular to the muscle actin filaments at the center of the muscle cell where the cell is thickest. Both ends of the line should extend into the outside of the cell while avoiding filaments within neighboring cells if possible (Fig. 6a).
7. Analyze > Plot Profile. A Plot window should appear showing Gray Value (pixel value) versus Distance.
8. If desired, the plot image can be saved (File > Save).
9. Cable thickness is defined as the width of the peak at half maximal height above background. To find the height, using the Line ROI tool, draw a vertical line from the maximum height of the peak to the background line. A background line can be determined by using the parts of the line plot that do not have fluorescence. Draw a horizontal line across the half maximal height (Fig. 6b).
10. Press T to add the horizontal line to the ROI Manager. Analyze > Measure. The Length value represents the thickness of the cable.

3.8.2 Quantification of Actin Quantity in the Hypodermis Using a Large Particle Biosorter

The actin cytoskeleton in the hypodermal syncytium shows a unique, star-like structure between day 2 and day 10 of adulthood [17]. Through use of a large particle flow cytometer, it is possible to quantify fluorescence intensities for thousands of worms [55]. We find that robust, quantitative measurements of the hypodermal actin structures can be made using a COPAS biosorter, as the measurements from this method reliably correlate with the decrease
in actin cytoskeletal structures visualized upon RNAi knockdown of act-1 (Fig. 7). For a thorough protocol on how to systematically use the COPAS biosorter, refer to [31]. Here, we briefly describe how to perform the biosorter analysis for hypodermal actin species.

1. Ensure that the sheath liquid and clean bottles are full and that all waste containers have sufficient space left.
2. Turn on the flow cytometer by turning on the air compressor, lasers, and instrument.
3. Open the FlowPilot software. The instrument should be starting up now.
4. Turn on the lasers within the software window by clicking “start.”
5. Initiate argon laser control by hitting “run” on the pop-up window. Ensure that the laser reaches the proper power of 12 mW.
6. Hit “done” to close the pop-up window.
7. Check that the gauge pressures are correct (sheath 5.5–5.7; sample 6.0–6.6; sorter 3.1–3.3; clean 8.5–8.7), and adjust the pressure valves if necessary.
8. Check the fluidics and ensure that no air bubbles can be seen throughout the tubing.
9. Clean the tubing prior to use by flowing 3–5 mL of bleach solution through the sample collection cup by using the “acquire” feature. Remove excess bleach from the cup with a vacuum and rinse the sample cup with dH₂O. Repeat three times to remove all bleach from sample cup.
10. After the final wash, run dH$_2$O through the sample line by using the “acquire” feature. Run 3–5 mL of dH$_2$O to ensure that all bleach has run out of the sample lines.

11. Remove the excess dH$_2$O from the sample cup with a vacuum and place the prepared worms/M9 sample into the cup.

12. Adjust the PMT level and TOF/EXT gating based on the conditions that allow for the most optimal imaging.

(a) PMT level is adjusted by changing the numerical values for each channel PMT. For LifeAct::mRuby, adjust the numbers next to the “Red” under “PMT Control” to desired level to maximize fluorescent output without hitting saturation.

Fig. 7 Quantification of hypodermal actin. (a) Actin cytoskeleton of transgenic worms expressing LifeAct::mRuby under the *col-19p* hypodermis-specific promoter. Transgenic worms were grown on EV from hatch and moved onto either EV or *act-1* RNAi at the L4 stage of adulthood. Images were taken at D5 of adulthood. Scale bar is 5 μm. (b) Quantification of (a) where >500 animals are imaged through a COPAS biosorter. Integrated fluorescence intensity is normalized to the integrated extinction of the animal. Data is plotted as mean ± standard deviation.
(b) To adjust the gate, **Region > Define Gate Region** and draw an ROI in the “TOF/EXT” gating panel to ensure that all worms of desired size are included in the gate and that all other materials are excluded. Some worms should be run through the sorter prior to experimental data collection to determine a guideline of where to draw the gate.

13. Click **Setup > Data Storage > Gated Only** to save data based on your gating ROI.

14. Hit “acquire,” and allow all of the sample to run through the line while ensuring that not all the liquid is run as this will cause the flow cytometer to take in air.

15. Hit “stop” when your sample is low, or you have collected sufficient data.

16. Click “store gated” to save your gated data.

17. Click “erase” to erase the current data.

18. Rinse and aspirate the samples cup three times with dH₂O before adding any additional samples and repeating steps 14–17 to acquire more data.

19. To shut down the system, repeat steps 8 and 9 to wash the system after use.

20. Turn off the system by turning off the software, laser, instrument, and air compressor.

21. The saved data provides the integrated fluorescence intensity calculated along the animal and the integrated extinction (EXT) (estimated thickness) of the worm. We normalize the fluorescence intensity to size by dividing the red channel integrated fluorescence with the integrated extinction of the animal. The time of flight (TOF) (estimated length) is already incorporated into the integrated measurements, as both fluorescence and extinction measurements are integrated across the entire TOF.

### 3.9 Physiological Measurements of C. elegans Health

#### 3.9.1 Life Span Measurements in C. elegans

Their short life span and ease of maintenance make *C. elegans* a great model organism for aging research. Moreover, the actin cytoskeleton shows a significant decline in form and function in multiple *C. elegans* tissues during aging, and premature breakdown of actin decreases life span, whereas protecting the actin cytoskeleton is sufficient to extend life span [17]. Therefore, we find life span measurements to be a reliable method of measuring the impact of cytoskeletal alterations on the physiological health of *C. elegans*.

1. Prepare plates spotted with bacteria of interest (see Subheading 3.1). We recommend using HT115 bacteria even in experiments not involving RNAi knockdown. Prepare approximately 80 plates per condition.
2. Synchronize animals of choice using methods described in Subheading 3.5 and place eggs/L1 onto plates using criteria suggested in Table 4. Incubate animals at 20 °C until day 1 of adulthood—approximately 3–4 days or ~65–90 h (growth at 15 °C is also acceptable).

3. Transfer 15 day 1 adults onto each of 8 seeded plates for every strain, for a total of 120 animals per condition. Take care not to transfer any progeny onto plates (see Note 20). Record the total number of live worms per strain per plate.

4. Continue to transfer adult animals onto a fresh plate every day until no progeny are detected on the plate. Typically, this requires 5–7 days (see Note 21).

5. Score the total number of live worms per strain per plate, daily. Animals that do not show any movement upon gentle touch at the head and tail are scored as dead. Animals showing extruded intestines, bagging, and desiccation at the sides of the plate or other unnatural causes of death are considered censored. All censored and dead animals should be removed from the plates to prevent counting them twice.

6. After worms have stopped producing progeny, score life spans every 1–2 days until all animals have been scored as dead or censored.

3.9.2 Thermotolerance in C. elegans

Thermotolerance assays are performed in C. elegans by placing animals in elevated temperatures post-development. Ambient temperatures for nematodes range from 15 to 20 °C, and thermal stress is induced at temperatures above 25 °C [56, 57]. Thermotolerance assays can be performed at temperatures ranging from 30 to 37 °C where animals exhibit major defects at this temperature and survival assays are completed within 24 h [56, 58]. Here, thermotolerance assays are performed by growth at 37 °C. We provide 37 °C as a simple experimental paradigm as most labs are already equipped with a 37 °C incubator for bacterial growth, and thus this protocol generally does not require purchase of additional equipment. However, it should be noted that thermotolerance assays are inherently variable due to the difficulties of maintaining a single, consistent temperature and experimental manipulations potentially altering strain variability in addition to survival. Additionally, minor shifts in temperature can have major differences in phenotype, and thus it is important to take all precautions possible to standardize a single assay within one’s lab (a thorough guide to standardizing a protocol within a lab [59]).

1. Prepare plates spotted with bacteria of interest (see Subheading 3.1). We recommend using HT115 bacteria even in experiments not involving RNAi knockdown.
2. Synchronize animals of choice using methods described in Subheading 3.5 and grow animals to day 1 of adulthood by incubating animals at 20 °C for approximately 3–4 days (~65–90 h; see Note 22).

3. At day 1, animals are prepared by transferring them onto separate plates. Transfer ~10–15 animals onto each of 4–6 seeded plates for every strain, totaling ~60 animals per condition. This allows a manageable number of animals per plate for scoring.

4. Place plates into a 37 °C incubator (see Note 23).

5. Score animals every 2 h following hour 5 (see Note 24) until all worms have either been scored as dead or censored. Animals that completely lack movement after minor stimulation through gentle touch at the head and tail are scored as dead, whereas animals that exhibit extruded intestines, bagging, or other unnatural source of death are scored as censored.

6. Alternatively, if animals are placed at 34 °C instead of 37 °C, median thermotolerance is found at ~14 h in our incubators, so scoring can begin at hour 12 instead of hour 5, and is scored every 2 h from then.

4 Notes

1. We recommend growing OP50 bacteria at room temperature as we have noticed that growing bacteria at elevated temperatures can cause higher incidences of revertants of auxotrophy or other unwanted mutations and/or adaptations that cause more pathogenic growth. Moreover, once cultured, OP50 bacteria should be kept for a maximum of 1 week at 4 °C to avoid similar issues.

2. When dealing with animals with major defects in development or fecundity, we recommend chunking rather than picking animals. When chunking animals, a small piece of the agar is broken off the original plate containing worms and placed animal side down onto the bacterial lawn of a fresh NGM plate. Chunking can also be used as an alternative to picking animals for maintenance to decrease genetic drift, although the frequency of moving stocks will be increased due to the increased number of animals being placed on a plate.

3. For optimal annealing of the primers to the template, we recommend utilizing the “NEB Tm Calculator” with the correct polymerase selected. The annealing temperature must be calculated for the portion of the primer annealing to the template (i.e., not including the upstream overlap region).
4. For the isothermal assembly reaction, the manufacturer recommends a twofold molar excess (1:2, vector:insert ratio) for each insert, unless the insert is less than 200 bp. In such a case, a ratio of 1:5 (vector:insert) is recommended. Thus, the twofold molar excess can be adjusted to fivefold, depending on the insert fragment length. We generally use (vector length/insert length) as the fold excess of insert to add, without passing the fivefold excess recommendation. To simplify the calculation of total DNA required for each fragment, we recommend using the NEBioCalculator and setting the Vector DNA mass to 100 ng for the MosSCI vector.

5. A large break will result in excessive fluid to flow and will require a new needle to be broken. In contrast, a break too small will not release enough liquid and will increase the likelihood of clogging.

6. Several glass slides can be taped together at the edges and used as a platform for the injection pad. For our standard plates (~9 mL of volume in a 60 mm plate), we find that taping three slides together is the optimal height to reduce focus adjustment needed when switching between the injection pad and NGM plates.

7. Worms may desiccate if they are left on the agarose pads for too long. We recommend leaving worms on pads for no longer than 10–15 min (we usually avoid leaving worms on the pads for more than 5 min once they have adhered to the agarose beneath the oil patch). Therefore, the number of worms injected in a single round can be adjusted based on the user’s speed of injecting.

8. Care must be taken not to inject excessive fluid into the gonad as the resulting increase in pressure forces the intestine out through the vulva. If the needle clogs, no fluid will be released from the needle. Clogs can be cleared by retracting the needle from the gonad and holding down the “clean” button for a few seconds while also monitoring the FemtoJet pressure level indicator that appears. If clogs do not clear and liquid does not flow from needle when pressing clean, use a new needle.

9. Using very dense OP50 can help worms stick better to a pick. If using bacteria to move worms from the agarose pads proves challenging, worms can also be pipetted from the M9/oil mix onto a new NGM plate. However, worms may adhere to the plastic of the tip, and this may result in loss of a few worms.

10. We recommend bleaching non-synchronized populations (e.g., chunked worms from Subheading 3.1), as successive rounds of bleaching can cause major genetic drift, especially in some mutant or transgenic lines. For example, successive
rounds of bleaching can result in a major decline in fecundity (sometimes even sterility) in the *sur-5p::bsf-1* animals used in this protocol.

11. Keeping eggs in the bleach solution for extended periods of time will damage the eggs and kill the embryos, so take care to regularly check the solution under a microscope until adult carcasses are gone and eggs are in solution.

12. Eggs can be centrifuged at faster speeds (e.g., 2500 \(\times g\)) without disrupting egg integrity or organismal physiology.

13. It is essential that all bleach be washed from the tube if keeping eggs in the solution for extended periods of time (e.g., for L1 arresting). Eggs must be washed additional times if the residual smell of bleach remains in the tube. We found washing a total of 5\(\times\) to be effective in removing all noticeable traces of bleach.

14. Animals can be moved every other day to save plates, but care must be taken to ensure that progeny do not exceed an age where they can no longer be differentiated from adults.

15. Prepare an excess number of initial plates with animals for this method, as each wash can lose anywhere from 10% to 30% of animals.

16. Day 1 adults can also be placed on FUDR plates, but if animals have developed eggs, these will hatch on the plate. FUDR will cause these animals to arrest, but care must be taken to ensure this does not cause the plate to starve, as larval animals consume significant amounts of bacteria. If animals need to be moved to a fresh plate after several days on FUDR to avoid starvation, it is not essential to put animals back onto an FUDR plate if reagents are limited. However, animals should be left on FUDR for at least 3–4 days before being taken off, to avoid progeny formation.

17. 100 mM sodium azide working solution can be kept at room temperature for several weeks. However, it will lose efficiency with time. For highly controlled experiments, use a fresh batch of sodium azide. We recommend keeping 1 M stocks at 4 °C in the dark for long-term storage.

18. The amount of sodium azide solution used on the slide is dependent on the size of the animals. A day 1 animal can generally survive with 10–13 µL of solution, while older animals will require 16–18 µL. The volume of sodium azide solution can be adjusted for smaller or larger variants to ensure that when the cover slip is placed, worms are not moving (too much liquid) or have not exploded (too little liquid). We recommend sealing the slides with Valap wax or nail polish to prevent liquid evaporation and eventual crushing of the worms.
19. We have noticed that the actin cytoskeleton starts to show defects if kept in sodium azide solution for more than 10 min. Therefore keep slides for no more than 5–10 min, and prepare fresh slides as needed. For slides being kept less than 10 min, we found that sealing the edges was unnecessary.

20. If life spans are being checked every day, some eggs and L1s can be transferred with the adults, as animals from eggs or L1 stage animals will not develop beyond the L3/L4 stage, which can still be distinguished from the adults on the next day. However, if life spans are only being moved every other day to conserve material, it is essential that no eggs or L1s be transferred to new life span plates, as 2 days may be sufficient for progeny to become indistinguishable from adults for some strains.

21. Generally, we recommend moving adults onto fresh plates every day until there are no progeny. However, if there are any limitations (e.g., time or cost of materials), the number of moves can be limited. For the first 3 days when egg-laying is at its maximum, we recommend moving animals every day. Starting from day 4 when egg-laying is already significantly reduced, animals can be moved every other day, although care should be taken to ensure that no progeny is moved onto new plates.

22. We have noticed a difference in thermotolerance measurements when animals are grown at 15 or 20 °C. Therefore, take care to be very consistent for growing animals in either temperature for all replicates of a single experiment.

23. We do not recommend stacking plates in an incubator as this will result in uneven temperature distribution across plates. The time spent opening the incubator and time spent examining plates outside of the incubator should also be minimized to decrease variability across conditions.

24. While scoring of thermotolerance can begin prior to hour 5, we notice minimal death prior to hour 7, and thus we believe scoring at earlier time points is not necessary. We have not seen significant death prior to hour 12 at 34 °C, and thus time points can start as late as hour 12.
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Chapter 6

Imaging the Cytoskeleton in Living Plant Roots

Chenglin Chai, Sabrina Chin, and Elison B. Blancaflor

Abstract

For the past two decades, genetically encoded fluorescent proteins have emerged as the most popular method to image the plant cytoskeleton. Because fluorescent protein technology involves handling living plant cells, it is important to implement protocols that enable these delicate plant specimens to maintain optimal growth for the entire duration of the imaging experiment. To this end, we rely on a system that consists of a large coverslip coated with nutrient-supplemented agar. This agar-coverslip system is planted with surface-sterilized Arabidopsis thaliana seeds expressing cytoskeletal fluorescent protein reporters. The agar-coverslip system with planted seeds is then maintained in an environmentally controlled growth chamber. The entire setup is transferred onto the stage of a confocal microscope for imaging when roots of germinated seedlings reach a desired length. For plants with larger roots such as Medicago truncatula, the polymerized nutrient-supplemented agar is gently lifted or cut and used to secure pre-germinated seeds on the coverslip prior to root imaging. The agar-coverslip system we use for imaging the cytoskeleton in living roots along with general methods for expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP)-based cytoskeletal reporters in hairy roots of Medicago truncatula is described here.

Key words Actin, Arabidopsis thaliana, Medicago truncatula, Microtubules, Green fluorescent protein, Live cells, Root development

1 Introduction

The development of advanced light microscopes coupled with the introduction of genetically encoded fluorescent proteins has rapidly advanced our understanding of the plant cytoskeleton [1, 2]. The two major components of the cytoskeleton, microtubules and filamentous-actin (F-actin), can now be readily imaged in living plant cells using an array of green fluorescent protein (GFP) constructs under confocal microscopy (e.g., [3–9]). To ensure that data collected from plant specimens expressing GFP cytoskeletal reporters are reliable, it is important to develop simple methods for routine handling and mounting of plants for live cell imaging. Often times, live cell imaging is conducted on plant samples that are mounted between a coverslip and glass slide. Such practices can
lead to cell damage due to pressure from the coverslip or plant samples drying out during long-term imaging experiments. In this chapter, we describe procedures for handling plant seedlings for imaging the root cytoskeleton. We first outline a protocol for transforming F-actin and microtubule GFP markers in hairy roots of the model legume, *M. truncatula*. We then describe an agar-coverslip system for growing and mounting *A. thaliana* and *M. truncatula* seedlings/hairy roots to enable confocal imaging with minimal or no adverse consequences to root growth and cell integrity [10].

## 2 Materials

### 2.1 *M. truncatula* Seedling Preparation

1. *M. truncatula* seeds.
2. Concentrated sulfuric acid.
3. Autoclaved distilled water pre-chilled to 4 °C.
4. 30% bleach.
5. Falcon 50 mL conical centrifuge tubes.
6. Whatman filter paper circles (70 mm in diameter).
7. Sterile Petri dishes (90 × 15 mm).
8. Sterile extra deep Petri dishes (90 × 25 mm).
9. 95% ethanol.
10. 1% Triton X.
11. Agar.
12. 0.4% Gelzan (an agar substitute, if desired).
13. Personal protective equipment such as goggles, gloves, and lab coats.

### 2.2 Agrobacteria Preparation

1. *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* (strain ARqua1) transformed with GFP-based cytoskeletal constructs [3–5, 9].
2. Liquid lysogeny broth (LB) medium (commercially available) supplemented with 100 mg/L streptomycin and 50 mg/L kanamycin.
3. Polymerized LB agar supplemented with 100 mg/L streptomycin and 50 mg/L kanamycin.
4. Autoclaved distilled water.
5. Sterile cell spreader.

### 2.3 Hairy Root Transformation

1. Sterile forceps and scalpels.
2. Modified Fahraeus medium: 1 mM CaCl$_2$, 0.5 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.7 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.8 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 50 μM FeEDTA,
0.5 mM NH₄NO₃, supplemented with 0.1 mg each of MnSO₄, CuSO₄, ZnSO₄, H₃BO₃, and Na₂MoO₄ per liter, adjust pH to 6.8 with NaOH.

3. Modified Fahraeus medium agar plates (round Petri dishes).
4. Modified Fahraeus medium agar plates (square Petri dishes, 120 × 120 mm).
5. 1.5 cm wide Millipore tape.
7. Growth chamber with controls for photoperiod and light intensity.
8. Fluorescence stereo microscope.

2.4 Live Cell Imaging of Roots and Agar Slabs for Root Mounting

1. Agar or Agargel (available commercially; see Note 1).
2. Murashige and Skoog (MS) basal salt mixture (available commercially).
3. Sterile 48 × 64 × 0.13–0.17 mm coverslips: sandwich each coverslip between sterile filter paper prior to autoclaving to prevent coverslips from sticking to each other.
4. Sterilized pointed end toothpicks.
5. 100 × 15 mm polystyrene sterile Petri dishes.
7. 95% ethanol.
8. Bleach-Triton mix: 20% bleach-1% Triton-X.
9. 90 mm filter paper fitted in 100 × 15 mm polystyrene Petri dishes.
10. Confocal microscope.
11. Inverted microscope.

3 Methods

3.1 Generation of M. truncatula Hairy Roots Expressing Fluorescent Cytoskeletal Reporters

M. truncatula seeds have hard seed coats that can inhibit germination by blocking water and oxygen, which are required for germination. Seeds are usually scarified chemically or mechanically to promote fast and uniform germination.

1. Scarify M. truncatula seeds 4 days before transformation by placing them in a 50 mL Falcon tube, and mix with concentrated sulfuric acid of approximately thrice the volume of seeds. Put the mixture through a vortex (see Note 2). Repeat the vortex procedure at 2 min intervals for 6 min at room temperature.
2. Wash seeds three times with cold sterile distilled water in a laminar flow hood to remove residual sulfuric acid (see Note 3).
3. Sterilize the scarified seed in 30% bleach for 10 min at room temperature.

4. Rinse the seeds five times with sterile distilled water. Incubate the seeds in sterile distilled water overnight at room temperature.

5. The next day, transfer the seeds onto a sterile Petri dish lined with sterile filter paper moistened with sterile distilled water (Fig. 1a). Seal the Petri dish with Parafilm, and wrap the dish with aluminum foil. Incubate at 4 °C in the dark for 2 days.

6. Approximately 16 h before transformation, plant the enlarged seeds on a deep Petri dish plated with 1% agar, and invert the plate (Fig. 1b; see Note 4). Place the plate in the dark at room temperature.

3.1.2 Agrobacteria Preparation

1. Three days before transformation, inoculate 5 mL liquid LB medium (see Subheading 2.2, step 2) with *Agrobacterium* harboring a construct of interest, and incubate in an incubator at 28 °C overnight at 250 rounds per minute (rpm).

2. The next day, spread 700 μL of overnight culture onto a LB plate containing antibiotic (see Subheading 2.2, step 3). Seal the plate with Parafilm, and incubate the inverted plate at 28 °C for 2 days.
3.1.3 Hairy Root Transformation and Transgenic Root Screening

1. Add 800 μL of sterile distilled water onto the plate and re-suspend the *Agrobacterium rhizogenes* using a triangular cell spreader (see Note 5).

2. The roots of the seedlings are about 2 cm long. Cut 1 cm of the root tip, and immediately dip the cut end into the bacterial culture for at least 5 s.

3. Place the cut seedlings horizontally on modified Fahraeus medium plates, and seal the plates with Parafilm (Fig. 1c). Incubate the plates in the dark at 20 °C overnight (see Note 6).

4. Place the seedlings vertically on square modified Fahraeus medium plates (Fig. 1d). Cover the bottom part of the plates with black plastic wrap.

5. Allow plants to grow in a growth chamber at 23 °C for 2 weeks at a 16 h photoperiod and a light intensity of 70 μE per s m⁻² (see Note 7).

6. Transgenic hairy roots may be screened under a fluorescence stereo microscope 2 or 3 weeks after growing in a growth chamber (Fig. 1e, f). Transgenic roots can be marked on the plate using a Sharpie permanent marker pen (see Note 8).

3.2 Preparing *A. thaliana* Roots for Direct Live Cell Imaging

Most of the live cell imaging work on the plant cytoskeleton has been conducted using the model plant *A. thaliana*. This is because *A. thaliana* is readily transformed with various GFP cytoskeletal reporters and the smaller roots of *A. thaliana* make sample preparation for live cell imaging very convenient. However, a number of precautions have to be taken to ensure that growth of the root is not compromised by excessive physical handling of the seedling. If possible, imaging of live Arabidopsis roots should be done directly on the media where the seeds are germinated. Therefore, the method described here involves growing *A. thaliana* on a glass coverslip covered with MS media (Murashige and Skoog basal salt mixture). The coverslip can be removed from the growth chamber and placed directly on the microscope stage. This completely eliminates direct handling of the seedlings.

1. Surface sterilize the seeds by immersing them for 3 min each in 95% ethanol and the bleach-Triton mix. Rinse the seeds with sterile deionized water at least three times after bleach treatment. Transfer seeds to sterilized 90 mm filter paper fitted in 100 × 15 mm polystyrene Petri dishes, and spread the seeds evenly on the filter paper using a 1 mL pipette (Fig. 2a). Allow the seeds to dry in a laminar flow bench overnight. The seeds can be planted immediately after spreading onto the filter paper-lined polystyrene Petri dishes, but for long-term storage, they must be dried thoroughly prior to sealing with Parafilm.
2. Prior to seed sterilization, a coverslip-agar medium for germinating seeds must be prepared in advance. This system consists of sterilized coverslips with about a 1 mm layer of nutrient supplemented polymerized 0.5–1% agar. Alternatively, use 0.4% Gelzan for live cell imaging of root hairs. The coverslip agar system is placed inside 100 × 15 mm polystyrene Petri dishes, wrapped with Parafilm, and kept at 4°C for future use (Fig. 2b).

3. To prepare the coverslip-agar system, autoclave 0.5× MS salts in 0.5–1% agar (v/v) supplemented with 0.5 mg/mL pyridoxine–HCl, 0.5 mg/mL nicotinic acid, and 1 mg/mL thiamine. The vitamins can be dissolved in water as 1000× stock, filter sterilized, and stored at 4°C prior to use. Add 0.10 g/L myo-inositol, 0.5 g/L MES, and 1% sucrose to the MS vitamin solution. Adjust pH to 5.7 with 10 M KOH, add agar, and autoclave.

4. Sterilize 64 × 48 mm coverslips by autoclaving. Sandwich each coverslip between sterile filter papers prior to autoclaving to prevent coverslips from sticking to each other.

5. Use a pair of sterile forceps and place one coverslip in the center of a 9-cm-diameter round polystyrene Petri dish.

6. Carefully pipette 10 mL 1% (v/v) agar-MS solution onto the center of the coverslip until the entire coverslip is covered by
agar. Agar coverslip will be about 2 mm in height. The surface tension on the edge of the coverslip should hold the agar solution within the coverslip (see Note 9).

7. Allow the agar to polymerize under a laminar flow hood. This will take about ½ an hour (Fig. 2b; see Note 10).

8. Pick *A. thaliana* seeds individually with a sterile toothpick, and gently push the seed into the agar media so that the seed touches the bottom of the coverslip (Fig. 2c). This helps the roots grow straight inside the medium facilitating microscopic observation. Dried seeds can be easily picked up with a moist toothpick. This is done by immersing the tip of the toothpick into the agar and gently touching the seed.

9. After planting, seal the Petri dish with Parafilm, and transfer to a growth chamber. The Petri dish should be positioned at a 60° angle from the horizontal to allow the roots to direct their growth toward the surface of the coverslip. It takes about 3 to 4 days for the roots to be ready for imaging (Fig. 2d).

10. When the roots have reached the desired age for imaging, remove the coverslip containing the seedlings by gently pressing the bottom of the polystyrene Petri dish and lifting the coverslip making sure that the polymerized agar does not slide off (Fig. 2e).

11. Mount the coverslip directly on the stage of a confocal microscope for observation (Fig. 2f).

### 3.3 Mounting Plant Roots for Microscopy Using Agar Slabs

1. Place about two droplets of water on a glass slide (see Note 11).

2. Select four to six *A. thaliana* seedlings (ideal seedling length is approximately 15 mm. These are seedlings germinated on regular ½ MS 1% (v/v) agar) or two to three *M. truncatula* seedlings/hairy roots, and gently place them on the moistened 64 × 48 mm glass coverslip. For *A. thaliana*, space the seedlings about 5 mm apart. For *M. truncatula* seedlings or hairy roots, one seedling/root per coverslip should be sufficient.

3. Cut the agar slab into 50 × 30 mm to fit on top of the glass coverslip or directly lift the entire agar slab (Fig. 3a, b).

4. Gently transfer seedlings onto the surface of the exposed coverslip with fingers or forceps (Fig. 3c).

5. Secure the seedling by covering it with the agar (Fig. 3d). To do this, start by placing the agar support layer in the center of the coverslip, and gently spread it outward to prevent bubbling.

6. Transfer the coverslip system onto the stage of an inverted microscope for observations (see Fig. 2f). Representative images of actin and microtubule cytoskeleton of *A. thaliana* and *M. truncatula* roots using this process are shown in Fig. 3e, f.
4 Notes

1. There are several companies that sell agar for plant culture, which can be used for preparing the agar-coverslip system. For imaging live *A. thaliana* roots, however, we found that Gelzan gives the most reliable images when layered on the coverslip.

2. Immediately vortexing the seeds right after adding sulfuric acid is important to prevent seeds from aggregating or sticking to the tube sides, which interferes with the efficacy of seed scarification.

3. After scarification, all subsequent steps should be conducted under sterile conditions.

Scarified seeds are able to more efficiently absorb water allowing for better imbibition.

---

**Fig. 3** Using the agar-coverslip system for mounting larger roots. (a) Coverslip coated with a 2 mm slab of nutrient-supplemented agar. (b) Lifting the agar slab from the coverslip. (c) Seedlings of *M. truncatula* expressing cytoskeletal GFP reporters. (d) Using the agar slab to secure one seedling of *M. truncatula* for imaging the root cytoskeleton. (e) Microtubules in living epidermal cells of *M. truncatula* hairy roots. (f) Filamentous-actin in epidermal cells of an *A. thaliana* root. Bar = 20μm
4. Inverting plates allows straight roots to emerge from germinating seeds for more efficient transplanting and transformation.

5. If the Agrobacteria solution dries up, re-suspend with 800 μL of sterile distilled water.

6. Incubating inoculated roots at a lower temperature (20 °C) can improve transformation efficiency.

7. The seedlings may fall off or be displaced during culture. It is important to check the plates 3 days after inoculation, and if necessary, reposition seedlings back to their original orientation.

8. If a slow-growing mutant is used for hairy root transformation, a longer time may be needed before it is ready for transgenic roots screening.

9. Surface tension of the molten agar will form a dome on the surface of the coverslip. Avoid pouring excess agar on the coverslip as this will break the dome and cause the agar to flow out of the coverslip. Furthermore, minimize vibrations and sudden movements as the agar solution can spill out of the coverslip. Alternatively, pour about the gel so that the entire Petri dish including the coverslip is covered with 2–3 mm for gel. This method ensures more uniform emergence of root hairs. Prior to imaging, cut the excess gel outlining the coverslip. Lift the entire coverslip and remove any excess gel prior to mounting on the microscope.

10. To store the agar coverslip, wrap the Petri dish with Parafilm and keep at 4 °C. Agar coverslip can be stored for months and be used as long as there is no contamination.

11. Add sterile distilled water to the Petri dishes so it does not completely submerge the seedlings. Using less water is better than placing too much water. Using too much water will overspread the seedlings. Seedlings will not desiccate once they are placed under the agar coverslip.
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Imaging of Actin Cytoskeleton in the Nematode Caenorhabditis elegans

Shoichiro Ono

Abstract

The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans is one of the major model organisms in cell and developmental biology. This organism is easy to culture in laboratories and suitable for microscopic investigation of the cytoskeleton. Because the worms are small and transparent, the actin cytoskeleton in many tissues and cells can be observed with appropriate visualization techniques without sectioning or dissection. This chapter describes the introduction to representative methods for imaging the actin cytoskeleton in C. elegans and a protocol for staining worms with fluorescent phalloidin.

Key words  Actin filaments, Caenorhabditis elegans, Fluorescence microscopy, Nematodes, Phalloidin

1 Introduction

The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans was introduced as a model organism into genetics research by Brenner in 1970s [1] and has also been widely used in the fields of molecular, cell, and developmental biology. Tissue organization of an adult worm is relatively simple with ~1000 somatic cells [2, 3]. The small and transparent body of C. elegans is suitable for microscopic characterization of cytoskeletal structures in many tissues and cells. For example, C. elegans has been extensively used to study cell migration and invasion [4], cell division [5], embryonic morphogenesis [6], muscle sarcomere assembly [7, 8], and neuronal axon guidance [9]. C. elegans actin is biochemically similar to mammalian actins and plays central roles in these cell biological and developmental processes [10–12]. To visualize actin organization in C. elegans, three techniques are generally utilized: (1) immunofluorescent staining, (2) genetically encoded actin probes, and (3) phalloidin staining. Each technique is briefly described below, which is followed by a detailed description of the procedure for phalloidin staining.
1.1 Immunofluorescent Staining of Actin

Because *C. elegans* actin is highly conserved with mammalian actin, several commercially available anti-actin antibodies can be used for immunofluorescent staining of actin. Our group has confirmed that a mouse monoclonal anti-actin antibody C4 ([see Note 1](#)) and a rabbit polyclonal anti-actin antibody (Cytoskeleton, Inc., catalog number: AAN01) can be used for immunofluorescent staining of actin in *C. elegans* [13–15]. The eggshell of embryos and the cuticle of the worms are highly impermeable and need to be disrupted during fixation. Several methods for immunofluorescent staining of *C. elegans* have been established [16–19], which can be applied to immunofluorescent staining for actin.

1.2 Live Imaging of Actin Filaments

The small and transparent body of *C. elegans* is suitable for noninvasive live imaging of the cytoskeleton in intact animals. Fluorescent probes for actin need to be expressed in tissues or cells of interest using specific promoters. Many strains expressing fluorescent actin probes have already been published ([see Table 1](#)) and can be obtained from *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center ([see Note 2](#)) or researchers who developed them. Similarly to any other experimental systems, two major types of live actin probes have been utilized: (1) fluorescent proteins fused with small actin-binding peptides or protein fragments such as LifeAct [20], calponin-homology domain of utrophin (UtCH) [21], and actin-binding domain of *Drosophila* moesin (moesin ABD) [22] and (2) fluorescent proteins fused directly with actin. A fusion between actin and a fluorescent protein can cause dominant negative effects on the cytoskeleton when it is highly expressed [23]. Therefore, its expression levels should be kept low. For this reason, tagging of an endogenous actin gene with a fluorescent protein is not recommended. *C. elegans* has five actin genes that do not correspond to mammalian α, β, and γ isoforms [12]. Although functional differences among *C. elegans* actin isoforms remain unclear, differences in their expression patterns were reported: ACT-2 is expressed broadly in both muscle and non-muscle tissues [24], ACT-4 is predominantly expressed in the body wall muscle [25], and ACT-5 is specifically expressed in the intestine [26]. Therefore, if a direct fusion of actin with a fluorescent protein is planned, choosing an appropriate actin isoform may be important. Additionally, nanobody-based imaging could also be designed.

A variety of live imaging techniques can be applied to *C. elegans*, but technical details for microscopy are not described here. Except for early embryos, worms need to be immobilized during live imaging. Worms are often anesthetized using 10–25 mM sodium azide [27] or 0.01% tetramisole (with or without 0.1% tricaine) [28] and mounted on a pad of 2% agarose that is overlayed with a coverslip. Alternatively, a synthetic polymer, Pluronnic F127, along with microbeads can be used to immobilize worms without anesthetic [29]. An immobilization medium based on this
method, NemaGel (InVivo Biosystems), is commercially available. Pluronic F127 is fluidic at low temperature (below 10 °C) but becomes a gel at room temperature. Microbeads maintain a constant distance between the two glass surfaces that apply gentle pressure to the worms. In advanced imaging experiments, microfluidic devices to immobilize worms could also be applied for imaging [30, 31].

### 1.3 Phalloidin Staining

Phalloidin, a phallotoxin from the death cap mushroom *Amanita phalloides*, specifically and tightly binds to filamentous actin [32]. Phalloidin conjugates with fluorescent dyes have been widely used to visualize actin filaments in tissues and cells from a wide variety of organisms [33] including *C. elegans* [34, 35]. Phalloidin staining is not very difficult, but insufficient permeabilization can cause poor staining. A protocol for staining worms with fluorescent phalloidin, which is based on the published procedure [36], is described below. The fluorescence of green fluorescent protein (GFP) is preserved with this method, such that co-localization of actin filaments and a GFP-fusion protein can be examined [15, 37, 38].

### Table 1

Examples of genetically encoded probes for actin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Fluorescent protein</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Tissue(s)</th>
<th>CGC(^a)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UtCH(^b)</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>MG589</td>
<td>Embryos, gonad</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LifeAct</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>FT1197</td>
<td>Epidermis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRuby</td>
<td>AGD1651</td>
<td>Body wall muscle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRuby</td>
<td>AGD1654</td>
<td>Epidermis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRuby</td>
<td>AGD1657</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRuby</td>
<td>AGD1679</td>
<td>Neuron</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRuby</td>
<td>AGD1682</td>
<td>Pharynx</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[45]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moesin ABD(^c)</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>CZ14748</td>
<td>Epidermis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>MAD36</td>
<td>Embryos</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>NK887</td>
<td>Anchor cell</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin (ACT-1)</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>UN1502</td>
<td>Spermatheca</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[49]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin (ACT-2)</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>EU1382</td>
<td>Most tissues</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin (ACT-4)</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>ON16</td>
<td>Body wall muscle</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin (ACT-5)</td>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>ERT60</td>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Availability at *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center

\(^b\)UtCH: calponin-homology domain of utrophin

\(^c\)Moesin ABD: actin-binding domain of *Drosophila* moesin
2 Materials

2.1 C. elegans Culture

1. C. elegans wild-type N2 strain and many other strains with mutations and/or genetic modifications can be obtained from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (University of Minnesota; https://cgc.umn.edu/) (see Note 2).

2. Escherichia coli OP50, which is also available from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center.

3. Nematode Growth Medium (available commercially) agar plates [39].

4. 10 cm Petri dishes.

2.2 Buffers

1. M9 buffer: 22 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 42 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 86 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgSO$_4$. Autoclave and store at room temperature.

2. 2× cytoskeleton buffer: 276 mM KCl, 6 mM MgCl$_2$, 4 mM EGTA, 20 mM MES-KOH, pH 6.1. Sterilize by filtration through a 0.22 mm filter, and store at 4°C.

3. 1.28 M sucrose: sterilize by filtration through a 0.22 mm filter and store at 4°C.

4. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)-TG: 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.8 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 0.5% Triton X-100, 30 mM glycine.

2.3 Fixative

1. Formaldehyde fixative: 4% formaldehyde, 1× cytoskeleton buffer, 0.32 M sucrose—immediately before use, mix 0.25 mL 16% formaldehyde solution, 0.5 mL 2× cytoskeleton buffer, and 0.25 mL 1.28 M sucrose.

2. Acetone: keep at −20°C.

2.4 Fluorescently Labeled Phalloidin

1. 500× Tetramethylrhodamine (TMR)-labeled phalloidin: Dissolve TMR-phalloidin in methanol at 0.1 mg/mL, and store at −20°C in small aliquots (see Note 3).

2.5 Mounting

1. Coverslips: Select an appropriate size of No. 1 coverslips depending on the volume of the sample.

2. 25 × 75 mm slide glasses.

3. Antifade Mountant (see Note 4).

3 Methods

3.1 C. elegans Culture

1. Worms can be cultured and maintained by following the standard methods as described in detail by Stiernagle [39]. Worms are generally grown on Nematode Growth Medium agar plates
using *Escherichia coli* OP50 as a food source. Although worms can be grown in liquid media, culturing worms on agar plates is much easier than liquid culture and provides sufficient quantities of worms for most microscopic observations. Typically, healthy worms grown on one or two 10 cm petri dishes before starvation are sufficient for one experiment (*see Note 5*).

2. Use worm cultures with no contamination of bacteria or fungi. If a culture is contaminated, follow decontamination protocols as described by Stiernagle [*39*].

### 3.2 Harvesting and Fixing Worms for Phalloidin Staining

1. Harvest worms from agar plates using 5–10 mL M9 buffer per plate, and collect in a 15 mL conical centrifuge tube. Worms may be sticky to certain types of plastic surfaces. In such a case, use glass pipettes and/or tubes.

2. Centrifuge at $400 \times g$ for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant by aspiration.

3. Wash the worms by adding 15 mL M9 buffer, resuspending, pelleting, and discarding the supernatant. Repeat two times (total three washes) but leave a small amount (~0.5 mL) of the supernatant at the final wash.

4. Resuspend the worms in the remaining buffer and transfer to a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube (*see Note 6*).

5. Centrifuge at $400 \times g$ for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant by pipetting.

6. Resuspend the worms in 1 mL 4% formaldehyde, 1× cytoskeleton buffer, 0.32 M sucrose, and incubate with gentle rocking for 30 min at room temperature.

7. Centrifuge at $400 \times g$ for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant as much as possible by pipetting.

8. Loosen the worm pellet by tapping the bottom of the tube, add 1 mL cold acetone, mix well by inverting the tube, and incubate at $-20^\circ C$ for 5 min (*see Note 7*).

9. Centrifuge at $400 \times g$ for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant by pipetting.

10. Resuspend the worms in 1 mL PBS-TG, and incubate with gentle rocking for 10 min at room temperature.

11. Centrifuge at $400 \times g$ for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant by pipetting.

### 3.3 Staining with TMR-Phalloidin

1. Mix 1 μL 500× TMR-phalloidin stock solution in 500 μL PBS-TG (*see Note 8*).

2. Resuspend the worms in 500 μL of the diluted TMR-phalloidin solution, and incubate with gentle rocking for 1 h at room temperature (*see Note 9*).
3. Centrifuge at 400 × g for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant by pipetting.
4. Resuspend the worms in 1 mL PBS-TG and incubate with gentle rocking for 10 min at room temperature.
5. Centrifuge at 400 × g for 2 min to pellet worms. Discard supernatant by pipetting.
6. Repeat the wash cycle two additional times. Leave a small amount of fluid after the last wash.
7. Add one drop of ProLong™ Diamond Antifade Mountant to a slide glass.
8. Resuspend the stained worms and transfer them onto ProLong™ Diamond Antifade Mountant. Mix with a slow swirling motion.
9. Overlay a coverslip and remove excess fluid with filter papers.
10. Cure overnight on a flat surface in the dark.

### 3.4 Observation and Interpretation

1. Observe the samples using a fluorescence microscope and record images (see sample images of wild-type worms in Fig. 1).
2. Compare experimental samples with control samples.

### 4 Notes

1. Mouse monoclonal anti-actin antibody C4 was originally described in [41] and commercially available in the form of either ascites fluid or purified IgG from multiple manufacturers including MP Biomedicals, Thermo Fisher, Millipore Sigma, and Cell Signaling Technology.
2. *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (University of Minnesota; [https://cgc.umn.edu/](https://cgc.umn.edu/)) collects and distributes *C. elegans* strains. Users are responsible to pay nominal fees for shipping and handling.
3. Phalloidin conjugates with various fluorophores are commercially available from multiple manufacturers.
4. We suggest ProLong™ Diamond Antifade Mountant. However, other mounting media can be used. Follow manufacturer’s instructions for compatibility with fluorophores.
5. Typical cultures contain worms at mixed developmental stages. If worms at a particular developmental stage need to be examined, the culture should be synchronized as described by Portade-la-Riva et al. [42].
Fig. 1 Representative images of *C. elegans* stained with TMR-phalloidin. An entire view of an adult hermaphrodite (a) and magnified views of the body wall muscle (b), the pharyngeal muscle (c), and the vulval and uterine muscles (d) are shown. Bars: 100 μm for a and 20 μm for b–d

6. Worms may be sticky to certain microcentrifuge tubes. In our laboratory, Axygen® MaxyClear microcentrifuge tubes are preferred because of low retention of worms.
7. Exposure of the worms to acetone is important for successful staining. Clumping of the worms can occur when the worm pellets are not loosened enough or when too much salt, buffer, and formaldehyde are left before adding acetone, which can result in insufficient permeabilization.

8. Fixation of tissues and cells with methanol will significantly alter the pattern of phalloidin staining. However, carryover of a small amount of methanol from the TMR-phalloidin stock will not affect the staining.

9. Incubation can be extended to overnight at room temperature.
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Chapter 8

Imaging of the Cytoskeleton Using Live and Fixed *Drosophila* Tissue Culture Cells

Derek A. Applewhite, Christine A. Lacy, Eric R. Griffis, and Omar A. Quintero-Carmona

Abstract

In recent years, the convergence of multiple technologies and experimental approaches has led to the expanded use of cultured *Drosophila* cells as a model system. Their ease of culture and maintenance, susceptibility to RNA interference, and imaging characteristics have led to extensive use in both traditional experimental approaches and high-throughput RNAi screens. Here we describe *Drosophila* S2 cell culture and preparation for live-cell and fixed-cell fluorescence microscopy and scanning electron microscopy.

Key words Fluorescence microscopy, S2 cells, Transfection, Microtubule, Actin, Electron microscopy

1 Introduction

The marriage of *Drosophila* tissue culture and cytoskeletal research has been a fruitful one. Numerous cytoskeletal proteins have been discovered using S2 cells [1], which is a testament to their practicality. Major advances in our understanding of cytoskeletal dynamics and function have been achieved using S2 cells, an indication of their versatility and strength as a model system [2–10]. *Drosophila* cells are cultured at room temperature in a medium that does not require buffering with CO₂. RNAi is both effective and easily administered [11]. *Drosophila* cells do not produce an interferon response to dsRNAs. Therefore, dsRNAs can be produced in vitro, eliminating the need to buy siRNAs. S2 cells readily incorporate dsRNAs when added to tissue culture medium. dsRNAs can also be generated against the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) allowing for the depletion of endogenous target genes and testing of exogenous genes for their ability to rescue RNAi phenotypes. RNAi
protocols can involve as few as 3 days, depending upon the turnover of a given protein, and frequently lead to substantial protein knock-down [12]. The *Drosophila* genome represents a pared down form of the mammalian genome, and while approximately 75% of human disease causing genes are retained in the *Drosophila* genome, there is far less functional redundancy [13–15]. Whereas the human or mouse genome may have three genes that are functionally interchangeable, the *Drosophila* genome will often have one. Collectively, these qualities have led to hundreds of RNAi screens of the full *Drosophila* genome at a fraction of the cost of screens performed in mammalian tissue culture systems.

The usefulness of *Drosophila* S2 cells as tools for cytoskeletal research goes beyond their use in RNAi screens. S2 cells in particular adopt a flat, fried-egg-like morphology when plated on a concanavalin A (Con A)-coated surface [16]. This conformation makes them highly amenable to high-resolution microscopy [17], and their sessile nature eliminates the problems produced by motile cells. S2 cells form a circumferential actin-rich lamellipodium with characteristic fast actin dynamics where proteins such as the Arp2/3 complex, Capping Protein, and Ena/VASP proteins localize [5]. This lamellipodium is followed by a contractile network highly reminiscent of a lamella with its own characteristic slower actin dynamics and signature proteins such as tropomyosin, non-muscle myosin II, and alpha-actinin (Fig. 1, [18, 19]). This is even more evident as these actin-rich zones of S2 cells display similar phenotypes to those of migrating cells following RNAi depletion of these key actin-binding proteins [5, 20–22]. Thus, despite being non-motile, the behavior and dynamics of the actin-rich cell periphery of S2 cells mimics that of migrating cells. S2 cells have also been quite useful in studying microtubule dynamics. Our current understanding of spindle formation, in particular poleward flux, kinetochore attachments, and the role of molecular motors during mitosis owe much to experiments performed in S2 cells [16, 23–30]. In addition, the acentriolar interphase microtubule array offers a unique system to study with great detail the dynamics of the microtubule plus-end and minus-end as well as interactions between the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons [16, 31–36].

S2 cells are easily transfected with tagged protein (fluorescent or otherwise) but are naturally non-adherent and must be induced to attach to a substrate. If the cells have not been attached to a substrate, they will be washed away during fixation, permeabilization, and staining. There are two main coatings that will induce cell attachment, concanavalin A (Con A) and polylysine. These two coatings differ in how the cell responds to them. Con A is a lectin (sugar-binding protein) that is commonly purified from the jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*), and it presumably induces cell spreading in a Rac and Arp2/3-dependent manner as cells try to engulf
Due to the strong inducement of spreading as well as the engagement of the phagocytic machinery to the basal cortex of the cell, many membrane trafficking events (including cytokinesis) are disrupted in Con A-plated cells, and these side effects should be considered when deciding whether to use Con A to induce adherence. Polylysine is a positively charged polymer of lysine that electrostatically interacts with negatively charged cell membranes and induces cell attachment and mild amounts of cell spreading. Polylysine is available as both L and D forms and available in a range of molecular weights. We have found that Drosophila cells need higher concentrations of polylysine than mammalian cells to attach to the substrate and they tend to be highly sensitive to the oxidizing effects of thimerosal. Therefore, it is not recommended to purchase premade polylysine that uses this chemical as a preservative.

2 Materials

2.1 Culturing and Transient Transfection of Drosophila S2 Cells

1. Schneider’s Drosophila Medium (commercially available).
2. Fetal Bovine Serum, heat inactivated.
3. 100× Antibiotic/Antimycotic mix.
4. pMT/V5 His, pIZ/V5, and/or pAc5.1 vectors (available commercially).
5. CuSO₄: 100 mM aqueous solution.
6. FugeneHD (Promega).
7. Amxa Kit V (Lonza) (optional).

2.2 Fixation and Staining of Drosophila S2 Cells for Immunofluorescence

1. 1 mg/mL polylysine: Dissolve Poly-d-Lysine or Poly-l-Lysine (70,000–150,000 average molecular weight) in 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0. Aliquot and freeze at −20°C.
2. 0.5 mg/mL concanavalin A (Con A): Dissolve Con A (Type IV-S, tissue culture grade) at 0.5 mg/mL in distilled water. Filter the Con A solution through a 0.22 μm filter into a fresh tube or centrifuge at 18,000 × g (14,000 RPM) for 5 min to pellet aggregates. Retain the supernatant, and store it in 0.5 mL aliquots in 1.5 mL tubes at −20°C.
3. 1 M Tris–HCl, pH 8.0.
4. #1.5 Glass coverslips, glass-bottom or optical plastic multi-well plates.
5. Humidified chambers.
6. Paraformaldehyde: EM Grade packed in ampoules or made fresh.
8. PHEM Buffer: 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, 60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, pH 6.9. This buffer can be made as a 2× stock solution, autoclaved, and stored until use.
9. Sodium borohydride: aqueous solution 1 mg/mL.
10. Saponin: 10 mg/mL aqueous stock solution.
11. Donkey or goat serum.
13. Triton X-100.
14. Mounting medium: Dako, ProLong (Life Technologies), Fluoromount (Sigma), VectaShield (Vector Laboratories), or a solution of 90% glycerol, 20 mM sodium bicarbonate, 3% n-propyl gallate.
15. CoverGrip (Biotium) or nail polish.

2.3 Live-Cell Imaging

1. #1.5 glass-bottom dishes.
2. Titanium Step Drill Bit-1/4" to 1–3/8' Increments, 10 steps (Neiko) (optional).
3. 120 V 10-in. Drill Press (optional).
5. UV transilluminator (optional).
7. Polylysine (optional).
2.4 Fixation and Preparation of Drosophila S2 Cells for Scanning Electron Microscopy

1. Silver paint.
2. Double-sided conductive tape.
3. Critical point dryer.
4. Coverslip holder for critical point dryer.
5. 200 proof ethanol.

3 Methods

3.1 Culture of Drosophila S2 Cells
(See Note 1)

1. Maintain Drosophila S2 cells at room temperature (preferably between 19 °C and 27 °C) and in semi-suspension in 25 cm or 75 cm flasks with plug-end caps.
2. Passage cells by pipetting them from the culture medium, making sure that the cells are adequately resuspended and that any cells lightly adhered to the tissue culture plastic are removed. Generally, a 1:4 split of the cells into fresh cell culture medium will yield a cell density that will require passage every 3–4 days. It is important to note that S2 cell viability decreases when the cell density falls below 5 × 10^5 cells/mL.

3.2 Transient Transfection of Drosophila S2 Cells
(See Notes 2 and 3)

1. Plate S2 cells at 40–80% confluency in a 6- or 12-well tissue culture plate 15–30 min prior to transfection. Alternatively, plate cells a day in advance of transfection. This step is needed as the transfection protocol calls for the exchange of medium, and the cells will need this time to loosely adhere to the tissue culture plastic.
2. If using the FugeneHD transfection reagent (Promega) (see Note 3), prepare the DNA-FugeneHD complex by diluting the desired amount of DNA in water or serum-free Schneider’s medium to a final volume of 100 μL. Next, add 6–8 μL of FugeneHD reagent directly to the diluted DNA with special care taken to avoid pipetting the reagent down sides of the microfuge tube. Incubate the DNA-FugeneHD mixture for 15–20 min at room temperature.
3. While the incubation is in progress, gently remove the cell culture medium from the tissue culture plate, taking precaution not to disturb the weakly adherent cells. Replace the cell culture medium with 900 μL of fresh cell culture medium. Add the 100 μL DNA-FugeneHD complex drop-wise to the 900 μL of medium containing the cells. The transfection is carried out overnight, and the medium is replaced with fresh medium the following day.
4. Alternatively, transiently transfec S2 cells by using electroporation systems such as Amaxa nucleofector from Lonza. The Amaxa nucleofector kits are tailored to specific cell lines. The manufacturer suggests Kit V for Drosophila S2.
5. The Amaxa kit provides a nucleofection solution and a supplement solution. Mix these two solutions at a ratio of 4.5:1 prior to use. The mixed nucleofector reagent can be stored at 4 °C for up to 3 months.

6. Prepare DNA for electroporation by diluting the desired amount of DNA, typically between 0.1 and 2 μg of DNA, in the nucleofector reagent to a final volume of 100 μL in a microfuge tube. This can be prepared and set aside until the cells are ready.

7. Determine the appropriate volume of cells and pellet them by gentle centrifugation at 300 × g at room temperature for 5–10 min.

8. Next, remove the supernatant (tissue culture medium) (see Note 4).

9. Resuspend the pellet of cells in the diluted DNA-nucleofector mixture, and transfer the mixture to the electroporation cuvette (see Note 5).

10. After electroporation, transfer cells to fresh medium, and allow them to recover prior to CuSO₄ induction if using pMT/His-5 vectors (see Note 6).

### 3.3 Fixation and Staining of Drosophila S2 Cells for Immunofluorescence (See Note 7)

#### 3.3.1 Preparing the Surface of Coverslips (See Notes 8 and 9)

1. For flame cleaning, use fine-tipped forceps to grab an individual coverslip, and dip it into absolute ethanol. Allow most of the ethanol to run off the edge of the coverslip, and then wave the coverslip through an open flame. As the ethanol burns, continue to tilt and move the coverslip to prevent the ethanol from pooling in a single corner and superheating the glass.

2. Place flamed coverslips in a rack and rinse thoroughly in distilled water. Then place the coverslip rack over a heat block to allow them to dry thoroughly. Cover and store to block dust accumulation.

#### 3.3.2 Con A Coating of Coverslips

1. Cover the bottom of a broad shallow chamber with Parafilm, and then place the cleaned coverslips onto the Parafilm.

2. For 22 × 22 mm coverslips, put a 50 μL drop of Con A on the center of the coverslip, and then evenly smear the drop over the surface of the glass.

3. For 18 × 18 mm coverslips, use a 35 μL drop.

4. Place the coverslips in an out-of-the-way location where they will not accumulate dust, and allow to dry overnight.

#### 3.3.3 Con A Coating of 96-Well Plates

1. Dilute Con A to 0.05 mg/mL.

2. Add 80 μL of Con A to each well and allow to dry over at least 2 days.
3.3.4 Polylysine Coating of Coverslips
1. Place coverslips into the Parafilm-lined chamber.
2. Spread 50 μL of polylysine onto coverslips and let sit at room temperature for 3 h.
3. Rinse coverslips three times with distilled deionized water, and then aspirate excess water.
4. Allow the coverslips to dry, and then store at 4 ºC until needed.

3.3.5 Coating of Coverslip-Bottom Imaging Chamber
1. Add a 100 μL drop of Con A or polylysine to the center of the coverslip, and spread it evenly over the surface.
2. Use the tip of a p100 pipette to remove any excessive fluid accumulation that might pool in the interface between the glass bottom and plastic side.
3. Allow Con A-coated chambers to dry overnight. Allow lysine-coated chambers to sit for 3 h before rinsing them three times with distilled-deionized water. Dry them by aspirating any leftover fluid and then store them at 4 ºC.

3.3.6 Plating of Cells (See Note 10)
1. Resuspend cells that have been growing in a 96-well plate or 6-well dish.
2. Place prepared coverslips into a 6-well plate or 35 mm dish.
3. Place a drop of 100–200 μL of fresh medium onto the center of the coverslip.
4. Place a drop of the cell suspension into the fresh medium and pipette to mix. This step is very empirical. Allow the cells to settle to the surface and observe their density. Initially, add fewer cells to the coverslip, plate, or chamber than you think you will need. After 20 min the cells can be checked for density, and more cells can be added to achieve the desired density.
5. Add additional medium to the wells after the cells have attained the desired density and have begun to attach to the coverslip.
6. Initiate fixation, observation, or further manipulation after 1 h.

3.3.7 Fixation and Staining (See Note 11)
1. Remove the culture medium from each well of the 6-well plate or 35 mm dish, and fix cells for 10 min by adding in a solution of 0.3% glutaraldehyde, 4% formaldehyde in 1x PHEM supplemented with saponin (1 mg/mL).
2. Remove fixative and incubate cells with PHEM-T (1 × PHEM + 0.5% Triton X-100) for 5 min.
3. Rinse cells in PHEM.
4. Reduce unreacted aldehydes in the sample by three treatments for 10 min with a solution of 1 mg/mL sodium borohydride dissolved in PHEM (make immediately before use).
5. Wash the coverslips three times in PHEM-Wash (1 × PHEM + 0.1% Triton X-100)—5 min per wash.
6. Block nonspecific antibody binding sites and reduce background by incubating cells in a blocking solution (PHEM-Wash + 5% Boiled donkey serum) for 30 min to 1 h at room temperature (see Note 12).

7. Incubate cells in primary antibody for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C if antibody staining is desired. Dilute antibodies to the appropriate concentration with blocking solution; determine concentrations empirically for each antibody. Dot 50 μL drops of antibody solution onto a Parafilm sheet in a humidified chamber, and place a coverslip on top of each drop. Ensure that the cell-adhering side of the coverslip makes contact with the drop of antibody solution.

8. Wash cells 4× 5 min in PHEM-Wash.

9. Perform secondary antibody incubation as described for primary antibody using fluorescent secondary antibodies and additions such as DAPI or fluorescent-labeled phalloidin diluted in blocking buffer.

10. Wash coverslips 4× 5 min in PHEM-Wash.

11. Rinse cells 1× in PHEM and 1× in distilled water to remove salts.

12. Wick away excess fluid from coverslips and then mount them (cell side down) on slides using 1 drop of a mounting media.

13. Use vacuum aspiration to remove any excess mounting media from around the edges of the coverslips, and then seal them with a hardening material such as nail polish, VALAP, or CoverGrip (Biotium).

### 3.4 Live-Cell Imaging (See Note 13)

1. Plate S2 cells on glass-bottom dishes (see Note 14) that have been coated with a lectin solution, concanavalin A (con A). Con A should be applied to the glass surface and allowed to incubate for 1–2 min.

2. Remove con A, carefully ensuring that the reagent is completely removed, and allow the dishes to dry before the addition of cell culture medium containing cells. Allow 30–60 min for S2 cells to fully attach and spread on the con A-coated surface.

3. Alternatively, plate S2 plate on polylysine or Cell-tak (Corning Cat. No. 354240)-coated glass. See manufacturer’s instructions for coating glass-bottom dishes with Cell-tak.

4. Perform imaging in Schneider’s Insect Medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and antibiotic. Imaging is conveniently carried out at room temperature without CO₂.
3.5 Fixation and Preparation of Drosophila S2 Cells for Scanning Electron Microscopy

3.5.1 Fixation (See Note 15)

1. Equilibrate fixative to the same temperature as the cell culture. Remove the cell medium from the coverslips, and replace it with a solution of 4% glutaraldehyde with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline.

2. Incubate the sample in the fixative for at least 30 min at room temperature.

3. Store samples for a maximum of 2 weeks at 4 °C if desired.

3.5.2 Dehydration and Critical Point Drying (See Note 16)

1. Wash the sample three times for 5 min in distilled water to remove fixative.

2. Exchange distilled water for 30% ethanol for 5 min, and continue through dehydration with 5 min steps in 50%, 70%, 90%, 100% ethanol, using three washes at each ethanol concentration.

3. Under 100% ethanol, stack coverslips in a CPD holder with a washer between each.

4. Fill the CPD chamber with enough dehydrated ethanol to cover the holder. Place the holder in the chamber. Operate the CPD as directed by the manufacturer’s instructions.

3.5.3 Mounting and Sputter Coating (See Note 17)

1. Remove dry coverslips from the CPD chamber, and adhere onto SEM stubs with double-sided conductive tape.

2. To further facilitate conductivity, apply silver paint to create a bridge from the surface of the coverslip to the conductive tape or SEM stub.

3. After the silver paint has dried, place the samples in a sputter coater chamber.

4. Operate the sputter coater according to manufacturer’s instructions.

5. Image the coverslips in a SEM.

4 Notes

1. S2 cells (Fig. 2) can be maintained in either Schneider’s Drosophila medium (available from many suppliers) supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics or serum free media that are designed for SF9 cell culture. However, live-cell imaging should be performed in Schneider’s medium as serum-free media both produce background fluorescence and contain trace amounts of divalent ions, which tend to induce expression from pMT/V5-His vectors. S2 do not require CO₂, and they are tolerant of and perform well at high cell densities. Cells should be passaged when they become confluent. S2 cells are
Fig. 2 Scanning electron micrography of Drosophila S2 cells. Shown are representative images of S2 cells allowed to adhere to glass coverslips coated with either Poly-L-Lysine, 1mg/mL for 3 hours. Con-A, 0.5mg/mL.
loosely adherent on tissue culture plastic that has been treated for cell culture and thus do not require trypsin/EDTA treatments.

2. The amount of plasmid DNA transfected depends on the cytoskeletal protein being imaged and the *Drosophila* expression vector used. pMT/V5 His vectors that are copper inducible are typically transfected at a range of 0.1–2 μg DNA. An advantage of using pMT/V5-His vectors is that the amount of protein expressed can be titrated with the amount of CuSO₄ supplemented in the tissue culture medium prior to imaging. Successful imaging experiments have been carried out using vectors that have constitutively active promoters such as the pIZ/V5 vector, which uses the OpIE2 promoter, and the pAc5.1/V5 which uses the *Drosophila* actin 5C promoter. However, it is often best to titrate the amount of DNA transfected in order to achieve optimal protein expression levels with these vectors. It is also possible to transfect in vectors containing the UAS promoter, which are usually generated for producing transgenic flies. To work with UAS vectors, one can co-transfect a pMT-Gal4 to allow for inducible expression of the UAS promoter. For live-cell imaging of fluorescently tagged cytoskeletal proteins, good results have been achieved by an overnight induction of 25–600 μM CuSO₄. It is particularly important to use the low end of this range of CuSO₄ when imaging microtubule plus-end tracking proteins (+TIPS) that are sensitive to mis-localization as a consequence of overexpression. Expression of other cytoskeletal proteins such as tubulin, actin, or actin-binding proteins that are less sensitive to the artifacts generated by overexpression can be achieved by overnight induction at the higher end of this range.

Transient transfection of cytoskeletal proteins is generally carried out 1–2 days prior to live-cell imaging. The exact timing is highly dependent on the vector and the expression of the protein of interest, and an effort should be made to optimize this timing. For visualizing mitosis, it is usually best to wait 3 or more days before imaging. Mitosis is a rare event in a cell’s lifetime, and only 5% of cells will be in mitosis at any point in time. If the transfection efficiency is 50%, a culture will only have 2.5% of cells transfected and in mitosis at any point during imaging. For this reason, stably transfected cells are usually preferred for studies of mitosis.

---

**Fig. 2** (continued) overnight until dry. Cells were allowed to adhere for 1 h prior to fixation and processing for SEM. While the size and surface morphology for cells was somewhat variable, nearly all cells grown on concanavalin A-coated coverslips displayed a smooth dorsal surface morphology with few projections, had a smooth edge morphology, and were well-spread. Scale bars are 5 μm
3. We have achieved good results using FugeneHD transfection reagent (Promega). It is always best to follow the manufacturer’s instructions while preparing both the plasmid DNA and cells for electroporation. Lonza recommends $2 \times 10^6$ cells per transfection and from 0.1 to 2 μg of DNA per transfection.

4. It is important to remove as much tissue culture medium as possible without disturbing the pellet as residual media can interfere with the electroporation.

5. The electroporation machine provided by the Lanza system contains a preset S2 cell protocol, G-030 (or G-30 for Nucleofector I device).

6. Electroporation does lead to cell death, but in general if the protocol is adhered to, the amount of cell death does not interfere with the progress of the experiment. Very high transfection efficiencies can be achieved with electroporation; however, transfection efficiency is highly dependent on plasmid DNA quality and the health of the cells prior to electroporation.

7. Drosophila S2 cells cannot be fixed and stained like mammalian cells. They are nonadherent and must be induced to adhere to coverslips or multiwall plates; they do not tolerate low-density cultures and therefore must be seeded carefully in order to function optimally. And they do not tolerate hypertonic buffers and therefore require specific buffers for washing and fixation.

8. In order to plate cells on glass, the hydrophobic coating found on most coverslips must be removed. There are several ways to do this: plasma cleaning, hydrogen peroxide + sulfuric acid soaking, and flame cleaning. Flame cleaning does not produce any chemical waste that requires storage and disposal or requires a special piece of equipment.

9. S2 cells are only weakly adherent to glass surfaces, which must be functionalized in order to keep cells immobilized for fixation and staining.

10. S2 cells are extremely sensitive to plating density. If plated at too low of a concentration, they will not form extensive microtubule networks. Additionally, if one wishes to visualize mitotic cells, a too low density will make it very difficult to observe enough cells. However, plating at a too high density will inhibit their spreading and lead to cells stacking upon each other, resulting in out of focus noise in images. It is advisable to empirically determine how many cells to plate for any given application.

11. Many existing protocols for cell fixation work well for S2 cells. Several methods may need to be tested to find one that is compatible with both fixing the structure you wish to observe
and that preserves the antigenicity of the molecules you wish to localize. Fixatives that work for S2 cells include $-80^\circ C$ methanol, a mixture of methanol and formaldehyde (90% methanol, 3.2% formaldehyde, 5 mM sodium bicarbonate, pH 9 (from a 0.5 M stock) chilled to $-80^\circ C$ prior to fixation), 4–10% formaldehyde, and ice-cold acetone. The protocol in Subheading 3.3.5 uses a common fixation method that contains a mild detergent to give simultaneous fixation and permeabilization. Simultaneous fixation/permeabilization works well for many antigens and structures as the detergent speeds up the access of the fixative while allowing some loosely bound protein to leave the cells, thereby reducing background. Alternatively, fixation followed by permeabilization or rapid fixation (10–60 s) followed by permeabilization and then 10 min of a second fixation can be utilized as well.

12. We use donkey serum and the highly cross-subtracted donkey secondary antibodies from Jackson ImmunoResearch) or 1× TBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 + 2% BSA).

13. Imaging is performed on an inverted microscope. Both the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons are sensitive to phototoxicity. Exposure to excess fluorescent light can quickly lead to a slowing or altering of the natural filament dynamics. Imaging should be performed to minimize the fluorescent light exposure. Both spinning-disk confocal and total internal reflection (TIRF) microscopy lend themselves to limiting the amount of light exposure while providing a good signal-to-noise ratio ideal for imaging proteins that are expressed at low levels. The speed of image acquisition by both these types of microscopy is also ideal for capturing the dynamics of the actin and microtubule cytoskeletons of live cells.

14. Glass bottom dishes can be made using a step drill bit (Neiko Titanium Step Drill Bit- 1/4" to 1–3/8" Increments, 10 steps) installed in an upright drill press (SKIL 3320-01 120 V 10-in. Drill Press); however, commercial dishes with their superior flatness are highly recommended for TIRF. The bit is used to bore a circular opening in the bottom of a 35 mm plastic dish 1.5 to 2 cm in diameter. Coverslips can then be glued to the dish, using any number of quick-drying plastic compatible adhesives. We prefer an ultraviolet curing adhesive available from Norland (Norland Optical Adhesive 81) which quickly cures following a brief exposure (5–10 min) to ultraviolet light from a transilluminator.

15. Chemical fixation halts deterioration of the sample by cross-linking proteins. This crosslinking also provides some support for dehydration and critical point drying. Chemical fixatives are hazardous. Gloves and a fume hood should be used during fixation.
16. Samples must be dry to withstand the high vacuum of a scanning electron microscope. Air-drying creates enormous surface tension that causes samples to crumple losing their original surface shapes. To preserve a sample’s structure, dehydration in ethanol and critical point drying (CPD) in carbon dioxide are used to dry the sample. During CPD 100% ethanol, which is miscible with water and carbon dioxide, is exchanged for liquid carbon dioxide. Heat and pressure are applied to the sample to reach the point where liquid carbon dioxide changes into gaseous carbon dioxide (the critical point). The sample is now dry. Having never been exposed to surface tension, the original structures are preserved.

17. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) requires conductivity throughout the system. Biological samples lose their conductivity once water is removed. Mounting samples on aluminum stubs facilitate conductivity from the SEM stage to the sample and provide a stable surface. Conductivity must continue across the surface of the sample. This requires the deposition of a thin layer of metal. Sputter coating uses a heavy inert gas (typically Argon) to create a plasma field around a metal target. The plasma field erodes the target so that metal atoms are ejected onto the surface of the sample.
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Influencing the Actin Dynamics in Plant Cells by Jasplakinolide, Chondramides, Phalloidin, Cytochalasins, and Latrunculins

Andreas Holzinger

Abstract

This chapter presents an overview of the most common F-actin influencing substances, used to study actin dynamics in living plant cells for studies on morphogenesis, motility, organelle movement, apoptosis, or abiotic stress. These substances can be divided into two major subclasses—F-actin-stabilizing and F-actin-polymerizing substances like jasplakinolide and chondramides and F-actin-severing compounds like cytochalasins and latrunculins. Jasplakinolide, which may have anti-cancer activities, was originally isolated from a marine sponge and can now be synthesized and has become commercially available, which is responsible for its wide distribution as membrane-permeable F-actin-stabilizing and F-actin-polymerizing agent. Recently an acyclic derivative of jasplakinolide was isolated. Cytochalasins, derived from fungi, show an F-actin-severing function, and many derivatives are commercially available (A, B, C, D, E, H, J), also making it a widely used compound for F-actin disruption. The same can be stated for latrunculins (A, B), derived from Red Sea sponges; however the mode of action is different by binding to G-actin and inhibiting incorporation into the filament. In the case of swinholide, isolated from red algae or the cyanobacterium Nostoc, a stable complex with actin dimers is formed, resulting in severing F-actin.

For influencing F-actin dynamics in plant cells, only membrane permeable drugs are useful in a broad range. We, however, introduce also the phallotoxins and synthetic derivatives thereof, as they are widely used to visualize F-actin in fixed cells. A particular uptake mechanism has been shown for hepatocytes but has also been described in siphonal giant algae. The focus is set on F-actin dynamics in plant cells where alterations in cytoplasmic streaming can be particularly well studied; moreover fluorescence methods for phalloidin- and antibody staining as well as techniques for immunoelectron microscopy are explained.

Keywords Actin filaments, Chondramides, Cytochalasins, Depsipeptide, Jasplakinolide, Latrunculin, Phalloidin, Phallotoxin, Swinholide

1 Introduction

Filamentous actin (F-actin), as a major structural cytoskeletal component, has been targeted by inhibitor drugs for decades, and the importance of an undisturbed turnover has been established as prerequisite for living functions in plant cells [1]. Recently the
The first near-atomic structure of plant actin has been published using cryogenic electron microscopy and a real-space helical reconstruction of *Zea mays* pollen actin (ZMPA) at a resolution of 3.9 Å [2]. Yet, a comprehensive overview of the different categories of F-actin-perturbing substances is still missing. We will therefore give a short overview on (A) actin-stabilizing and actin-polymerizing substances including jasplakinolide, chondramide, and phalloidin and actin-depolymerizing substances like cytochalasin, latrunculin, and swinholide (Fig. 1).

The actin system is involved in different cellular processes of plants like exo- and endocytosis, organelle motions and maintenance of organelle distribution, motility, cell division, cell shape formation, and cytoplasmic streaming [1]. The near-atomic structure of ZMPA has been compared with rabbit skeletal muscle actin and jasplakinolide-stabilized *Plasmodium falciparum* actin, where the D-loop of subdomain 2 differs from the animal actin but resembles the jasplakinolide-stabilized actin, suggesting that plant
actin filaments are more stable than their mammalian counterparts [2]. Several substances are known to influence actin dynamics by altering intracellular actin organization and have been used extensively for cell biological research [3].

While the mechanism of action is different for the described substances, the effect on the cells might be quite similar—a disruption of the actin-related functions. The present article does not cover drugs or inhibitors acting only indirectly on F-actin polymerization (i.e., ATP-synthase blockers like ATPase blockers N-ethylmaleimide (NEM) and 2,3-butanedione monoxime (BDM) [4]) or inhibitors interacting with actin-binding proteins like the Arp2/3 complex (i.e., wiskostatin, [5]).

1.1 F-Actin-Stabilizing and F-Actin-Polymerizing Substances

Actin stabilization is generated mostly by three different compounds: phalloidin [6, 7], jasplakinolide [8, 9], and chondramide [10, 11]. While all three substances have the capacities to stabilize F-actin, phalloidin is not membrane permeable, whereas jasplakinolide and chondramides readily enter cells [12, 13]. Therefore, phalloidin is used in cell biological research mainly for visualization of F-actin after fluorescence labeling of the compound in fixed tissues [14]. In contrast, the commercially available jasplakinolide can be used for F-actin stabilization in living cells due to its membrane permeability [15].

1.1.1 Jasplakinolide

The common feature of these actin-stabilizing substances is a cyclic depsipeptide (Fig. 1), which is a polymeric compound containing both amino acids and hydroxy acids, joined by peptide and ester bounds. Chemically, jasplakinolide is a cyclo-depsipeptide containing a tripeptide moiety linked to a polyketide chain [16, 17] (Fig. 1). Jasplakinolide is also called jaspamide [17–20]. For jasplakinolide also F-actin-polymerizing capacities were described [21–25]. Originally, jasplakinolide was isolated from the marine sponge Jaspis sp. collected at Fiji or the Palau islands [16, 18]. The sponge was authenticated as Jaspis johnstoni [17]; however taxonomic difficulties were pointed out [26], and the compound can also be isolated from other sponge genera [26, 27]. Only recently, a new acyclic cytotoxic jasplakinolide derivative has been isolated from the marine sponge Jaspis splendens [28]. Detailed procedures for jasplakinolide extraction can be found in [29].

Different approaches were made to synthesize this substance [30, 31] or generate nonpeptide mimetics [32]; finally Jasplakinolide became commercially available from Molecular Probes in the late 1990s. An enantioselective total synthesis of (+)-jasplakinolide has been described [33].

The biological activities of jasplakinolide are described as anthelminthic, antifungal, insecticidal, and selective antimicrobial [16, 18, 34]. In vitro investigations have elucidated the actin-polymerizing and actin-stabilizing capacities of jasplakinolide.
In plant systems the unicellular green alga *Micrasterias* [22], green algae *Acetabularia*, *Pseudobryopsis*, and *Nitella* [13], fucoid (brown algal) zygotes of *Silvetia compressa* and *Pelvetia compressa* [35], *Allium* bulb scale cells and *Sinapis* root hairs [13], tobacco BY-2 cells [36], *Lilium* pollen tubes [37], and *Papaver rhoeas* pollen tubes [38] have been tested for jasplakinolide reaction.

Jasplakinolide causes a tremendous effect already visible at the light microscopic level by malformation of cells after recovery in the development of *Micrasterias* [22] (Fig. 2a). Inhibition or retardation of cell development occurs; during recovery from drug treatment, the cells develop a malformed pattern [22]. In pollen tubes the normal bidirectional cytoplasmic streaming is altered; instead a rotary streaming is observed in the swollen apex [37]. Moreover, altering F-actin levels or dynamics by jasplakinolide plays a functional role in initiating programmed cell death in *Papaver* pollen, triggering a caspase-3-like activity [38]. Polarity establishment is severely changed in fucoid zygotes [35]. When applied during mitosis, binucleated cells are generated as a consequence of jasplakinolide treatment [22].

Jasplakinolide specifically targets F-actin, other cytoskeletal components like desmin or β-tubulin were not influenced by jasplakinolide [39], microtubule-dependent processes are not affected [40], and the distribution of microtubules and microtubule-dependent processes were not altered in green algae [22].

---

Fig. 2 Light microscopy of F-actin perturbation. (a) Effect of jasplakinolide treatment on developing *Micrasterias denticulata* cell (Subheading 3.1) and young developmental stage treated with 3μM jasplakinolide for 0.5 h and allowed to recover for 3 h in nutrient solution; young semi-cell exhibits complete loss of normal cell pattern (arrow), chloroplast (chl). (b) Effect of 5μM latrunculin B on *Oxyria digyna* mesophyll cell; cytoplasmic streaming is inhibited, between the chloroplasts (chl) granular cytoplasmic portions are visible. Bars = (a) 20μm, (b) 5μm. ((a) Reprinted from Ref. 22 with permission. “Copyright Wiley-Liss, Inc., a subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.” (b) Reprinted from Ref. 58 with permission of “G. Thieme Verlag KG, Stuttgart”)

[9, 21, 25]. In plant systems the unicellular green alga *Micrasterias* [22], green algae *Acetabularia*, *Pseudobryopsis*, and *Nitella* [13], fucoid (brown algal) zygotes of *Silvetia compressa* and *Pelvetia compressa* [35], *Allium* bulb scale cells and *Sinapis* root hairs [13], tobacco BY-2 cells [36], *Lilium* pollen tubes [37], and *Papaver rhoeas* pollen tubes [38] have been tested for jasplakinolide reaction.
1.1.2 Chondramides

Another group of substances with actin-stabilizing and actin-polymerizing capacities are chondramides [9, 41]. These depsipeptides were originally obtained from myxobacteria of *Chondromyces crocatus* [10, 42]. Chemically they are very similar to jasplakinolide but contain instead of an 19-membered ring an 18-membered macrocyclic ring [43]. Until to date they are underrepresented due to their limited availability. However, synthetic chondramides were produced recently [43] and may become commercially available. In the future, chondramides may also be used for cancer therapy [44, 45].

1.2 Actin-Depolymerizing Substances

A prerequisite for an F-actin-perturbing drug is it’s membrane permeability. Cytochalasins as well as latrunculins represent the most widely used F-actin-sequestering drugs [46].

1.2.1 Cytochalasins

Cytochalasins have been shown to act on cytoplasmic streaming in plant cells [47–50]. A vast number of different cytochalasins (A, B, C, D, E, H, J) have been described, with different inhibitory concentrations and chemical properties, occasionally termed cytochalasans [51]. It is generally accepted that the cytochalasins slow the rate of filament polymerization by inhibiting the rate of elongation [52]. This action is caused by the high affinity binding of cytochalasins to the barbed (plus end) of F-actin; thus the monomer addition is prevented by a “capping” mechanism [46, 52]. Due to the prevention of G-actin incorporation into the filament, a net depolymerization is provoked [46]. While the main target is the F-actin cytoskeleton, cytochalasins A and B also inhibit monosaccharide transport across the plasma membrane [7].

Cytochalasins are fungal metabolites, independently discovered and isolated from distinct fungal species (cytochalasin A and B derived from *Helminthosporium dematioides*; cytochalasin C and D from *Metarhizium anisopliae*) by Aldridge et al. [53]. Previously, Rothweiler and Tamm [54] termed the substance “phomin” as it was detected in *Phomas* sp. (*Fungi imperfecti*, strain S 298). Chemically cytochalasins are a diverse group of polyketide-amino acid hybrid metabolites [51]. Various cytochalasins have a wide range of biological activities, some of which not directly related to actin binding. Some cytochalasins (e.g., cytochalasin B) interfere with the monosaccharide transport systems by binding to high-affinity sites on glucose-transporter proteins and may interfere with hormones.

1.2.2 Latrunculins

Latrunculins (Fig. 1) provide another useful drug for the study of actin polymerization with a complementary mode of action when compared to cytochalasin [55]. The main mechanism of latrunculin action is in an interaction with actin monomers (G-actin) in order to avoid actin polymerization [55]. It has been shown by an in vitro...
assay that latrunculins bind to purified G-actin resulting in a non-polymerizable 1:1 complex [8]. Latrunculin A is a toxin purified from the Red Sea sponge *Latrunculia magnifica* [55, 56]. It has been used in a variety of plant cells inhibiting pollen germination and pollen tube growth [50] and induced plant dwarfism [57]. Both Latrunculins arrested cytoplasmic streaming after disrupting the subcortical actin bundles [49]. In a mesophyll cell of the high alpine plant *Oxyria digyna*, latrunculin B arrested cytoplasmic streaming (Fig. 2b) [58]. Latrunculin B has been used to depolymerize actin in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [59, 60]; in higher concentrations it can lead to the formation of ring-like structures [59]. Latrunculin B has a diminished binding capacity when compared to latrunculin A [6]. The function of actin depolymerization by latrunculin B may have a more wide-ranging effect than previously thought as recently demonstrated by a proteomic study, where not only a downregulation of several proteins involved in actin organization and dynamics was observed but also a change in phytohormone dynamics by reducing the abundance of abscisic acid [61]. Complex interaction patterns upon drought stress were seen in *Arabidopsis*, where a stress-induced protein (AFL1) made FM4-64 uptake as a marker for endocytosis less sensitive to the actin-disrupting agents latrunculin B as well as cytochalasin D [62].

### 1.2.3 Swinholide

Swinholide A (Fig. 1) was isolated from the marine sponge *Theonella swinhoei*, collected from the Red Sea [8, 63]. This polyketide was long time suspected to be produced by symbiotic bacteria, and recently it was reported to be produced by an axenic strain of a terrestrial cyanobacterium *Nostoc* sp. [64]. It is membrane permeable and acting as an F-actin-disrupting toxin that stabilizes actin dimers and thus severs F-actin. Chemically it consists of a 44-carbon ring dimeric dilactone macrolide with a twofold axis of symmetry [65–67]. The effects of swinholide A on the actin cytoskeleton and cell morphology are similar to latrunculins rather than of cytochalasins [65].

### 1.3 Visualization of Inhibitory Effects

The function of these drugs can be either studied by arresting or inhibiting cytoplasmic streaming (Fig. 2b) [58]. The velocity of cytoplasmic streaming can provide useful insights in the function of the different drugs [49]. Visualization of the changes in F-actin is usually performed by phalloidin staining [49, 59, 66]. It has been shown in the charophyte green alga *Nitella pseudoiellata* that thick F-actin bundles may not appear influenced by, e.g., cytochalasin A and H (Fig. 3), despite that the cytoplasmic streaming is arrested. In *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* a time-dependent loss of F-actin has been visualized after latrunculin A treatment; prolonged treatments (45 min) led to the formation of F-actin rings [59] (Fig. 4). After jasplakinolide or chondramide treatment, an altered
Fig. 3 Subcortical F-actin bundles in internodal cells of *Nitella pseudoflabellata* treated with (a) 1μM cytochalasin A, incubated for 1 day and (b) 50μM cytochalasin H, incubated for 2 days. Despite these concentrations lead to an arresting of cytoplasmic streaming, the F-actin bundles are clearly visible after phalloidin staining with the perfusion method (see Subheading 3.2.5) clear cytoplasm. Bar = 5 μm (Reprinted from Ref. 49 with permission of Oxford University Press)

Fig. 4 Effects of different concentrations of latrunculin B on *Chlamydomonas* CC-125 wild-type cells. (a) Vegetative wild-type cells stained with Atto 488 phalloidin, (b) filamentous actin signal disappears after 10 min treatment with 10μM latrunculin B, (c) filamentous actin ring structures form in many cells treated for 45 min with 10μM latrunculin B, (d) actin ring structures (magenta) co-localize around the nucleus (DAPI in cyan), cells treated for 45 min in 10μM latrunculin B. The insets are magnified details of the respective panels (indicated by the small squares). The details of the staining procedure are described in Subheading 3.2.4. [59] Bars = 10 μm. (Reprinted from Ref. 59 with permission of the authors © 2019 Craig et al.)
F-actin distribution was found, characterized by a patchy appearance of cortical actin [68], the formation of actin dots [69], or a disruption of the actin cytoskeleton [13, 39]. Also abiotic stresses like desiccation have been successfully visualized by phalloidin staining [70], making this a universal tool.

As competitive binding inhibition of phalloidin to F-actin by jasplakinolide is described [21], and decreased FITC-phalloidin labeling is noted as a consequence of jasplakinolide treatment in MDCK cells [71], the problems visualizing jasplakinolide effects by fluorescently labeled phalloidin are obvious. Moreover, phalloidin and jasplakinolide have the same effect when applied to living Dictyostelium cells, namely, the formation of actin aggregates [24].

In order to avoid the difficulties mentioned, only electron microscopy appears to be a sufficient technique for detection of jasplakinolide effects on F-actin [22, 72].

At electron micrographs tangential sections of F-actin can easily be recognized by the filament diameter of about 4–5 nm. In organisms like Micrasterias, where normally almost no bundling of F-actin occurs, and thus F-actin is hardly found at electron microscopical images, alterations causing extensive bundling of actin are easily detected [22] (Fig. 5). In addition, immunodetection of the altered F-actin system after jasplakinolide treatment is successful [68] and allows a comprehensive picture to be drawn in combination with other techniques.

Herein different procedures shall be described for the detection of actin filament aggregates generated by application of jasplakinolide. Due to the advantages of electron microscopy and/or

---

**Fig. 5** Detail of the ultrastructure of Micrasterias denticulata cell treated with 3µM jasplakinolide for 2 h and fixed for electron microscopy according to Subheading 3.2.1; dense accumulations of F-actin are visible in the cytoplasm. Bar = 0.2µm. (Reprinted from Ref. 22 with permission “Copyright Wiley-Liss, Inc., a subsidiary of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.”)
immunomethods, these will be mentioned more in detail; however different protocols for phalloidin stainings will be given as they have been used for some organisms in combination with jasplakinolide treatment [24, 68, 71].

2 Materials

2.1 Cell Cultures

1. *Micrasterias denticulata* Bréb. is a unicellular green alga used for light microscopy, electron microscopy [22], and immunoelectron microscopy because alterations in cell shape caused by drug treatments are easily detected. The cells are grown in culture medium under semisterile conditions in a light/dark regime of 14/10 h at 20°C.

2. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* CC-125 (wild type).

3. Characean green algae (*Chara* sp., *Nitella* sp.), fresh collected or culture grown material.

4. *Acetabularia acetabulum*.

5. Stably transformed *Arabidopsis thaliana* expressing a fusion construct of GFP with the actin-binding domain 2 (ABD2) of the plant actin-binding protein fimbrin [73, 74].

6. Tris acetate phosphate (TAP) liquid medium (commercially available).

7. Tris acetate phosphate (TAP) agar plates.

2.2 Chemicals, Buffers, Solutions

2.2.1 Actin-Perturbing Drugs and Solvents

1. Jasplakinolide, Mol. Wt. 709.68: 100 μg diluted upon arrival in methanol to achieve 10 mM stock solution and kept refrigerated at −20°C (see Note 1).

2. Chondramides: although the original isolate from myxobacteria [10, 42] is not commercially available, a synthetic analog, condramide, has been produced [43].


5. Swinholide A, MW 1389.87.

6. Phalloidin MW 788.87.

2.2.2 Electron Microscopy

1. Cacodylic buffer: 50 mM cacodylic acid sodium salt, pH 7.2.

2. Cacodylate-glutaraldehyde: 50 mM cacodylic buffer, 1% glutaraldehyde, pH 7.2.

3. Cacodylate-osmium: 50 mM cacodylic acid buffer, 1% osmium tetraoxide, 0.8%, potassium hexacyanoferrate III.
4. Phosphate buffer-fixative: 25 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, 25 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 1% glutaraldehyde, 1% osmium tetroxide, pH 6.2.
5. 2% Aqueous uranyl acetate dihydrate.
6. Dehydrating ethanol series: 15%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%.
7. Propylene oxide-ethanol mixture: 1,2-propylene oxide and ethanol (1:1 v/v).
8. Propylene oxide-embedding resin mixture: mix (1:1 v/v) 1,2-propylene oxide and embedding resin (Embed 812, Araldite 502, Spurr’s, or others).
9. Copper grids.
10. 3% Formvar 1595 E in chloroform.
11. 10% uranyl acetate.

2.2.3 Immunoelectron Microscopy

1. 10% Lecithin dissolved in chloroform.
2. Liquid nitrogen.
3. Acetone-tannic acid: acetone containing 0.1% tannic acid (v/v).
4. Acetone-osmium: acetone containing 2% osmium tetroxide and 0.05% uranyl acetate.
5. Embedding medium: LR white resin, medium grade.
6. TBS (Tris-buffered saline): 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl.
7. 2% Blocking solution: TBS containing 1% BSA (fraction V), 1% acetylated BSA, 0.1% Tween-20.
8. 10% Blocking solution: 10% bovine fetal calf serum.
Primary antisera/antibodies (e.g., N 350 monoclonal anti-actin, Amersham).
9. 10 nm colloidal gold-conjugated secondary antibody appropriate for the primary antibody.

2.2.4 Fluorescence Microscopy

1. MT-stabilizing buffer (MTSB): 50 mM PIPES buffer, 50 mM MgSO₄, 50 mM EGTA, pH 6.9.
2. MTSB/DMSO solution: 4.6 mL MTSB buffer mixed with 0.5 mL of DMSO.
3. MTSB/DMSO fixative: 4% freshly prepared paraformaldehyde in MTSB.
4. Paraformaldehyde-HEPES fixative: 4% fresh paraformaldehyde in 7.5 mM HEPES pH 7.4.
5. PBS (phosphate-buffered saline): 137 mM sodium chloride, 2.7 mM potassium chloride, 4.3 mM sodium hydrogen phosphate, 1.4 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate.
6. TBS (Tris-buffered saline): 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl.
7. 7.5 mM HEPES pH 7.4.
8. Triton solution: 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS.
10. Anti-actin monoclonal antibody N 350 (Amersham) (see Note 2).
12. Phalloidin: 0.4 mg/mL FITC, Alexa or Atto conjugated phalloidin Alexa phalloidin (Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Neth-
erlands; prepare from a 6.6 mM stock solution in methanol) at a concentration of 0.16 mM.
14. Mounting medium: Fluoromount-GTM.
15. Texas red conjugated anti-mouse IgM antibody.
16. Steedman’s wax: PEG 400 distearate and 1-hexadecanol mixed in proportions 9:1 (w/w) [78].
17. Dehydrating ethanol series in PBS: PBS, 15%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, 95%, 100%.
18. Rehydrating ethanol series in PBS: 100%, 95%, 90%, 80%, 70%, 60%, 50%, 40%, 30%, 15%, PBS.
19. Isotonic perfusion solution: 200 mM sucrose, 70 mM KCl, 4.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM ethyleneglycoltetraacetic acid (EGTA), 1.48 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM piperazine-\(\text{N},\text{N}^\prime\)-bis (2-ethanesulfonic acid) (PIPES, pH 7.0).
20. Cell wall digest solution: 1% hemicellulose dissolved in 0.5 M EGTA, 0.4 M mannitol, 1% Triton X-100, 0.3 mM phenylmethysulfonyl fluoride (dissolved in MTSB).

3 Methods

3.1 Treatment and Recovery Experiments

1. Incubate cells (from the abovementioned plant cell types or tissue, see Subheading 2.1) in 1 mL of appropriate culture medium containing appropriate inhibitory drug concentrations for time periods of 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 24, 48 h, and observe the effect on cytoplasmic streaming (Fig. 2b; see Note 3).
2. Wash several times with culture medium, and allow developing in culture medium for up to 24 h. This is regarded as “recovery experiment.”
3. Investigate the cells from the recovery experiment under a regular light microscope or fix for electron microscopy (see Subheading 3.2.1).
3.2 Visualization of F-Actin After Drug Treatment

3.2.1 Chemical Fixation/Electron Microscopy of Algal Cells after Holzinger and Meindl [22]. The Procedure Described Herein Has First Been Used for the Unicellular Green Alga Micrasterias [75]

1. Fix recovered cells (Subheading 3.1, step 2) and untreated control cells in cacodylate-glutaraldehyde for 15 min (see Note 4).
2. Wash cells three times for 5 min each in cacodylic buffer.
3. Postfix cells in cacodylate-osmium for 2 h.
4. Wash cells, as in step 2, in distilled water.
5. Incubate cells in 2% uranyl acetate for 2 h (see Note 5).
6. Wash cells, as in step 2, in distilled water.
7. Use a cold dehydrating ethanol series to dehydrate the cells for 15 min per concentration except for 100% ethanol, which requires 30 min (see Note 6).
8. Transfer the cells to the propylene oxide-ethanol mixture, allow to equilibrate for 10 min, and transfer to propylene oxide.
9. Transfer the cells to the propylene oxide-embedding resin mixture (see Note 7).
10. Rotate the cells in this mixture for 48 h in order to allow the propylene oxide to evaporate and a sufficient penetration of the cells with the resin to be achieved.
11. Transfer the cells to freshly prepared resin in aluminum dishes, and orientate them by the use of eyelashes connected to holders.
12. Incubate in a desiccator for 4 days.
13. Polymerize the resin for 24 h at 60 °C.
14. Select cells and section at an ultramicrotome.
15. Collect sections on Formvar-coated copper grids (see Note 8).
16. Counterstain the sections with 2% uranyl acetate and Reynold’s lead citrate (see Note 9).
17. Investigate and photograph at a transmission electron microscope at 60–80 kV.

3.2.2 Immunoelectron Microscopy

The following fixation technique has been carried out for Micrasterias for the first time by Meindl et al. [76]. The recipe for actin detection by means of immuno-gold localization is based on Holzinger et al. [77].

1. Prepare gold or aluminum specimen holders with bed depths of 100–300 μm by dipping in lecithin.
2. Collect treated cells to be transferred to specimen holders. Large cells like Micrasterias (200 μm in diameter) can be easily collected under a stereo microscope by wrapping with cotton fibers.
3. Use high-pressure freezing to fix treated cells (High Pressure Freezing Machine HPM 010, Boeckeler Instruments Inc., Tucson/AZ, USA). See Note 10 for other methods.

4. Collect and store samples under liquid nitrogen.

5. Transfer samples to a freeze substitution device (Reichert-Jung, cs auto or LEICA EM AFS, Leica Microsystems GmbH, Vienna, Austria).

6. Substitute samples at −80 °C for 24 h in acetone-tannic acid solution.

7. Wash several times with acetone.

8. Substitute samples at −80 °C for 24 h in acetone-osmium solution (see Note 11).

9. Allow samples to reach −30 °C within 5 h.

10. Continue substitution at −30 °C for 10 h.

11. Allow samples to reach room temperature (20 °C) within 5 h.

12. Remove osmium tetroxide/uranyl acetate solution and rinse several times with acetone.

13. Change acetone for ethanol by several rinses.

14. Transfer samples to LR white in aluminum dishes and cover with cellophane foil.

15. Allow samples to infiltrate in a desiccator for 24 h.

16. Polymerize under UV light at room temperature for 24 h.

17. Prepare sections for electron microscopy (compare Subheading 3.2.1) and transfer to Formvar-coated gold or gilded-copper grids.

18. Incubate in 2% blocking solution for 30 min up to 1 h. A blocking step with 10% blocking solution may be applied if blocking is not sufficient.

19. Transfer into primary antibody against actin. Purified antibody may be diluted in blocking solution. Incubation should last for 1.5 h at room temperature or for up to 24 h at 4 °C.

20. Wash four times for 15 min each in TBS by transferring grids to 50 μL droplets of TBS.

21. Incubate in 10 nm gold (see Note 12) labeled secondary antibody diluted in blocking solution (see Note 13).

22. Wash by rinsing with TBS, followed by incubation in droplets of TBS for 2 min, followed by a brief rinse with distilled water.

23. Counterstain with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, if necessary (see Subheading 3.2.1).

24. Investigate at a transmission electron microscope at 80 kV.
3.2.3 Immuno-fluorescence Microscopy of Latrunculin B-Treated Maize Roots After Baluska et al. [57, 78]

1. Grow maize root tips for 48 h.
2. Cut apical segments (6–8 mm) and transfer into MTSB/DMSO solution for 15 min.
3. Fix for 1 h in MTSB/DMSO fixative.
4. Dehydrate in increasing ethanol series in PBS.
5. Embed in Steedman’s wax by stepwise infiltration in proportions 2:1, 1:1, and 1:2 (v/v) for 2 h each step at 37 °C (see Subheading 2.2.4, step 14).
6. Infiltrate in pure wax under vacuum, using three changes in pure wax.
7. Polymerize wax at room temperature.
8. Cut longitudinal sections with a thickness between 4 µm and 8 µm.
10. Dewax the sections in ethanol.
11. Rehydrate in ethanol/PBS series and incubate in MTSB for 45 min.
12. Digest cell walls in digest solution (see Subheading 2.2.4, step 18).
13. Incubate in blocking solution for 30 min.
14. Incubate in anti-actin monoclonal antibody (clone C4, ICN, Costa Mesa, CA) for 2 h at room temperature.
15. Rinse three times for 15 min each in MTSB.
16. Incubate in FITC-conjugated anti-mouse IgGs (diluted 1:100–1:200) for 1 h at room temperature.
17. Remove secondary antibody and mount in phenylenediamine.
18. Examine at a confocal laser scanning microscope at excitation with an argon laser at 488 nm.

3.2.4 Immuno-fluorescence Microscopy of Latrunculin B-Treated Chlamydomonas Cells After Craig et al. [59] and Craig and Avasthi [66]

1. Grow two inoculating loops of Chlamydomonas CC-125 mating type + cells, taken from a Tris Acetate Phosphate (TAP) agar plate, in 2 mL of TAP liquid media on a roller drum (40 rpm) overnight (~16 h) in growth lighting at room temperature.
2. Use a hydrophobic marker to draw a circle on the coverslip where you pipette the cells and media used in the experiment. Add 200 µL of poly-l-lysine at room temperature to the hydrophobic circle, and after 10 min, wash in distilled water; poly-l-lysine will fall off the coverslip when submerged. Quickly place coverslip on Parafilm and remove excess moisture.
3. Select healthy cells by centrifuging 1 mL of cell culture at 1800 rpm (203 × g) for 1.5 min, discard the supernatant, and resuspend cells in 600 µL fresh TAP media. Slowly pipette
media up and down a few times; healthy cells migrate to the top of the culture after about 10 min. Take 200 μL of resuspended cells from the top of the culture to poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips for 5 min, and shield samples from light to prevent phototaxis swimming to the light.

4. After 5 min, tilt off liquid from the coverslips and replace with 200 μL paraformaldehyde-HEPES fixative (Subheading 2.2.4, item 4), and incubate for 15 min at room temperature.

5. Remove fixative solution with Kimwipe and place coverslips in a Columbia jar containing 1× PBS, and wash for 3 min.

6. For cell permeabilization, submerge coverslips in a Columbia jar containing 80% pre-cooled acetone (diluted in water and stored at −20 °C) and then incubate for 5 min at −20 °C.

7. Quickly transfer coverslips into a second Columbia jar containing 100% pre-cooled acetone and incubate for another 5 min at −20 °C.

8. Place coverslips back on Parafilm and allow them to air dry for a minimum of 2 min.

9. Rehydrate cells by transferring coverslips to a Columbia jar containing 1× PBS, and incubate for 5 min.

10. Stain coverslips with Atto 488 Phalloidin for 16 min in the dark (shorter than recommended staining time significantly reduces background and increases the signal to noise ratio).

11. Tilt off staining solution, and wash cells by transferring coverslips to a Columbia jar containing 1× PBS for 5 min.

12. Remove excess liquid from the coverslip with a Kimwipe and mount coverslips with self-sealing Fluoromount-GTM.

13. Capture 0.3 μm step Z-stacks in bright-field and wide-field fluorescence channels (GFP filter set) using a Nikon Eclipse Ti-S equipped with a QIMAGING QICAM. Deconvolve fluorescence images using Huygens Essential deconvolution software and format in ImageJ.

---

3.2.5 Perfusion of Nitella Internodal Cells for FITC-Phalloidin Staining of F-Actin After Foissner and Wasteneys [49] (Fig. 3; See Note 14)

1. Place an internodal cell on the cover slip bottom of a perfusion chamber and press into vacuum grease lines; place small reservoirs with grooves over the grease and firmly press down without damaging the cells.

2. Cover the central portion of the cell between the reservoirs with silicon fluid to avoid evaporation.

3. Bath the ends of the cells with isotonic perfusion solution.

4. Reduce the turgor pressure of the cells in a hypertonic solution.

5. Cut the ends with small scissors, and allow the perfusion solution to enter the cells.
6. After 1 min replace the perfusion solution by an F-actin staining solution containing Alexa-phalloidin.

7. After 20 min examine at the CLSM (see Subheading 3.2.3).

4 Notes

1. Jasplakinolide is also dissolvable in DMSO [34].

2. The choice of the anti-actin antibody is very important. The epitope of the N 350 (a mouse monoclonal IgM antibody directed against chicken gizzard actin) binding site is obviously not changed by jasplakinolide [13], whereas binding sites for other actin antibodies (e.g., clone C4—a mouse monoclonal IgG antibody directed against chicken gizzard actin, ICN) seem to be altered substantially which prevents visualization of F-actin after jasplakinolide treatment [13]. This antibody may be used without problems in combination with latrunculin B treatment [61].

3. The concentrations of the inhibitory drugs may vary drastically. Jasplakinolide was found to be effective at 1.5 μM to 3 μM in producing F-actin aggregates for *Micrasterias denticulata* [22]. Concentrations above 250 μM of jasplakinolide were lethal. The concentrations of jasplakinolide needed for other cells have to be found empirically. Variations in the literature range from as low as 100 nM to 1 μM, 2.5 μM to 10 μM [13, 35, 68, 79]. However they should be in the same range as described herein, since the effective concentrations correlate with data obtained from the literature [24, 34, 71]. Latrunculins had different lowest effective concentrations in *Micrasterias denticulata*: chondramide A 20 μM, chondramide B 15 μM, chondramide C 5 μM, chondramide D 10 μM [41]. In contrast with human tumor cell lines, 3–85 nM chondramide effectively inhibited proliferation [11]. Cytochalasins [49] found streaming-arresting concentration in *Nitella pseudofoflabellata* between 1 and 200 μM. The lowest concentrations to arrest streaming were at 1 μM in cytochalasins A and E, 30 μM in cytochalasin H, 60 μM cytochalasin D, and 200–220 μM in cytochalasins C, J, B. These authors distinguish between streaming arresting (i.e., fully arresting streaming within 1 h, Fig. 3a, b) and streaming inhibiting (i.e., reducing streaming velocity by 15–80% within 1 h) concentrations. In another green alga *Xanthidium armatum*, cytochalasin D arrested cytoplasmic streaming at concentrations below 10 μM.

In contrast, latrunculins have lower effective concentrations; latrunculin B may cause severe effects even at extremely low concentrations in the pM to nM range [48]. Several authors use it in higher concentration in the μM range (10
μM [57]: 5 μM, 10 μM [58, 59]. In the case of latrunculin B, the duration of the treatment might also be critical, whereas 10 min leads to a disruption of actin filaments; prolonged treatment of 45 and 120 min causes actin rings in Chlamydomonas [59] (see Fig. 4). Moreover, a combined treatment with latrunculins and cytochalasins rapidly arrested cytoplasmic streaming even at concentrations that had only mild effects on the streaming rate when used separately [49]. Swinholide has not been extensively applied to plant cells; only IC 50 values for human nasopharynx cancer cells have been determined at 6 nM [80].

4. For fixation, we use a Balzers Fixomat (not commercially available) consisting of sintered glass suction filters connected via a valve to a pump station, allowing the removal of solutions by underpressure while cells remain in the filter. Moreover, the temperature can be adjusted via a cooling bath. Alternatively, the cells can be fixed in glass dishes, ideally in the shape of a hemisphere. In this case it is best to remove only the solutions with a pipette and not to transfer the cells. For other organisms more specialized methods might be necessary, for example, embedding of the specimens in agarose prior to the fixation procedure.

5. During incubation in uranyl acetate solution, it is necessary to keep the cells in darkness, by covering the filter or dish with aluminum foil.

6. During the whole procedure of dehydration, the temperature should be kept at 6 °C. At the steps 50% and 70% ethanol, temperature might be lowered to 4 °C. In case of fixation in round glass dishes, they should be kept over ice. At the step of 100% ethanol, the temperature should be increased to room temperature.

7. Very good results are obtained with a 1:1 mixture of glycid ether 100 (a substance equivalent to Epon 812) and MNA. Prior to use DMP must be added (5 droplets to 10 mL of resin). However, a mixture of Embed-812/Araldite 502/DDSA will also give adequate results (2,2-dimethoxypropane or benzyldimethylamine must be added prior to their use).

When different hardness has to be achieved, Spurr’s resin may be the best choice: As several components of the original mixture are not deliverable any more, we now use the kit “Agar Low Viscosity Resin (LV)” by Agar Scientific Ltd. Essex CM24 8DA, England.

8. Coating of grids with Formvar may not be necessary for all objects. We use the following procedure: A light microscopic slide is cleaned with lens tissue, tipped into 0.3% Formvar dissolved in chloroform. The resulting Formvar film is cut at
the edges of the slide and allowed to float on distilled water. Grids are placed on the film which is then removed with Parafilm.

9. The time period needed for counterstaining depends on the staining already achieved during the fixation/staining procedure and is typically 5–30 min for uranyl acetate and 1–5 min for Reynold’s lead citrate.

10. For large cells like *Micrasterias* (about 200 μm in diameter), high-pressure freezing has been found the only appropriate technique for freeze fixation. The Leica EMPACT high-pressure freezer (Leica Microsystems GmbH, Vienna, Austria) is an alternative to the HPM 01. However, for small and less vacuolated cells, plunge freezing which might be achieved with relatively simple equipment will also give reasonable results.

11. Osmium tetroxide dissolves well in acetone. Uranyl acetate is best sonicated to dissolve it. For other organisms it might be appropriate to use substitutes for osmium tetroxide and uranyl acetate.

12. Gold particles with 10 nm diameter are used commonly. The usage of smaller gold particles might enhance the accuracy of the detected locus.

13. The actual dilution factor has to be found empirically for each system, but it should be in the range of 1:50–1:200.

14. As mentioned in the introduction, the use of fluorescently labeled phalloidin is problematic due to competitive binding with jasplakinolide [22, 25]. It has been reported that simultaneous addition of jasplakinolide and FITC-phalloidin (1:1) did not result in F-actin labeling, whereas addition of the inactive analogue jasplakinolide B and FITC-phalloidin resulted in staining as strong as in controls [13].
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Analysis of Morphogenesis and Flagellar Assembly During Spermatogenesis in Planarian Flatworms
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Abstract

Spermatogenesis is one of the most dramatic cellular differentiation events observed in animals. In particular, spermiogenesis (the final stage of spermatogenesis) involves extensive shedding of cytoplasmic organelles, dramatic nuclear rearrangements, and assembly of long flagellar structures. In planarian flatworms, the spherical nucleus present in round spermatids elongates to produce the filamentous nucleus of mature sperm. Newly formed cortical microtubules participate in cytoskeletal rearrangements observed during spermiogenesis and remain present in sperm. In addition, a pair of flagella assemble at one end of each spermatid in a process that likely involves de novo formation of centrioles. This chapter includes a brief introduction to planarian spermatogenesis and current tools for the analysis of molecular players in this process. Step-by-step protocols for isolating and imaging spermatogenic cells are provided with enough detail to be carried out by newcomers to the field who would like to study this unique organism in the laboratory.
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1 Introduction

The post-meiotic events that take place during spermatogenesis showcase some of the most dramatic cellular differentiation processes present in animal development. During this process, which is defined as spermiogenesis, spermatids of most animal species develop one or more flagella and undergo extensive cytoskeletal rearrangements to pack the cell nucleus into a compact sperm head. The mechanisms of flagellar assembly during spermiogenesis are largely conserved across animal species and apply many of the same molecular processes present during cilia formation in the soma [1, 2]. On the other hand, cytoskeletal rearrangements that take place during spermiogenesis give rise to a remarkable range of diverse morphologies, even in closely related phyla [3]. Because of
the analogy with somatic processes, more is known about flagellar
assembly than about the mechanisms that drive structural changes
during sperm head formation. However, ongoing studies suggest
that molecular activities that drive sperm head development are also
highly conserved in distant species, but differences in the extent of
their activity and presence result in the vastly diverse morphologies
observed throughout the animal kingdom. For example, a peri-
nuclear array of microtubules required for cytoskeletal rearrange-
ments of the sperm head (i.e., the manchette) is only present briefly
during spermatid elongation in mice [4, 5]. In contrast, similar
arrays of cortical microtubules appear during spermatid elongation
and remain present in sperm of species that produce sperm with
filamentous nuclear structures, such as the planarian Schmidtea
mediterranea and other Platyhelminthes [6–11]. Current experi-
mental techniques allow the analysis of molecular mechanisms
underlying the cytoskeletal rearrangements observed in planarian
spermatogenesis, facilitating our understanding of processes
involved in development of this rapidly evolving cell type.

Protocols for inducing systemic RNA interference (RNAi) have
facilitated identification of genes that regulate stem cell dynamics,
regeneration, and establishment of developmental polarity in pla-
narian flatworms [12–15]. The use of this organism as a model has
also increased due to availability of genomic and transcriptomic
resources [16–21], including single-cell RNAseq data for
thousands of cells [22–26], as well as from optimized protocols
for in situ hybridization that allow assessment of gene expression at
the cellular level in whole-mount samples [27–29]. More recently,
an increasing number of researchers have turned to planarians to
uncover mechanisms involved in motile cilia assembly and function
[30–32]. Planarians offer important advantages for the analysis of
cilia. First, specified cells in these organisms, including those that
possess motile cilia in the epidermis and protonephridia, undergo
continuous turnover and replacement by differentiating somatic
stem cells. This allows for structural analysis of cilia in vivo after
RNAi is induced to disrupt expression of specific genes of interest.
Second, mitotic cells in planarians lack centrosomes, and centrioles
are formed during terminal differentiation of ciliated cells [33],
which allows for analysis of the entire process of ciliary assembly
de novo. Finally, disruption of essential ciliary activity has no imme-
diate direct effect upon planarian viability, but it does result in
physiological readouts that are visible to the naked eye, such as
abnormal motility and bloating [34–37]. Detailed protocols for
analysis of ciliary structures in the planarian soma are available
[31, 32] and, although not covered in this chapter, are inherent
to the analysis of sperm flagella.

Although most of the current work using planarians as research
organisms is performed using asexual strains, the same phenotypic
readouts of ciliary dysfunction in the soma (abnormal motility and
bloating) are observed in sexual strains [38, 39]. A constitutively sexual laboratory strain of S. mediterranea (Fig. 1a, b) [40] is routinely used for analysis of gene function during germline development [41]. Sexually mature planarians commit much of their body to the development of sperm, which is readily observed using nuclear dyes to stain testis lobes present dorsolaterally throughout these animals (Fig. 1c) [42, 43]. Planarian spermiogenesis involves dramatic elongation of the nucleus and head, as well as assembly of a pair of flagella, giving rise to biflagellate sperm with a filamentous nucleus [7, 44–46]. Evidence suggests that the dramatic elongation of developing sperm in planarians may result from the extended presence of manchette-like structures throughout the final stages of spermiogenesis; these structures remain as a ring of cortical microtubules surrounding the nucleus of sperm [11]. Since RNAi in planarians is systemic, and development of the germline occurs post-embryonically [42], functional contributions of cytoskeletal components can be analyzed simultaneously in somatic cells and developing gametes of sexual planarians. This approach is exemplified in recent publications [38, 39, 47].
This chapter includes simple step-by-step instructions to assess progression of spermatogenesis in planarian flatworms. We begin with directions for the husbandry of the sexual laboratory strain of *S. mediterranea*, followed by protocols for visualization of developing sperm in whole-mount samples and isolated testis lobes. Instructions for surgical release and structural analysis of sperm are included to conclude the chapter. Combination of RNAi and in situ hybridization techniques with the methods described in this chapter provide a powerful option for uncovering conserved factors that drive cytoskeletal changes during sperm differentiation.

## Materials

### 2.1 Planarians and Husbandry Medium

1. Clonal cultures of sexual planarians (sp. *Schmidtea mediterranea*, Fig. 1a) are available from academic laboratories in North America, Europe, and Asia (see Note 1).

   Verify that samples used to analyze spermatogenesis are sexually mature by observing the presence of a gonopore posterior to the pharynx on the ventral side of the animal (Fig. 1b, see Note 2).

2. Montjuïc salts husbandry medium [48]: Use ultrapure water to make separate filtered stocks of 5 M NaCl, 1 M CaCl₂, 1 M MgSO₄, 1 M MgCl₂, and 1 M KCl. A 5 × Montjuïc salts concentrated stock solution is prepared by adding 1.6 mL of 5 M NaCl, 5 mL of 1 M CaCl₂, 5 mL of 1 M MgSO₄, 0.5 mL of 1 M MgCl₂, 0.5 mL of 1 M KCl, and 0.504 g of NaHCO₃ per liter of ultrapure water. Routinely, the components for 9 L of a 5 × stock solution are mixed in a 10-L carboy for 20 min, before adding ~6.3 mL of 2 N HCl to adjust the pH and stirring overnight. After overnight stirring and verification of a pH in the range of 6.8–7.2, the 5 × stock is diluted to a working concentration of 0.75 × (e.g., 2.25 L of 5 × Montjuïc salts added to 12.75 L of ultrapure water in a 15 L carboy) and stored at room temperature (see Notes 3 and 4).

3. Large plastic containers (e.g., Ziploc 40 oz. or 72 oz. plastic container, BPA-free).

4. Organic calf liver (e.g., Golden Forest calf liver, Fremont Beef Company, Fremont, NE) stored at −80 °C as chunks or minced aliquots (see Note 5).

5. Disposable plastic transfer pipettes.

6. 500 mL wash bottles.

7. Disposable paper towels.

### 2.2 Whole-Mount Sample Fixation and DAPI Staining

1. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS): A 10 × PBS stock solution is prepared by adding 80 g of NaCl, 2 g of KCl, 14.4 g of Na₂HPO₄, 2.4 g of KH₂HPO₄, and ultrapure water to 1 L.
Adjust to pH 7.4 with HCl and autoclave. Dilute to 1× PBS working solution using ultrapure water. Store at room temperature.

2. PBSTx: phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 0.3% Triton X-100 – Use 3 mL of Triton X-100 per liter of 1× PBS and stir until completely dissolved. Store at room temperature.

3. 5 mL microcentrifuge tubes.

4. N-Acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) solution: 8% NAC in PBS.

5. PBSTx fixative solution: PBSTx containing 4% final concentration of formaldehyde. Prepared fresh by diluting 36.5–38% formaldehyde stock in PBSTx.

6. Formamide bleaching solution as per King and Newmark [28], made by combining 9 mL of ultrapure water, 0.5 mL of formamide, 0.25 mL of 20× saline sodium citrate (SSC, commercially available), and 0.4 mL of 30% hydrogen peroxide (see Note 6).

7. 1 mg/mL DAPI stock solution: Dissolve 10 mg of 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) in 10 mL of ultrapure water. Stock solution can be stored at 4 °C for 6–12 months.

8. Mounting solution: glycerol diluted to 80% with PBS.

9. Microscope slides and 24 × 40 mm coverslips.

10. Non-hardening modeling clay.

11. Fluorescence stereomicroscope with ultraviolet light wavelength excitation and filter capabilities (see Note 7).

12. Confocal microscope.

2.3 Isolation of Testis Lobes and Labeling of Developing Sperm Nuclei and Flagella

1. Maceration solution [49]: 7.4 mL ultrapure water, 1 mL of glycerol, 1.5 mL methanol, and 0.1 mL of glacial acetic acid. Make fresh before use.

2. 5 mL microcentrifuge tubes.

3. Falcon 60 mm × 15 mm or 35 mm × 10 mm bacteriological Petri dish or equivalent.

4. Graduated 200 μL pipette tips and P200 micropipette.

5. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

6. PBSTx: PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100.

7. PBS fixative solution: PBS containing 4% final concentration of formaldehyde, freshly prepared by diluting a commercially available 36.5–38% formaldehyde stock with PBS.

8. Blocking solution: PBSTx containing 1% bovine serum albumin and 0.45% fish gelatin (40–50% stock solution, available commercially). Store at 4 °C for up to 2 weeks.
9. Primary antibody solution: blocking solution supplemented with 1:400 dilution of monoclonal anti-α-tubulin antibody (clone B-5-1-2; Cat. No. T5168, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or alternative.

10. Secondary antibody solution: blocking solution supplemented with 1:400 dilution of Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat-anti-mouse IgG.

11. DAPI.

12. Mounting solution: glycerol diluted to 80% in PBS.

13. Superfrost Plus microscope slides and appropriate coverslips.

### 2.4 Visualization of Individual Sperm by Surgical Release from Vas Deferens

1. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).
2. PBSTx.
3. PBS fixative solution.
5. Primary antibody solution.
7. DAPI.
8. Mounting solution.

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Handling and Maintenance of Planarian Colonies

1. Clean plastic husbandry containers by rinsing and scrubbing twice with tap water, deionized water, and ultrapure water (see Note 8). Dry containers using disposable paper towels. Planarians can be kept in the same container for long periods of time. However, the containers must be cleaned once every 1–2 weeks or after every feeding session.

2. Upon receipt or collection of samples, transfer gradually into 0.75× Montjuïc salts husbandry medium. Use a 1:1 dilution of the solution in which planarians are found and 0.75× Montjuïc salts for storage during the first week. If planarian morphology looks normal (Fig. 1a), transfer planarians to 0.75× Montjuïc salts husbandry medium. For studies of spermatogenesis, verify the presence of a gonopore in ~1 cm or larger planarians (Fig. 1b). The gonopore indicates that planarians are sexual and have progressed through the post-embryonic process of reproductive system development (Fig. 1c, see Note 2).

3. Maintain planarians under dark conditions as much as possible and leave container lids slightly open to allow for aeration. Sexual *S. mediterranea* cultures are maintained between 17 °C and 19 °C. Temperature adjustments may be needed to mimic the natural habitat for other strains or species.
4. Planarians should be fed at least once every 2 weeks to maintain their size; starvation periods for longer than 2 weeks will lead to de-growth and loss of reproductive structures. A gonopore will likely not be present in planarians that are smaller than 0.8 mm (Fig. 1b). If this is the case, increase feeding frequency to once or twice per week (with at least 3 days between feedings) to drive growth and development of reproductive structures.

5. To feed, place a piece of organic calf liver ~1 cm$^3$ in size into the husbandry container housing planarians and allow planarians to eat for 1–2 h at room temperature and away from direct light. If food is completely eaten, then increase liver quantity in subsequent feedings. Planarians do not often eat floating liver, so remove air bubbles from liver that fails to sink to the bottom of the container.

6. Clean containers (as in step 1) after feeding and replace husbandry medium. A squirt bottle can be used to rinse out planarians, which can also be placed in a clean Petri dish while cleaning the container and replacing old medium.

7. To increase population size under laboratory husbandry, cut planarians transversely anterior and posterior to the pharynx with a clean scalpel. Clean after an hour and then allow to regenerate for 2 weeks before reinstating feedings (see Note 9).

8. Planarians are usually not fed the week prior to experimentation in order to avoid artifacts related to contamination or mitotic increase after feeding. Similarly, planarians that have been recently amputated or injured will lose their reproductive structures; therefore, it is best to allow them to heal, regenerate, and grow before using them in experiments.

3.2 Whole-Mount Analysis of Testis Lobes by DAPI Staining

Spermiogenesis in planarians involves dramatic elongation of the spermatid head and nucleus. Sexual planarians can be fixed and analyzed by whole-mount staining with nuclear fluorescent dyes such as DAPI or Hoechst, which reveal the presence and structure of sperm and sperm precursors in planarian testis lobes under fluorescence microscopy. This process can be combined with established protocols for RNAi [15] to assess gene function during spermatogenesis.

1. Transfer up to six sexual planarians to a 5 mL centrifuge tube using a transfer pipette (see Note 10). The use planarians of at least 0.9 cm length and with visible gonopores (Fig. 1b) is needed to avoid artifacts caused by use of immature sexual animals.

2. Remove excess husbandry medium by decanting or using a 1 mL micropipette, and rinse twice with clean husbandry medium using a squirt bottle or by gently shaking the capped tube by hand for 5 s.
3. Remove husbandry medium, add 5 mL of a freshly prepared (within 15 min prior to use) solution of NAC, and gently rock planarians in the tubes horizontally for 10 min (see Note 11). Planarians are euthanized and external mucous removed by NAC. It is crucial to use PBS (not PBSTx) and to agitate gently by hand if samples begin to clump during this step.

4. Replace NAC solution with 5 mL of PBSTx fixative solution, and incubate tubes horizontally on a rocking platform at 4 °C for 1 h. Monitor every 15 min, and agitate briefly by hand if samples begin to clump.

5. Bring samples back to room temperature, remove fixative solution, and wash twice with 5 mL of PBSTx for 5 min on a rocking platform.

6. Bleach samples by incubating in formamide bleaching solution for 45 min at room temperature under a fluorescent white light.

7. Wash samples twice for 5 min each in PBSTx.

8. Replace PBSTx solution with PBSTx containing 1 μg/mL of DAPI, and incubate horizontally on a rocking platform for 2 h at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C.

9. Wash samples at room temperature 3 × 10 min each with 5 mL of PBSTx.

10. Replace PBSTx with mounting solution.

11. Use a transfer pipette to place samples on microscope slides, and position them so that their ventral side faces the surface of slide (see Note 12).

12. Add non-hardening modeling clay to the corners of a slide cover and place on top of the samples, flatten, and seal the slide cover with clear nail polish (see Notes 13 and 14). Allow nail polish seal to dry.

13. Proceed to image under low magnification (Fig. 2a-a’ b) or confocal fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2c-c’). This procedure can be combined with RNAi to analyze genetic requirements for sperm development (Fig. 3a, b). In addition, markers of specific stages during sperm development have been identified [42, 43, 50] and can be used for detailed phenotypic characterization through in situ hybridization (see, e.g., [38, 51, 52]). Plasmids for these markers are available through Addgene (Watertown, MA) and include nanos (germline stem cells; Addgene ID: 26601), germinal histone H4 (spermatogonia; ID: 26600), Smed-tkn-1 (spermatocytes; ID: 44686), and Smed-pka (spermatids; ID: 44667).
Fig. 2 (a and b) Dorsal (a) and ventral (b) views of a whole-mount sample stained with DAPI reveal structures with high cellular density in sexual planarians, such as the pharynx (p), brain, penis papilla (arrow), vas deferens (arrowhead), and testis lobes (inset; threefold magnification). (c-c') Confocal section of the inner region of testis lobes in (a) reveals cells at progressive steps of spermatogenesis, including spermatocytes (spc), round spermatids (rd. spd), elongating spermatids (e. spd), and spermatozoa (spz), which are labeled in (c'; fivefold magnification)
3.3 Analysis of Spermatid Elongation and Flagellar Development in Isolated Planarian Testis Lobes

It is possible to separate testis lobes from other planarian tissues by maceration, which allows assessment of structural changes in developing sperm in more detail than in whole-mount samples. This protocol allows visualization of spermatid head nuclear elongation and development of flagella under confocal microscopy. Sexual planarians longer than 1 cm are recommended, as these are most likely to have large numbers of testis lobes actively producing sperm under normal conditions.

1. Transfer individual sexual planarians (>1 cm in length) to 5 mL microcentrifuge tubes, one planarian per tube.
2. Remove carry over husbandry medium, and add 5 mL of maceration solution.
3. Incubate sample in maceration solution for 2 h in a nutating mixer, tube rotator, or rocking platform with moderate speed (~1 cycle per 3 s).
4. Decant contents of the tube into a small Petri dish while leaving large tissue in the tube.
5. Cut a graduated P200 tip at the 10 μL mark (Fig. 4a-a'), and use it to transfer testis lobes onto positively charged microscope slides. Testis lobes can be found by placing the Petri dish with the contents of the maceration under a dissecting microscope (Fig. 4b) and locating white clumps of ~0.1–0.2 mm in diameter (Fig. 4c).
6. Allow testis lobes to dry by placing slide on benchtop overnight (see Note 15).
7. Wash slides by placing them in a microscope slide staining jar filled with PBS for 10 min.

Fig. 3 Single confocal sections of whole-mount DAPI-stained (a) control (luciferase(RNAi)) and (b) testis-specific chaperonin-containing TCP-1 subunits knockdown planarians (Smed-CCT(RNAi)) [47] reveal testis lobes with normal and disrupted spermatid elongation, respectively. Insets show threefold magnified views.
8. Dry the bottom and edges of the slide with a paper towel, and place on bench top.

9. Cover the top of the slide with PBS fixative solution, and incubate for 15 min.

10. Decant fixative solution, and incubate slides in a staining jar filled with PBS for 1 min.

11. Return slides to the benchtop, and cover with PBSTx. Incubate flat for 15 min.

12. Decant PBST, and cover the sample with blocking solution. Incubate on benchtop for 30 min.

---

**Fig. 4** Analysis of nuclear structures and flagella in testis lobes isolated by maceration. (a-a’) A 200μL pipette tip (a) cut at the 10μL mark (dashed line; a’) is used to collect testis lobes from maceration. (b) A Petri dish (arrowhead) holds the contents of the maceration mixture to be observed under a dissecting microscope. (c) Testis lobes appear as bright clumps of 0.1–0.2 mm diameter (arrows) in a field of single cells and larger macerated tissue. (d-d”) Single confocal sections of isolated testis lobes are observed under a 10× objective (inset) and imaged under a 60× oil immersion objective. Detection of DAPI staining reveals nuclear structures (d, blue in d”), whereas anti-α-Tubulin staining reveals flagella (d’, green in d”). Scale bars: 1 mm in (c), 0.2 mm in inset, and 50μm in (d”)
13. Decant blocking solution, and add anti-α-Tubulin antibody solution. Incubate at room temperature for 2 h (see Note 16).

14. Remove antibody solution, and wash sample by incubating slides in a staining jar filled with PBSTx for 15 min.

15. Remove the slides from the staining jar and place flat on benchtop. Then, add fluorophore-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody solution, and incubate covered from direct light at room temperature for 1–2 h (see Note 16).

16. Decant secondary antibody solution, and incubate samples for 15 min in PBS.

17. Decant PBS, and incubate samples in PBS supplemented with DAPI at room temperature for 10 min.

18. Wash in PBS for 1 min.

19. Decant PBS, and dry the bottom and edges of the slide. Add 10 μL of mounting solution, place slide cover, and seal with clear nail polish. Allow nail polish to dry.

20. Proceed to fluorescence confocal microscopy. Find samples using 10× or 20× objective (Fig. 4d, inset), and image using higher magnification objectives (60× or above; Fig. 4d-d”). Slides can be stored briefly at 4 °C for future analysis.

3.4 Visualization of Individual Sperm by Surgical Release from Vas Deferens

Because of their length, abundance, and density, it is difficult to visualize and perform detailed measurements of individual sperm in whole-mount samples and isolated testis lobes. An approach to examine individual sperm in their entirety is to amputate planarians at the location of the vasa deferentia and release sperm onto slides.

1. Transfer a single sexual planarian (>1.2 cm in length) onto a positively charged microscope slide and remove carry-over husbandry medium, leaving only 5–10 μL behind.

2. While on the slide, amputate planarians immediately posterior to the pharynx using a glass microscope slide cover (Fig. 5a), and drag posterior fragment away from the anterior (Fig. 5a’). The goal is to amputate the planarian at the location of vasa deferentia (Fig. 5b) in order to release sperm onto the slide (see Note 17).

3. Remove planarian fragments and add a 10 μL drop of PBS onto the slide. Add a slide cover to proceed with direct observation by phase-contrast microscopy (Fig. 5c) or proceed with the following steps for staining and observation under fluorescence microscopy.

4. Allow slide to dry on a benchtop overnight.

5. Follow procedures for fixation, staining, and imaging (as in Subheading 3.3, steps 7–20). Planarian sperm are distinguished by their long and filamentous nucleus, which is readily observed after DAPI staining (Fig. 5d).
Fig. 5 Illustration depicting the release of sperm from sexually mature planarians by transverse amputation posterior to the pharynx (a) and removal of body fragments (a’). (b) The amputation (dashed line) is posterior to the pharynx (p) and targets the vasa deferentia (v.d.), in which sperm accumulate, as shown in a sample stained with DAPI. (c) Planarian spermatozoon imaged under phase-contrast microscopy using a Nikon Eclipse E2000 (Ph2 setting, 60× objective). (d) Planarian spermatozoon stained with DAPI (blue) and anti-α-Tubulin (green) imaged using a Nikon C2+ confocal microscope (60× oil immersion objective, maximum intensity of z-stack sections). Position of sperm nucleus (arrowheads) and flagella (arrows) is indicated in (c) and (d)

4 Notes

1. Sexual laboratory lines of *S. mediterranea* were derived from amputation and regeneration of samples originally collected from Sardinia in 1999 by Dr. Maria Pala [53]. This species of planarian flatworms is endemic to islands and coastal areas of the Mediterranean Sea [54], but other species of sexual planarians can be collected from the wild in different regions of the world by placing perforated plastic containers with a small piece
of liver as bait in shaded regions of freshwater ecosystems. Samples caught in the wild can be maintained in the laboratory in Montjuic salts (see Note 3) or commercially available pasteurized spring water (see Note 4). It is important to mimic source temperature and maintain planarians in the dark as much as possible.

2. We have observed sexual planarians collected in the wild become asexual, shrink, and/or fission once removed from their native environment. Experiments regarding development of the germline will not be reliable if animals show signs of transitioning into an asexual state (e.g., by inducing fission or losing the gonopore) or if health of the animal seems compromised (blisters and head regression are signs of this).

3. The use of ultrapure deionized water (resistivity of 18.2 MΩ cm at 25 °C) is recommended. Carboys are autoclaved empty once every 6–12 months to avoid contamination.

4. Ice Mountain natural spring water (Nestlé Waters North America, Stamford, CT) has been successfully used as husbandry medium for some planarian species for periods longer than 6 months.

5. Chunks of liver are preferred for feeding planarian stocks, whereas minced liver or “puree” is necessary for mixing with dsRNA in RNAi experiments. Liver should be fresh and either minced or cut within 24 h of purchase (if fresh) or after partial thawing if frozen at time of purchase. Avoid using utensils that have been in contact with detergents. Instead, have a dedicated set of trays, knives, and a food mill that are cleaned only with water, ultrapure water, and ethanol. Minced aliquots can be stored at −80 °C in small sterile Petri dishes and chunks wrapped in aluminum foil.

6. To avoid a violent reaction, prepare the formamide bleaching solution by mixing the ultrapure water and SSC first, then adding formamide, and the hydrogen peroxide last. Scale up proportionally as needed.

7. We have successfully adapted dissecting microscopes with NIGHTSEA ultraviolet light and filter sets (Catalog No. SFA-LFS-UV, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) and a Moticam 1080 camera (Motic, Hong Kong) for low-magnification imaging of DAPI-stained whole-mount samples.

8. Planarians are very sensitive to detergents and bleach. Use gloves while cleaning husbandry containers to avoid depositing soap or other harsh chemicals that may be on your skin. Never clean husbandry containers with soap.
9. Cutting can be done by placing the planarian in a Petri dish with or without husbandry medium. However, the preferred method to generate clean cuts is to immobilize planarians by placing them on a double layer of Whatman paper slightly dampened with husbandry medium placed on top of an aluminum plate that sits on an ice bucket. After cuts are made, return planarian fragments to a container with clean husbandry medium, rinsing them off the Whatman paper with a transfer pipette or wash bottle.

10. Special care must be taken not to damage planarians during the transfer, for any lesion will likely result in sample degradation during NAC treatment. Decanting while using a squirt bottle with husbandry medium to generate flow is a safe method. Another precautionary step is to slightly enlarge the entry of a transfer pipette by cutting the tip. To move planarians using a transfer pipette, first detach planarians from the surface by squirting husbandry medium on them, suction into pipette as they float, and eject as quickly as possible into tube.

11. The speed setting of the rocking platform is particularly important at this step. Planarians will break down with too much agitation in NAC solution. Conversely, surface mucus will persist on samples without enough agitation, which restricts the quality of imaging in future steps. As a starting point, a GeneMate Variable Rocker (Item No. R-3200-1; VWR, Radnor, PA) is set to go through eight rocking cycles per minute in which the platform travels 8 cm vertically per half cycle. It is also beneficial to leave ~10% air space in the tube, which creates a bubble to move planarians in waves while rocking.

12. Testis lobes are difficult to image from the ventral side, so it is crucial to mount samples with the ventral side facing the slide and the dorsal side facing the coverslip. In order to determine the orientation of the samples, look for the eyes at the anterior tip of the sample. If DAPI staining of the brain is visible over the eyes (Fig. 2b), then the sample should be flipped using a small bristle brush.

13. The clay prevents the samples from being damaged but will not attach to a surface that is directly covered with mounting solution. Therefore, it is important to include enough mounting solution to prevent samples from drying out, but not so much that it gets in the way of attaching the coverslip. It may be necessary to clean around the samples with a moist Kim-wipe, followed by dry one, or to transfer samples to new slides.

14. Flattening the samples leads to improvements during imaging. To flatten, place the slide assembly upside-down (slide cover at the bottom, then sample in mounting medium protected by
clay spacers, and slide on top) on a Kimwipe, and allow to sit on the benchtop for a couple of hours. Moderate weight may be added to augment flattening.

15. The drops of maceration solution may appear incompletely evaporated after overnight incubation, or even 2 or 3 days after transfer onto the slides. Nevertheless, testes lobes will be attached to the surface by this time and it is safe to proceed. Make sure to pipette solutions from the side, and not directly onto the sample, in subsequent steps to avoid detachment.

16. A piece of Parafilm the size of a rectangular coverslip can be placed on top of the slide to diminish loss of solution during long incubations.

17. The vasa deferentia are not visible to the naked eye, so performing a second amputation (about 1 mm posterior to the initial one) and applying pressure from the top while dragging fragments to the end of the slide may enhance sperm release. Attempt this procedure on multiple individuals, as even large sexually mature planarians are depleted of sperm in the vas deferens at times.
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An In Vitro Model System to Test Mechano-Microbiological Interactions Between Bacteria and Host Cells

Luis Carlos Santos, Emilia Laura Munteanu, and Nicolas Biais

Abstract

The aim of this chapter is to present an innovative technique to visualize changes of the F-actin cytoskeleton in response to locally applied force. We developed an in vitro system that combines micromanipulation of force by magnetic tweezers with simultaneous live cell fluorescence microscopy. We applied pulling forces to magnetic beads coated with the Neisseria gonorrhoeae Type IV pili in the same order of magnitude than the forces generated by live bacteria. We saw quick and robust F-actin accumulation in individual cells at the sites where pulling forces were applied. Using the magnetic tweezers, we were able to mimic the local response of the F-actin cytoskeleton to bacteria-generated forces. In this chapter, we describe our magnetic tweezers system and show how to control it in order to study cellular responses to force.

Key words Magnetic tweezers, Neisseria, F-Actin, Type 4 pili, Magnetic beads, Cytoskeleton

1 Introduction

The ability of eukaryotic cells to adapt their shape and sense their environment through their cytoskeleton has been a subject of scientific inquiry for a very long time. Comprised of actin microfilaments, microtubules, and intermediate filaments, the cytoskeleton plays a crucial role both in the interior and exterior of individual cells. On the one hand, the cytoskeleton in conjunction with cell membranes organizes the interior of cells and maintains cell shape by controlling intracellular trafficking and cell division [1–3]. On the other hand, it connects cells to their chemical and physical surroundings, namely, to the extracellular matrix and to other cells [4, 5]. Different extracellular matrix proteins transmitting forces to the interior of the cell will lead to different responses from the cytoskeleton [6–8].

The impact of physical force on biological outcomes, usually referred to as mechanobiology, is a key component of many landmark cellular mechanisms such as motility, development,
differentiation, metabolism, and cancer [9–13]. Some of these force mechanisms are conserved across multiple domains of life, representing perhaps one of nature’s most remarkable examples of convergent evolution at a molecular level [14]. Consider, for example, the elongation-retraction cycles that drive the directed locomotion of single eukaryotic cells in substrate rigidity-dependent migration [15, 16]. Using traction force microscopy and computational simulations, recent studies revealed that some individual bacteria powered by long thin appendages called Type IV pili use a similar mechanism in twitching motility and microcolony formation, deploying these dynamic filaments that polymerize and depolymerize, thus pulling the cell forward at its front [17–20]. Recent evidence shows that certain bacteria also use mechanical forces as a way to induce cellular changes in host cells with implications on bacterial pathogenesis [21–25]. Bacteria have not ceased to coevolve with their host, and the study of the interactions between bacteria and host cells has often illuminated certain chemical aspects of the cytoskeleton (e.g., ActA from Listeria monocytogenes [26], toxin from enteropathogenic Escherichia coli [27, 28]).

The bacterium Neisseria gonorrhoeae, the causative agent of gonorrhea, has emerged in recent years as a paradigm for the study of mechano-microbiological interaction [29–31]. Forces generated by cycles of elongation and retraction of Type IV pili—long thin polymers emanating from the surface of Neisseria gonorrhoeae—have been shown to have a dramatic impact on the outcome of the interactions between bacteria and human cells [21, 32]. In contrast, in vitro model studies show that bacteria that do not have the ability to exert pulling forces (including mutants that are missing ATPase PilT, the protein responsible for force generation) exhibit reduced level of invasion compared to their force bearing counterparts [21]. Most importantly, bacteria-generated force is required to remodel the host cell cytoskeleton [21, 33]—a hallmark of infection in vitro as well as in vivo.

How the eukaryotic cytoskeleton responds to force has been the subject of many experimental studies. Central to this question are technologies that allow exerting a controlled force on a single cell. Techniques enabling the application of local force at a subcellular scale typically involve magnetic or optical tweezers [34, 35]. Newly developed biophysical techniques involving AFM, lithography, and micropillars have also been employed to exert and measure global forces on an entire cell [36–38]. Previous studies have used optical tweezers to investigate the impact of forces in the range of hundreds of picoNewton (pN) on remodeling of the cytoskeleton [39–41]. These techniques have provided unprecedented insight on the response of the eukaryotic cytoskeleton to the magnitude and speed of the local force applied, and on the nature of the physical link to the cytoskeleton. Thus, unraveling
the physical cross talk between eukaryotic cells and their environment is a complex subject of tremendous importance with potential applications ranging from cancer to bioengineering.

To better understand the role of local force in eukaryotic cells, we explored the F-actin response to Type IV pili-mediated force interactions that occur between bacteria from the Neisseria species and human skin epithelial cells. Forces generated by Neisseria gonorrhoeae bacteria are in the nanoNewton (nN) range and have been shown to induce rearrangements of the eukaryotic cytoskeleton [30, 42]. We developed an innovative and robust technique by combining magnetic tweezers with live cell fluorescence microscopy. We applied local forces to human skin epithelial cells through magnetic beads coated with Type IV pili from Neisseria gonorrhoeae and simultaneously visualized F-actin dynamics. The tweezers create an electromagnetic field gradient that pulls on the pili-coated magnetic beads, which in turn pull on the cell that the beads are attached to. Here, we describe the magnetic tweezers built in our laboratory to study the response of the F-actin cytoskeleton to local pulling forces exerted on the cell body. Our results mimic the early steps of infection of skin epithelial cells with bacteria from the Neisseria species. The techniques presented here should be easily implemented for other pili-bearing bacterial species interacting with eukaryotic host cells.

2 Materials

2.1 Live Cell Imaging of F-Actin in Human Epithelial Cells

2.1.1 Cell Culture and DNA Transfection

1. Human skin epithelial cell line A-431 (ATCC CRL-1555).
2. Mammalian expression plasmid DNA vector (pEGFP-N1) encoding GFP-tagged tracitin—an F-actin-associated protein [43].
4. Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium without phenol red (commercially available) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, FBS (commercially available).
5. OPTI-MEM reduced serum medium with HEPES and sodium bicarbonate (commercially available).
6. CO₂-independent medium (serum-free, commercially available).
7. 0.05% trypsin solution (commercially available).
8. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), commercially available.
9. Glass-bottom cell imaging dishes, round bottom, 50 mm diameter, 7 mm low-height, glass coverslip #0.
10. 60 mm tissue culture plates.
11. Incubator with controlled atmosphere at 37 °C with 5% CO₂.

2.1.2 Time-Lapse Fluorescence Microscopy

1. Inverted microscope, equipped with a 63×/1.40 NA Oil, Plan Apo Chroma objective (Zeiss).
3. 100 W high-pressure mercury bulb with power supply (BH2-RFL-T3, Olympus).
4. Environmental control chamber to maintain temperature of the sample stable at 37 °C (assembled in-house).
5. Digital image acquisition software: Micromanager (NIH, MD).
7. Model-design software: MATLAB (MathWorks, MA).

2.2 Preparing Magnetic Beads Coated with Bacteria Pili

2.2.1 Purifying Pili from Neisseria gonorrhoeae
1. Neisseria gonorrhoeae clone MS11 (wild type).
2. 100 mm Petri dishes.
3. Gonococcal broth (GCB) agar (available commercially).
4. CHES buffer, pH 9.5 [2-(cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid] (available commercially).
5. MES buffer, pH 5.0 [2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid] (available commercially).
6. Micro Ultracentrifuge (RC-M120EX, Sorvall, CT), and fixed angle rotor (RP100AT-289).

2.2.2 Coating Beads with Purified Pili
1. Superparamagnetic beads (Life Technologies, CA): uniform, monosized, 1 μm (Dynabeads MyOne) beads and 3 μm (Dynabeads M-270) in diameter beads, composed of highly cross-linked polystyrene with evenly distributed magnetic material, with a carboxylic acid surface chemistry.
2. EDC [1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride] (Alfa Aesar, MA).
3. MES buffer, pH 5.0 [2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid].
4. 0.01 M NaOH.
5. Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), available commercially.
6. 50 mM Tris–HCl buffer, pH 7.4.
7. BSA: 0.1% bovine serum albumin in PBS.
8. Sonicator Branson #1800 (Branson Ultrasonics, CT).
2.3 Magnetic Tweezers Setup for Applying Local Force to Eukaryotic Cells

2.3.1 Components of the Magnetic Tweezers

1. XYZ 3D micromanipulator MP-285 (Sutter Instrument, CA).
2. Programmable DC power supply and operating software (BK Precision, CA).

2.3.2 Force Calibration

1. Polystyrene beads (2 μm diameter) (Polysciences, Inc., PA).
2. Dimethylpolysiloxane (silicone oil) (viscosity μ = 12,500 centipoise) (Sigma, CO).
3. Poly-L-lysine hydrobromide MW 30,000–70,000 (Sigma, CO).

3 Methods

3.1 Preparations for Live Cell Imaging of F-Actin in Human Epithelial Cells

Here we describe a detailed protocol to use live fluorescence microscopy for high-resolution visualization of fluorescently tagged tracitin—a protein that specifically binds to F-actin—in human epithelial cells (see Note 1).

3.1.1 Cell Culture and DNA Transfection

We visualized actin dynamics in live cells by time-lapse image acquisition of cells expressing GFP-tagged tracitin—an F-actin-associated protein [43]. With this purpose, we introduced a DNA vector carrying the GFP-tagged tracitin sequence into A-431 cells—a human epithelial cell line. The CMV promoter and enhancer sequence allow strong and clear visual detection of the tracitin-GFP reporter as early as 12 h after transfection, and during the whole course of the experiment. To introduce the tracitin-GFP vector into A-431 cells, we used the transfection reagent Fugene HD. This method of transfection involves a non-liposomal reagent that achieves a good balance between transfection efficiency—high percentage of cells expressing the fluorescent protein—and low cytotoxicity, low percentage of dead cells due to toxicity of the transfection reagent (see Note 2).

The steps below describe the optimal transfection protocol that we followed to perform the set of experiments presented in this chapter. All cell culture handling should be performed in sterile conditions inside a laminar flow biosafety cabinet. For optimal cell performance, A-431 cells should have been subcultured for at least 1 week, or equivalent to two passages, in 60 mm tissue culture plates, in full medium (DMEM, supplemented with 10% FBS), and in a 37 °C/5% CO₂ atmosphere. Follow standard subculture protocol using 0.05% trypsin solution (TrypLE Express recommended) to detach cells from the culture plate.
1. 48 h before imaging: Prepare one glass-bottom low wall imaging dish for each experimental condition you want to test (e.g., different types of beads, or pili, or force applied, etc.). Seed $5 \times 10^5$ cells per imaging dish with 3 mL DMEM/10% FBS culture medium, and incubate the cells for 24 h (see Note 3).

2. 24 h before imaging: In a sterile tube, add 80 μL of room temperature OPTI-MEM medium, and then add 20 μL of room temperature Fugene HD for a total volume of 100 μL and incubate at room temperature for no longer than 5 min. The Fugene HD reagent should be added directly into the OPTI-MEM medium, and do not allow undiluted Fugene HD reagent to contact the sides of the tube.

3. In a new sterile tube, add 5 μg of DNA and then add OPTI-MEM medium to a total volume of 100 μL. The concentration of the DNA stock solution used should be 1–2 μg/μL to avoid dilution of the DNA/Fugene complexes formed in the next step (see Note 4).

4. Add the Fugene/OPTI-MEM to the DNA/OPTI-MEM solution tube, mix immediately by gently pipetting up and down, and incubate at room temperature for no longer than 15 min to allow formation of the DNA/Fugene complexes.

5. Slowly, and dropwise, add the 200 μL DNA/Fugene mix to the cell dish (containing 3 mL of full medium), and mix by gently swirling the dish.

6. Return the dish to the incubator.

7. After at least 12 h of incubation, assess cell viability and transfection efficiency by briefly examining the level of fluorescence intensity and appropriate subcellular localization of the fluorescent protein (tractin-GFP localizes to F-actin). The tractin-GFP protein is expected to be visible as early as 12 h post-transfection, and the expression peak occurs at 24–48 h post-transfection. If the transfection was successful, proceed to cell imaging.

3.1.2 Time-Lapse Fluorescence Microscopy

Epifluorescence microscopy is a powerful tool to visualize the dynamics of tractin-GFP over time in live cells. We used an inverted microscope, with a 63x oil objective, brightfield illumination, and halogen light source for epifluorescence illumination. Here we provide guidelines to setting up live cell imaging by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy (see Note 5).

1. Turn on all microscope components. Stabilization of the halogen light source may take a few minutes.

2. Microscope temperature stabilization: Turn on the local heater attached to the microscope’s environmental chamber.
approximately 30 min before image acquisition to make sure all components of the microscope are at a stable temperature at the start of the experiment (see Note 6).

3. Carefully place the imaging dish on the microscope stage, turn on brightfield illumination, and focus on the cell monolayer.

4. Adjust the brightfield image quality in order to have the sharpest contrast of the cell edges.

5. Adjust the image brightness by controlling the amount of incident light allowed by the diaphragm, and by adding/removing neutral density filters in the light path (see Note 7).

6. Switch to epifluorescent light, and quickly scan the dish to find a region of interest (ROI) that includes a transfected cell, i.e., GFP-expressing cell, with one or more beads on top. Be swift with this ROI search in order to minimize photobleaching (exposure time-dependent fading) (see Note 8).

7. Once you have selected the ROI, switch to “camera view,” and adjust the exposure time on both channels (brightfield and epifluorescence). The aim here is to maximize signal-to-noise ratio while simultaneously minimizing photobleaching and phototoxicity. Choose the shortest exposure time possible that provides an optimal balance between these factors.

3.2 Coating Magnetic Beads with Bacteria Pili

The following protocols involving bacteria handling, streaking, and lawnning must be performed under sterile conditions and appropriate safety precautions.

3.2.1 Purifying Pili from Neisseria gonorrhoeae

1. Prepare two lawns of N. gonorrhoeae MS11 wild type (WT) using two 10 cm plates of Gonococcal broth (GCB) agar.

2. Incubate bacteria lawns at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 16–20 h. This incubating time window is critical to obtaining good quality pili with high yields. In general, each 10 cm Petri dish of lawn bacteria yields 0.1 mg of pili.

3. Harvest the bacteria lawns using a polyester swab, and resuspend in 1 mL of 50 mM CHES buffer (pH 9.5).

4. Vortex the bacteria suspension for 2 min, and then centrifuge bacteria bodies at 18,000 × g for 5 min (~14,000 rpm using a fixed rotor tabletop centrifuge).

5. Collect the supernatant and ultracentrifuge it at 100,000 × g for 90 min. The ultracentrifugation step must be performed in suitable ultracentrifuge microtubes. The tubes should be well balanced (<10 µg weighting error) and carefully loaded onto the ultracentrifuge rotor.

6. After centrifugation, carefully remove the tubes from the rotor without disturbing the pili pellet and discard the supernatant. The pili pellet is almost invisible to the eye.
7. Gently resuspend the pili pellet in 1 mL of 50 mM MES buffer (pH 5.0).

3.2.2 Coating Beads with Purified Pili

In the steps below, we describe one of the protocols we optimized for coating beads with purified pili from *N. gonorrhoeae* (see Subheading 3.3.1). To replace the solution in which the beads are suspended, simply place the tubes containing the beads in contact with a magnet for 2 min, and then carefully remove the liquid supernatant.

**Surface Activation of Beads with EDC**

1. Wash 100 μL of beads twice with 1 mL of 0.01 M NaOH for 10 min with gentle rotation.
2. Repeat wash three times this time with 100 μL deionized water.
3. Dissolve EDC in cold, deionized water to 50 mg/mL.
4. Add 100 μL of EDC solution to the beads. Vortex to ensure good mixing.
5. Incubate for 30 min at room temperature with gentle rotation.
6. Wash once with cold, deionized water and once with 50 mM MES (pH 5.0) as quickly as possible to avoid hydrolysis of the activated carboxylic acid groups.
7. The beads are now activated and ready for coating with a ligand containing primary amine groups, in this case the purified pili (see Note 9).

**Immobilization of Ligand After Activation**

1. Remove the wash solution used in step 5 above. Add 60 μL of pili in 50 mM MES (pH 5.0) to the activated beads.
2. Add 40 μL of 50 mM MES (pH 5.0) to the final volume of 100 μL. Vortex to ensure good mixing.
3. Incubate for 30 min at room temperature with gentle rotation.
4. Wash the pili-coated beads as described below.

**Quenching and Washing of Pili-Coated Beads**

All immobilization procedures require washing of the pili-coated beads to remove excess pili and to block unreacted surface.

1. Quench the non-reacted activated carboxylic acid groups, and incubate the pili-coated beads with 1 mL 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.4 for 15 min at room temperature with gentle rotation.
2. Wash the pili-coated beads four times with 1 mL PBS.
3. Block by adding 0.1% BSA when it does not interfere with downstream applications of the beads.
4. Resuspend the pili-coated beads in deionized water to the desired concentration. The pili-coated beads are now ready for use. Store coated beads at 4 °C with gentle rotation.
3.3 Magnetic Tweezers Setup for Applying Local Force to a Eukaryotic Cell

3.3.1 Components of the Magnetic Tweezers

Here we present the magnetic tweezers setup and protocol developed in our lab for studying local F-actin cytoskeleton response to force (Fig. 1). The magnetic tweezers setup we implemented features a solenoid electromagnet as a magnetic field source that is mounted on a microscope stage in order to be brought in close proximity to the magnetic beads attached to cells (Fig. 1).

Using a cylindrical holder made of a nonmagnetic material, a solenoid electromagnet was handcrafted by coiling a copper wire on the outside of that holder. Typically, two to three layers of wire are coiled. Several inches of wire were left at the beginning and end in order to connect the solenoid to the electric current source. A rod-shaped ferromagnetic core was fixed with plastic screws inside of the holder. The core should not touch the inside walls of the holder. This space creates an insulating layer of air that minimizes the heat transfer from the copper wire when an electric current is applied to the solenoid. One end of the rod was machined to be a sharp tip. The role of the ferromagnetic core is to amplify the magnetic field produced by the solenoid electromagnet. The rod’s sharp tip creates a high gradient in the magnetic field close to its tip, necessary to apply high forces to the magnetic beads in the sample field. With the use of two electronic connectors (we use alligator-banana end), the beginning and the end of the copper coil are connected to an electric current source. Typically, 1 to 3 amperes (A) of continuous current was applied to the solenoid to mimic the range in magnitude of the pulling forces exerted by bacteria in the Neisseria species.

3.3.2 Force Calibration

Force magnitude depends on the magnitude of the magnetic field, the size of the bead, and the material the bead is made of. In turn, the magnitude of the magnetic field depends on the geometry of
the magnetic tip, the amplitude of the electric current applied to the solenoid, and the distance between the tip of the magnetic core and the bead. In our setup, given the high variability in the shape of the magnetic tip and of the complex spatial geometry between the bead and the magnetic tip positions, it is not possible to estimate the magnetic forces mathematically. Therefore, we performed a calibration procedure to obtain force vs distance curves for each type of magnetic beads used in our experiment (Fig. 2).

Preparing a Mixture of Magnetic and Nonmagnetic Beads for Force Calibration

1. Add a small volume of 100–200 μL of silicone oil to the center of a new glass-bottom imaging dish (see Note 10).
2. In two separate 1.5 mL tubes, prepare silicone oil suspensions of magnetic and nonmagnetic polystyrene beads (see Note 11).
3. Wash 5 μL of magnetic beads (Myo-270) in 500 μL deionized water, sonicate for 1 min, gather beads on the bottom of the tube with a magnet, and carefully discard the supernatant. Resuspend the washed beads in 500 μL silicone oil by adding the oil to the tube and mix vigorously with a pipette tip. To further homogenize the solution, sonicate the bead-silicone oil mixture for 1 h in a heated water bath. The beads do not disperse easily in the viscous oil and repeating the sonication two to three times is often necessary.

Fig. 2 (a) Brightfield micrograph depicting the magnetic tip at the top of the display image and several beads in silicone oil. Trajectories of a magnetic bead and a polystyrene bead are shown (acquisition rate = 10 Hz). The magnetic bead (1 μm diameter, trajectory in black dots) is subject to magnetic force. The polystyrene bead (2 μm diameter, trajectory in white dots) is only subject to local flow in the oil. Scale bar = 10 μm. (b) Calibration curves of “force vs. distance.” Distance represents the position of the bead relative to the tip. Values represent three beads for each of two amplitudes of applied current: 1 A (solid dots) and 3 A (open circles). The force was calculated using Stokes’ law \( F = 6 \pi \eta r v \) (\( \mu = 12,500 \) centipoise, \( r = 0.5 \mu m \), \( v \) was calculated from bead displacement between subsequent frames corrected for nonmagnetic-induced flow).
4. Polystyrene (nonmagnetic) beads: Wash 10 μL of polystyrene beads in 1 mL deionized water, vortex briefly, centrifuge for 2 min at 2,400 × $g$ in a tabletop centrifuge, and discard the supernatant. Resuspend the beads in 500 μL silicone oil as described above for the magnetic beads.

5. Mix 50 μL of the magnetic beads with the polystyrene beads to a 3:1 ratio (magnetic/polystyrene).

6. Add the mixture of magnetic and polystyrene beads to the clear silicone oil previously set on the imaging dish (see Note 12).

### Manipulating Tweezers for Force Calibration

1. Mount the imaging dish on the pre-heated microscope stage (set environmental chamber temperature in advance to 37 °C).

2. Manually position the magnetic tip over the bead-silicone mixture in the dish.

3. Using the XYZ micromanipulator, slowly lower the tweezers tip until it touches the silicone in the dish.

4. Switch to camera view and, using the micromanipulator, slowly lower the tweezers until it is 1–5 μm away from the surface of the dish. Steer it carefully so that the end of its tip shows on the top edge of the displayed image field of view (Fig. 2a) (see Note 13).

5. Allow the silicone oil to settle for 10 min as the tip manipulation typically causes local flow.

6. Position the magnetic tweezers tip at the edge of a field of view with five to ten magnetic and polystyrene beads (Fig. 2a).

7. Using BK Precision software, set up the current you wish to apply for your calibration experiment.

8. Using Micromanager, set up the Multi-Dimensional acquisition parameters according to your experimental aims.

9. Start image acquisition by clicking “Acquire” on the Micromanager acquisition panel, and subsequently turn on the tweezers by clicking “Run” in the BK software control panel.

10. Tracking of beads is performed using ImageJ plugin “Particle Tracker,” and force analysis is performed using MATLAB.

### Qualitative In Situ Monitoring of the Magnetic Force

1. During image acquisition, keep visual track of the beads of interest.

2. Correct for focus drift of the sample by choosing fiduciary structures on the glass surface as position references.

3. Maintain the location of the tip of the tweezers the same in all experiments involving cells (see Note 14).
The motion of the magnetic bead in the silicone oil obeys Stokes’ law of particle movement in viscous fluids:

\[ F = 6 \pi \mu r v, \]

where \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity of the silicone oil, \( r \) is the bead radius, and \( v \) is the speed of the bead.

Local flow in the oil can be induced by the magnetic beads that are moving toward the magnetic tip, or by changes in temperature due to the heating of the magnetic tip, and/or by mechanical vibrations. Any motion detected on a polystyrene nonmagnetic bead is attributed to local flow in the oil. Therefore, to correct for any motion induced by local flow in the oil, track the motion of nearby polystyrene beads and subtract it from the motion of the magnetic bead.

Local speed of the magnetic bead is calculated for each time point as \( v = \frac{\Delta d}{\Delta t} \), where \( \Delta d \) is the corrected displacement of the magnetic bead between the current and the subsequent frame and \( \Delta t \) is the time interval between frames. In the calibration shown in Fig. 2, \( \Delta t = 0.1 \) s (corresponding to a 10 Hz acquisition rate).

The force on the magnetic bead at each time point is then calculated using Stokes’ law above. Figure 2b shows two calibration curves of “force vs. distance” from the tip for magnetic beads of 1 \( \mu m \) in diameter (MyOne) and for two amperages applied on the electromagnet 1A and 3A. We can apply forces up to several nN at a distance of 5–10 \( \mu m \) away from the magnetic tip.

Magnetic beads subject to a magnetic field are pulled with a force, \( F \), in the direction of the magnetic field. The electric current applied to the electromagnetic coil can be programmed to generate forces up to a few nN, applied in pulses with variable duration and frequency controlled by the BK Precision software. By controlling the magnitude and duration of the pulling force, we control the speed at which the bead moves toward the magnetic tip and, hence, the speed of force pulling on a bead attached to a cell or other substrate.

Here, we demonstrate the robustness and versatility of force control of our magnetic tweezers system. To visualize local forces generated by the magnetic tweezers pulling on the magnetic beads, we attached magnetic beads coated with bacteria pili to an array of flexible micropillars. The bending angle of a micropillar in response to a pulling force is proportional to the force applied. The micropillar array was made according to a protocol described elsewhere [44]. Briefly, the micropillar array is made using a lithography-fabricated silicone master, which was then applied to a mixture of polyacrylamide and cross-linking agent followed by heat curing. The micropillar array was mounted on a glass-bottom imaging dish, the micropillars were coated with poly-L-lysine, and the dish was filled with PBS buffer. Then, pili-coated magnetic beads were
added to the micropillar array. As shown in Fig. 3a, the beads attached strongly to the poly-L-lysine-coated surface.

In Fig. 3b, d we show two examples of a bead pulling at different speeds.

1. A series of 1 s current pulses with a current amplitude of 3 A was applied to the electromagnet. This cycle induced a sudden increase in the magnetic force on the attached bead as indicated by an increasing bending angle of the micropillar, and consequently a high speed of pulling on the substrate (Fig. 3b).

2. A second series of repeating cycles is shown in Fig. 3d. Each cycle was comprised of ten short current pulses of 0.2 s and with increasing amplitude from 0 A to 3 A. As a result, the force exerted on the bead gradually increased, as indicated by an increasing bending angle of the micropillar, and the magnetic bead pulls on the substrate with a slower speed compared with example 1. The maximum value of the current during one cycle is chosen based on the desired maximum force exerted by the bead on the substrate (or cell body).
We used the magnetic tweezers to study the response of the actin cytoskeleton of A-431 cells to force applied on *N. gonorrhoeae* pili-coated 3 μm diameter beads (Fig. 3). Force exerted by magnetic tweezers on pili-coated beads mimics the force that bacteria exert on epithelial cells during infection. Prior to starting the protocol:

1. Prepare an imaging dish containing A-431 cells expressing tracatin-GFP as described in Subheading 3.1.1.
2. Turn on in advance all the microscope components as described in Subheading 3.1.2, and prepare pili-coated beads as described in Subheading 3.2.1.

**Set Beads onto Epithelial Cells**

1. Change the cell medium from full medium to pre-warmed serum-free CO2-independent medium. The total volume of the cell imaging dish should be 3–4 mL.
2. Remove the bead suspension from the 4 °C rotation apparatus and warm them up to room temperature.
3. Sonicate the beads for 1 min to break up eventual aggregates and ensure a uniform suspension of single beads.
4. Remove the cell imaging dish from the 37 °C incubator and pipette 1 μL bead suspension onto the cells. Perform this in sterile conditions (see Note 15).
5. Return the cells to the 37 °C incubator and let the beads settle for 30 min.

**Position Tweezers over the Cells**

1. Remove the cell imaging dish with beads from the incubator and mount it on the microscope stage and onto the 63× oil objective. Use the metal clamps attached to the stage to hold the dish in place.
2. Remove the lid of the cell imaging dish and manually bring the magnetic tweezers into place by setting its needle tip above the center of the dish.
3. Using the XYZ micromanipulator, lower the tweezers tip until it touches the medium in the dish. Follow the tweezer manipulation guidelines from the force calibration in Subheading 3.3.2.
4. Following the guidelines from Subheading 3.1.2, focus on a region of interest (ROI) that includes a tracatin-GFP-expressing cell with several beads on top (see Note 16).
5. Under brightfield illumination, switch to camera view, and, using the micromanipulator, slowly lower the tweezers until it is 1–5 μm away from the cell monolayer. Steer it carefully so that the end of its tip shows on the top edge of the displayed image field of view without touching the cells (Fig. 4a).
Time-Lapse Acquisition and “Current vs. Time” Parameters

1. Using BK Precision software, set up the Current vs. Time program you wish to run for your experiment (see Note 17).

2. Using Micromanager, set up the Multi-Dimensional time-lapse acquisition parameters according to your experimental aims (see Note 18).

3. Start image acquisition by clicking “Acquire” on the Micromanager acquisition panel, and immediately after the first frame is captured, turn on the tweezers by clicking “Run” in the BK software control panel (see Note 19).

Qualitative In Situ Monitoring of Pulling Forces

1. After turning on the tweezers, some beads abandon the field of view, either because they were not adhering to the cells or because the force generated by the tweezers overwhelmed the bead-cell adhesion strength and pulled the beads away.

2. Correct for focus drift of the sample by choosing fiduciary structures on the glass surface as position references.

**Fig. 4** Pili-coated beads pulled by magnetic tweezers trigger local F-actin accumulation. (a) Simultaneous brightfield and fluorescence image acquisition of live epithelial cells. Magnetic beads (high contrast spheres) and tip of the magnetic tweezers (dark field on center top of the image) are visible through the brightfield channel. Floating beads abandon the field of view after current is turned on. Beads attached to the epithelium remain in the field of view. Black arrows (right image, Tweezers ON) point to three beads attached to one cell expressing actin-GFP (center bottom of the image, visible through the fluorescent channel). (b) Magnetic force gradient is generated by applying repeated cycles of current pulses of increasing intensity. The table depicts one cycle ranging from no current (0 A) up to 2 A. (c) Visualization of actin-GFP in response to local magnetic forces generated by bead pulling. White arrows point to bead location at different time points. Scale bar = 10μm. (d) Mean fluorescence intensity of actin-GFP accumulated at the sites of pulling beads such as shown in (c). Error bars represent standard error of the mean of three beads.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current, I (A)</th>
<th>Pulse duration per cycle* (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total duration of each cycle is 2.5 s. Cycles repeat continuously throughout the experiment.
1. Using ImageJ, measure the fluorescence intensity of the area occupied by one bead (signal) over time by drawing a circle around that area in each frame, and then using the function “measure” from the “analyze” menu.

2. Similarly, measure the fluorescence background (noise) of another area of the cell that is not occupied by a bead.

3. For each frame, subtract the noise from the signal to obtain the corrected fluorescence signal, and normalize it to the background for each frame (see Note 20).

4 Notes

1. Why tractin? Traditional vectors expressing GFP-tagged actin monomers (g-actin) have a drawback: the tendency to suppress assembly of actin filaments (F-actin). An alternative approach is to use GFP-tagged proteins that bind to F-actin, such as tractin [43]. This approach does not interfere with actin polymerization allowing F-actin assembly rates and actin-driven forces to remain unaffected. Furthermore, the signal from actin-GFP represents both g-actin and F-actin, thus yielding a higher fluorescence background. In contrast, tractin-GFP has a specific affinity for F-actin, so it has a higher signal-to-noise ratio than actin-GFP.

2. Other transfection reagents such as Lipofectamine are also available, but often exhibit significantly higher cytotoxicity, and increased fluorescence background compared to Fugene HD. The transfection protocol described here leads to minimal cell death, and it does not require removal/replacement of the culture medium following transfection.

3. 50 mm imaging dishes with low walls (7 mm height) are suitable for micromanipulation because they provide easy access to the tweezers setup on the microscope stage, without interfering with image acquisition.

4. The amount of DNA used per dish corresponds to a final DNA/Fugene (μg/μL) ratio of 1:4. This ratio should be optimized for each plasmid and cell line. Suboptimal deviations from this ratio will result in decreased transfection efficiency and increased cell death.

5. High-quality image acquisition is critical in quantitative fluorescence microscopy. Protein subcellular localization can only be accurately tracked and measured if all steps in the cell preparation protocol, DNA plasmid purification, and transfection are optimized and reproducible. Slight variations in the image acquisition settings of the microscope and of the imaging software dramatically impact the quality of results. Thus,
optimal image acquisition settings should be saved and reused each time for different experimental runs.

6. This step is critical to avoid focus drift during image acquisition. Due to temperature sensitivity of the materials comprising the microscope stage, objective, and oil, small temperature variations result in relatively large distortion of those materials.

7. Density filters are placed in the light path between the light source and the sample to decrease the amount of light illuminating the sample by partially blocking/reflecting incident light and, thus, help to prevent phototoxicity. This is particularly important for long-term acquisition experiments.

8. It is important to always minimize photobleaching for purposes of fluorescence intensity quantification analyses.

9. Activated beads cannot be stored and you should proceed directly to the following step.

10. To pipette the very viscous silicone oil, cut off the end of a 1000 μL pipette tip and set the pipette to aspirate 500 μL. Allow the silicone oil to settle for 10 min at 37 °C.

11. The two types of beads should have different diameter to be easily identified in the microscope.

12. The final bead-silicone oil mixture should yield about five to ten beads in the microscope field of view of the 63× oil objective, as shown in Fig. 2a.

13. Practice makes perfect! Get comfortable with the XYZ micromanipulator before performing this experiment. Adjust the sensitivity of the micromanipulator to “fine” as the tip moves closer to the surface of the dish. Move the magnetic tip slowly to minimize local flow in the oil.

14. The magnetic force exerted on the beads depends exponentially on the distance of the beads of interest to the tip (Fig. 2b).

15. You may choose to pipette more beads according to the concentration of your bead suspension. Do this on different regions of the glass-bottom center of the dish in order to have beads uniformly spread out and thus increase chance of having single beads interacting with a tracitin-GFP cell.

16. Beads that do not adhere to the cell surface usually display Brownian-like motion and tend to promptly abandon the image field of view as soon as an electromagnetic current is applied.

17. Take into account your tweezers force calibration curve so that the applied force falls into the biologically meaningful range of your experiment. As shown in Fig. 4b, we ran continuous cycles of a four-step force gradient, as defined by the increasingly stronger current values applied.
18. In Fig. 4, we acquired a 35-min image sequence, and tracked trawl-GFP in the fluorescent channel with a 30-s interval between frames. We only captured images in the brightfield channel every 5 min to minimize phototoxicity.

19. As shown in Fig. 4a (left image “Tweezers OFF”), we captured the first frame with the tweezers off, then turned on the tweezers, and 30 s later captured the second frame with the “Tweezers ON.”

20. The graph in Fig. 3d represents the variation over time of the corrected and normalized mean fluorescence signal of three beads.
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Purification of Cytoskeletal Proteins by Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) Using an AKTA Start System

Michael Gotesman, Yueyang Wang, Sharath C. Madasu, and Carter A. Mitchell

Abstract

Actin, myosin, and tubulin are ubiquitous components of the fibrous network known as the cytoskeleton. Cytoskeletal proteins are involved in a plethora of intracellular processes such as maintenance of cellular organization, organelle translocation, and various nuclear roles including chromosome separation during mitosis. Early methods for protein extraction primarily relied on the salting-out method which was performed in conjunction with biochemical assays. Since the advent of recombinant molecular biology, protein tagging has been coupled with chromatography to obtain highly purified proteins required for sensitive assays. This chapter provides a general standard operating procedure (SOP) for using the AKTA™ Start System controlled by UNICORN software for fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) of 6× his-tagged cytoskeletal proteins. The protocol can readily be modified for affinity and non-affinity purification techniques using the various AKTA™ Chromatography Systems.

Key words: Protein purification, Salting-out, Recombinant DNA technology, Affinity purification, UNICORN software, Cytiva Life Sciences

1 Introduction

Actin, myosin, and tubulin are ubiquitous components of the fibrous network known as the cytoskeleton. The importance of actin and myosin in cellular processes within non-muscle cells began to emerge with the identification of actin [1] and myosin [2] in non-muscle cells and the subsequent development of the concept of the cytoskeleton. Myosins are generally referred to as conventional if they are filament-forming and unconventional if they are non-filament-forming. Both conventional and unconventional myosins are ubiquitous in eukaryotic cells, and conventional myosin is an integral fibrous component of the cytoskeleton. Eukaryotic cells contain multiple unconventional myosins that are
involved with diverse organelle function. Some protists are known to contain a family of unconventional myosins [3]. The actomyosin complex is important in a plethora of functions such as muscle contraction, cell organization and division, and organelle trafficking [4–10]. Tubulin is the major constituent of microtubules, which are better appreciated for their involvement in chromosome segregation [11, 12] but may also be involved in cellular processes such as osmoregulation [13]. Diverse proteins form 10-nm-diameter cytoskeleton fibers known as intermediate filaments, which are well noted for their role in nuclear envelope stabilization and other nuclear processes [14].

Traditionally, cytoskeletal proteins were primarily purified by the salting-out method [15, 16] or in conjunction with ATPase activity assays [1] (see Note 1). Such purification methods can be useful for identification of cytoskeletal proteins but may be too crude for more detailed characterization [17] as required in X-ray crystallography [18], biochemical assays [19], or microscopy studies that can visualize single molecules [20]. Antibody-based purification [21, 22], which relies on an antibody to bind to a specific epitope, can be used to purify cytoskeletal proteins [9, 21, 23]. However, the aforementioned technique may not be practical due to the inhibitory cost of antibody production for large-scale purification. With the advent of modern recombinant technology, the field of protein purification has been greatly advanced by the ability to clone a purification tag(s) specifically for a protein of interest [19, 24] such as polyhistidine [25] or maltose-binding protein tag, the latter of which may even aid in proper folding of target proteins [26]. Traditional salting-out protein purification can be further clarified using chromatography, such as ion-exchange chromatography, as performed to purify the first unconventional myosin in Acanthamoeba castellanii [2]. Chromatography in general offers many advantages for protein purification such as compatibility with both affinity and non-affinity purification techniques, reusability of columns, enhancement of purity, and scalability [27, 28].

This chapter provides standard operating procedures (SOP) for 6× his-tagged protein purification using the ÄKTA Start Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography System controlled by UNICORN software (see Notes 2 and 3). The protocols are useful for purification of proteins by chromatography through HisTrap HP column installed on an ÄKTA Start System and can readily be readily be adapted to the purification method of choice. The ÄKTA™ Chromatography System has been used to purify cytoskeletal proteins [20, 29, 30] and analyze cytoskeleton dynamics [31, 32], as well as purification of proteins that interact with the cytoskeletal system [33–35].
2 Materials and Equipment

1. ÄKTA Start System (Fig. 1).
2. Column (e.g., HisTrap HP).
3. Glass bottles and flasks (1 L).
4. Filtration glassware.
5. Membrane filters (0.2 μm).
6. Syringes (5, 50 mL).
7. Tubes (1.5, 15, 50 mL).
8. Ultrapure water.
9. 20% ethanol.
10. 10% (w/v) sodium azide.
11. 0.5 M disodium phosphate.
12. 1 M monosodium phosphate.
13. Sodium chloride.
15. 1 M hydrogen chloride.
16. 1 M sodium hydroxide.
17. 0.5 M EDTA.

Fig. 1 FPLC setup (a–e): (a) ÄKTA Start, (b) fraction collector, (c) computer, (d) control screen, (e) gloves; see Note 4
3 Methods

3.1 Prepare Reagents (See Notes 4 and 5)

1. Make 1 L of 0.02% sodium azide solution in a glass bottle by diluting 2 mL of 10% sodium azide stock with 998 mL of ultrapure water.

2. Make Buffer A (lysis buffer) and Buffer B (elution buffer) in 1 L glass bottles according to compositions shown in Tables 1 and 2.

3. Prepare a suitable HisTrap HP Affinity Column (see Note 7).

3.2 Engage the ÄKTA Start Instrument (See Note 4)

1. Place Buffer A and Buffer B on top of the ÄKTA Start instrument (Fig. 2).

2. Locate inlet tubing A and B (Fig. 2). Transfer tubing A to Buffer A bottle and tubing B to Buffer B bottle.

3. Turn on the PC, and then the ÄKTA Start machine, and wait a few minutes for the instrument to initialize.

4. Double click the UNICORN start icon on the desktop to open the software. Select the following modules: System Control, Method Editor, and Evaluation. System Control is used to start, view, and control a run. Method Editor provides flexibility to create or edit the chromatography methods. Evaluation module is used to manage and evaluate results from chromatography runs.

5. In System Control, click “Connect” to connect the instrument to the PC.

6. The top pane of the window shows the current state of the instrument, and the bottom pane shows the real-time flow path and manual controls.

Table 1
Buffer A (lysis buffer)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Stock solution or reagent</th>
<th>Amount of stock or reagent</th>
<th>Final buffer concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disodium phosphate</td>
<td>Na₂HPO₄</td>
<td>0.5 M</td>
<td>94 mL</td>
<td>47 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosodium phosphate</td>
<td>NaH₂PO₄</td>
<td>1 M</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>58.44 g/mol</td>
<td>17.53 g</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium azide</td>
<td>NaN₃</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrapure water</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Top up to 1 L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Buffer B (elution buffer; see Note 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Stock solution or reagent</th>
<th>Amount of stock solution or reagent</th>
<th>Final buffer concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disodium phosphate</td>
<td>Na₂HPO₄</td>
<td>0.5 M</td>
<td>94 mL</td>
<td>47 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monosodium phosphate</td>
<td>NaH₂PO₄</td>
<td>1 M</td>
<td>3 mL</td>
<td>3 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>58.44 g/mol</td>
<td>17.53 g</td>
<td>300 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imidazole</td>
<td>C₃H₄N₂</td>
<td>68.08 g/mol</td>
<td>34.04 g</td>
<td>500 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium azide</td>
<td>NaN₃</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2 mL</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultrapure water</td>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Top up to 1 L</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen chloride</td>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>1 M</td>
<td>A few drops</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Instrument modules with annotated parts (a–m): (a) Bottle A; (b) Bottle B; (c) Bottle A attachment to buffer valve; (d) Bottle B attachment to buffer valve; (e) Mixer; (f) Sample valve; (g) Sample valve attachment port; (h) Pump; (i) UV monitor; (j) Injection valve; (k) Column attachment; see Note 16; (l) Outlet valve; (m) Waste bottle
3.3 Priming the Pump

1. Place all waste tubing, labeled as W1, W2, and W3, in a 500 mL glass bottle.
2. In System Control, select “Manual Run.” Set the flow rate at 0.5 mL/min.
3. Set %B as 50% at the mixer and click the wash valve to initiate flow of 50% Buffer A and 50% Buffer B at 0.5 mL/min through the system.
4. Wait until the pump is primed with 10 mL of the buffers.
5. Set %B as 0% at the mixer to initiate 10 mL flow of 100% Buffer A at 0.5 mL/min through the system.

3.4 Install/Change a Chromatography Column on the ÄKTA Start System (See Note 8)

1. Remove the column stoppers; mount the column on the union connector if the column type requires a union.
2. Remove the tube connector from the injection valve part 1 by unscrewing the knurled fastener.
3. As a droplet emerges from the tubing, touch the tubing to the column head and begin to thread the fitting in. Leave a slight looseness of threads, which allows liquid to escape around the fitting and prevents pressure buildup in the column.
4. Wait for a few seconds until a droplet comes out from the column bottom. Screw the column into the UV detector inlet.
5. Tighten the column inlet and outlet fitting just enough to prevent leaking. Do not overtighten when connecting columns. Overtightening might break the connectors or squeeze the tubing and thereby obstructing the flow (see Note 9).

3.5 Equilibrate the Column

1. Follow the protocol in Subheading 3.3 to initiate flow of 100% Buffer A at 0.5 mL/min through the column for 10 column volume (CV).
2. Set %B as 100% at the mixer to initiate flow of 100% Buffer B at 0.5 mL/min through the column for 10 CV.
3. Repeat this procedure beginning with step 1 in order to equilibrate the column with another 10 CV of 100% Buffer A.

3.6 Prime the Sample Tubing Using the Pump

1. Transfer 5 mL of Buffer A into a 15 mL conical tube.
2. Immerse the end of the sample valve inlet tubing in the tube.
3. Click the sample valve and waste valve to divert the flow.
4. Click “End” after the priming with required volume of buffer has been completed.
5. Carefully transfer the sample valve inlet tubing from the buffer tube to the sample tube. Make sure no air bubbles enter the tubing (see Note 10).
3.7 Prime the Sample Loop Before Injecting Sample

1. Fill a syringe with 5 mL of Buffer A.
2. Tap the syringe with a finger to move air bubbles to the top. Then push gently on the plunger to push out air bubbles.
3. Make sure that the injection valve is set to position: Load Sample.
4. Connect the syringe to the injection valve port 3.
5. Load 1.5 mL of the buffer into the sample loop.
6. Repeat all steps in Subheading 3.7 using at least 5x the loop volume before loading the sample (see Note 11).

3.8 Write Methods in UNICORN Start (See Note 12)

1. In the UNICORN software, navigate to the Method Editor window.
2. Under the File menu, select “New Method.”
3. In the pop-up window, select a pre-defined method (affinity, anion exchange, cation exchange, desalting, gel filtration) for the desired type of chromatography, and then click OK.
4. Select “Method Settings” in the left pane.
5. If a GE Life Sciences prepacked column is used, find and select the column in the Column Type dropdown menu. Properties of the column will be automatically displayed.
6. If the column is not listed, select “user desired column” in the Column Type dropdown menu. Manually enter the column volume, pressure limit, and flow rate, as specified by the manufacturer.
7. Select “Prime and Equilibration” in the left pane.
8. Check the prime-the-pump box.
9. Set the equilibration volume as 5 CV.
10. In Advanced Options, select “Use the same flow rate as in Method Settings” to equilibrate the column with the default flow rate.
11. Set the start concentration of Buffer “B” as 0%.
12. Select “reset UV monitor (Auto Zero)” to zero the detector before loading the sample.
13. Select “Sample Application” in the left pane.
14. Select either “loop/super loop or pump” for applying the sample.
15. Enter the sample volume. If the pump will be used to apply the sample, enter a volume at least 5 mL less than the actual volume to prevent the introduction of air into the system.
16. In Advanced Options, enter the desired flow rate. Capture methods such as ion exchange or affinity separations usually achieve a higher binding efficiency at lower flow rates.
17. Select either “fractions” or “no fractions” for collecting the flow through.
18. Enter the desired volume per fraction if fractionation is desired.
19. The flow through will be collected in the “W3” waste tubing if fractionation is not selected.
20. Select “Wash out unbound” in the left pane.
21. Enter the desired wash volume.
22. Enter the desired flow rate in Advanced Options.
23. Set the start concentration of Buffer “B” as 0%.
24. Select either “fractions” or “no fractions” for collecting the wash.
25. Enter the desired volume per fraction if enabling fractionations.
26. The wash will be collected in the W3 waste tubing if fractionation is not selected.
27. Select “Elution and Fractionation” from the left pane.
28. Select either “isocratic elution” (constant elution strength) or “gradient elution” (increasing elution strength).
29. If using isocratic elution, enter the target concentration of Buffer B and desired elution volume.
30. If using gradient elution, enter the start and target concentration of Buffer B and the desired gradient volume.
31. Select “Add more steps” to add step segment(s) during the gradient elution.
32. Select “Enable Fractionation” to collect eluates in 1.5 or 15 mL tubes in the fraction collector carousel. Set the fractionation type as “fixed volume fractionation.” Enter the desired fractionation volume.
33. In Advanced Options, enter the desired flow rate.
34. Select “Prime and Equilibration” from the left pane.
35. Set the equilibration volume as 5 CV.
36. In Advanced Options, select “Use the same flow rate as in Method Settings” to equilibrate the column with the default flow rate.
37. Set the start concentration of Buffer B as 0%.
38. Select “reset UV monitor” (Auto Zero) to zero the detector for subsequent runs.
39. Under the File menu, select “Save” and name the method. Save the method in a designated folder.
40. In System Control, click “Method Run” and select the saved method to perform the purification.

41. Make sure enough tubes are placed on the fraction collector carousel and the drip outlet is aligned with the tube before starting the run.

### 3.9 Equipment Shutdown and Short-Term Storage (See Note 13)

1. After completion of the final run of the day, transfer inlet tubing A and B to a flask of degassed 0.02% sodium azide solution.

2. In System Control, select “Manual Run.” Set the flow rate at 0.5 mL/min.

3. Set %B as 50% at the mixer and click the wash valve to initiate flow of the sodium azide solution at 0.5 mL/min through the system.

4. Pump 20 mL of the sodium azide solution through the system.

5. End the run and leave the system filled with the sodium azide solution during the storage period.

6. Close UNICORN start software. Turn off the ÄKTA Start instrument and then the PC.

### 3.10 Equipment Shutdown and Long-Term Storage (See Note 14)

1. After completion of the System Short-Term Storage method (see Subheading 3.9), transfer inlet tubing A and B to a flask of degassed 20% ethanol.

2. Follow the protocol in Subheading 3.9 (steps 2–5) and start a manual run. Pump 20 mL of 20% ethanol through the system. Use the same run parameters recommended for the short-term storage procedure.

3. Pause the run when 10 mL of the ethanol has been pumped through the system.

4. Disconnect the tubing from the column.

5. Use the union mounted on the tubing connected to the UV flow cell to reconnect the flow path between the injection valve and the UV monitor (Fig. 2, i).

6. Screw the column stoppers back onto the column.

7. Continue the run until 20 mL of 20% ethanol is pumped through the system.

8. End the run and leave the system filled with 20% ethanol during the storage period.

9. Close UNICORN start software. Turn off the ÄKTA Start instrument, and then turn off the PC (see Note 15).

10. Store the column filled with 20% ethanol at 4 °C.
4 Notes

1. Salting-out refers to the use of ammonium sulfate precipitation which can gently precipitate proteins of interest from a cytosolic mixture using gentle fractionation techniques. Although it is considered crude, ammonium sulfate precipitation does significantly reduce downstream burdens in purification processes.

2. Cytiva Life Sciences, formerly part of General Electric, has recently been acquired by Danaher and rebranded as a stand-alone operating company within the Life Sciences. Cytiva offers several options for UNICORN software-controlled protein purification under the ÄKTA™ Chromatography Systems label. This chapter is based on the manual for the ÄKTA™ Start System, which is our recommendation for beginners. Additional information about the various options can be found on Cytiva’s website (last accessed 10.23.2020): https://www.cytivalifesciences.com/en/us/shop/chromatography/chromatography-systems/akta-start-p-05773.

3. The type of column (such as size exclusion, hydrophobicity, and affinity-based) and/or tag used for purification is outside the realm of this chapter and should be worked out empirically. Although this chapter describes the procedure for a 6× His-tag purification, more helpful information regarding tag(s) selection can be found in refs. 24–28.

4. Always wear gloves during preparation of reagents as well as operation and maintenance of ÄKTA Start.

5. Prepare fresh reagents shortly before use. Solutions made from the highest-grade reagents and ultrapure water should be passed through a 0.2 μm filter and degassed thoroughly in an ultrasonic bath shortly before their use.

6. Buffer B serves to elute the trapped His-tag proteins from the Ni-NTA (HisTrap HP) column. Prior to using Buffer B, it must be pH balanced by adding a few drops of HCl.

7. HisTrap HP columns can be purchased directly from Cytiva Life Sciences; we recommend starting with Cat. # 17524701 which provides five (×) 1 mL HisTrap HP columns.

8. In order to avoid introducing air bubbles into the column and flow path, make a drop-to-drop connection prior to inserting the threaded fitting into its position.

9. Avoid applying excessive forces when connecting plastic fasteners in order to prevent damaging the threads of the fitting.
10. Avoid air bubbles in the flow path in order to prevent spurious readouts from the UV detector and damage to the column and pumps.

11. Samples should be clarified by centrifugation and filter sterilization immediately before introducing them into the flow path.

12. To better control the ÄKTA Start instrument, assemble all programmed steps into a method. Steps vary for a given column and protein of interest but typically include Prime and Equilibration, Sample Application, Wash Out Unbound, and Elution and Fractionation.

13. If the system will be unused for 1–4 days, prepare for short-term storage by filling the system with 20% ethanol or 0.02% sodium azide to prevent bacterial growth.

14. If the system will be unused for more than 4 days, prepare the system for long-term storage.

15. Keep the pump cover open when not using the system to enhance the lifetime of the pump tubing.

16. Figure 2 shows a 1 mL HisTrap HP column attached to the column holder located immediately below the letter K.
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The Cilioprotist Cytoskeleton, a Model for Understanding How Cell Architecture and Pattern Are Specified: Recent Discoveries from Ciliates and Comparable Model Systems

Linda A. Hufnagel

Abstract

The cytoskeletons of eukaryotic, cilioprotist microorganisms are complex, highly patterned, and diverse, reflecting the varied and elaborate swimming, feeding, reproductive, and sensory behaviors of the multitude of cilioprotist species that inhabit the aquatic environment. In the past 10–20 years, many new discoveries and technologies have helped to advance our understanding of how cytoskeletal organelles are assembled in many different eukaryotic model systems, in relation to the construction and modification of overall cellular architecture and function. Microtubule organizing centers, particularly basal bodies and centrioles, have continued to reveal their central roles in architectural engineering of the eukaryotic cell, including in the cilioprotists. This review calls attention to (1) published resources that illuminate what is known of the cilioprotist cytoskeleton; (2) recent studies on cilioprotists and other model organisms that raise specific questions regarding whether basal body- and centriole-associated nucleic acids, both DNA and RNA, should continue to be considered when seeking to employ cilioprotists as model systems for cytoskeletal research; and (3) new, mainly imaging, technologies that have already proven useful for, but also promise to enhance, future cytoskeletal research on cilioprotists.

Key words Basal body, Centriole, Cilioprotists, Kinetosome, Infraciliature, DNA, RNA, Tetrahymena, Paramecium, Euplotes
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1 Introduction

For those of us who conduct or have conducted research on the ultrastructure and reproduction of the ciliated, eukaryotic microorganisms known as “cilioprotists” or “ciliates,” such as *Paramecium*, *Tetrahymena*, *Stentor*, or *Euplotes*, or who have simply become students or collectors of cilioprotist lore, the term “cytoskeleton” has a very special meaning. For, in the microscopic cilioprotists, we encounter the arguably most sophisticated, patterned, and diversified cytoskeleton to be found in eukaryotic cells [1, 2]. Not only does the ciliate cytoskeleton carry out the many functions that cytoskeletons perform in all other eukaryotic cells (such as cell motility and re-establishment of mature cell architecture and form following cytokinesis and fertilization), but it also supports the highly organized and repetitive patterning of the ciliature that underlies the diverse motilities, sensory reception [3], and feeding behaviors that are emblematic of the diverse hunter-gathering cilioprotist tribes inhabiting the microbial world [4, 5]. Furthermore, the cilioprotist cytoskeleton is most certainly the armature for a unique type of epigenetic inheritance, referred to as “perpetuation of pattern” by Nanny [6], “cytotaxis” by Sonneborn and many others [7, 8], or “structural inheritance” [9, 10], first discovered in the ciliates but possibly occurring in other eukaryotic cells as well, as suggested by Beisson and Sonneborn [11], Bornens [12], and others. Thus, cilioprotists have long been viewed as attractive model organisms from which to gain insight into the many roles of the cytoskeleton in eukaryotic cellular processes [10, 13, 14]. This is especially true because the genomes of *Tetrahymena* [15, 16], *Paramecium* [17, 18], *Stentor* [19], and *Oxytricha* [20] have been completely sequenced and have already revealed many generalizable secrets, such as the properties of telomeres, and gene amplification (see Gall, 1986, for an early summary of genomic discoveries in cilioprotists [21]). Furthermore, especially for *Tetrahymena*, a tool kit of genetic and molecular biological methods is readily available [16, 22].

Within the past 10–20 years, many new discoveries have advanced our understanding of the assembly of cytoskeletal organelles, particularly in relation to their role in determining cell architecture and function. Some of these discoveries have emanated from research on cilioprotists, but many have resulted from non-cilioprotist model organisms. Time, space, and the number of published works do not allow all relevant topics or publications to be included in this review. Therefore, I will attempt to explore a few of the most significant advances, and raise questions and suggest approaches that could be applied to ciliate model organisms. This review will not attempt to cover all possible topics related to ciliates as models for cytoskeletal research, but will seek to pull
together a variety of published resources that can help to define some of the questions that remain unanswered or unresolved, for example, regarding the question of whether nucleic acids are found within or associated with ciliate kinetosomes (aka basal bodies), and if so what role(s) they might have in cytoskeletal assembly.

2 Basal Bodies

At the many hearts of the cilioprotist cytoskeleton are its cylindrical kinetosomes, which serve as seeds for and support of the numerous motile cilia that aid the organism in swimming and feeding. The arrangements of these kinetosomes reflect the arrangements of cilia into somatic rows for motility and oral rows that sweep prey microbes into their buccal cavities. As a type of microtubule organizing center (MTOC), ciliate kinetosomes also appear to be central to the formation of several components of the ciliate cytoskeleton. Since kinetosomes share numerous structural, functional, and molecular features with the basal bodies found in many other types of ciliated and flagellated cells, including cylindrical shape and ninefold symmetry, hereafter ciliate kinetosomes will be referred to as “basal bodies” or “BB.” For recent summaries of basal body properties in a typical and much analyzed cilioprotist, *Tetrahymena*, see Wloga and Frankel [23] and Bayless et al. [24]. For *Paramecium*, ultrastructural details of ciliary basal body structure, arrangements, and cytoskeletal associations were beautifully presented by Ehret and McArdle [25]; important aspects of *Paramecium tetraurelia* basal body ultrastructure have more recently been shown and discussed by Tassin et al. [26]. For comparison with basal body structure in another protist model cell, the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, see Dutcher and O’Toole [27].

Ciliate basal bodies share an ancestry, basic structural organization, and protein composition with centrioles, organelles that are usually found at the poles of the mitotic spindle and in close association with the nucleus of most eukaryotic cells, but can become basal bodies to support the growth of motile and/or sensory cilia or flagella in many types of cells [28, 29]. Centrioles/basal bodies (C/BBs) apparently arose early in the evolution of the eukaryotic cell and are almost universally found in various genomic branches of eukaryotes [29]. However, in some eukaryotic groups and cell types, including higher plants, higher fungi, human mature oocytes, mouse spermatozoa, and certain cancer cells, C/BBs are lacking [30, 31]. A number of recent authors, including Chapman et al. [32], Zhou and Roy [33], Jana et al. [34], and Sathanathan et al. [35], have provided useful diagrams that illustrate the detailed structure of centrioles and ciliary/
flagellar basal bodies, as well as their central role in many of the functions of eukaryotic cells, including those of protists, mammals, and humans.

C/BBs have many functions in human biology, including the selective contribution of sperm centrioles to human embryonic development, discussed by Avidor-Reiss et al. [31], and the activities of basal bodies during development and functioning of cilia and cilia-derived cell structures. Therefore, they have been implicated in a variety of human dysfunctions (such as male factor infertility [31]) that affect human reproduction, cellular aging [36], sensory functions including vision, respiration (e.g., Kartagener syndrome [37]), and sperm cell motility. C/BB malfunction also appears to underlie many developmental disorders and cancers [38]. Davis and Katsanis [39], Oh and Katsanis [40], and Wheatley [41] have published recent reviews that further address this topic.

Nearly 50 years ago, Fulton [42] thoroughly and elegantly reviewed what was understood at that time regarding the structural, functional, and molecular properties of C/BBs, but much more has been learned since then. In 2000, Chapman, Dolan, and Margulis [32] also addressed in great detail the properties of C/BBs, particularly in relation to opposing theories of their evolutionary origin, either from the integration of bacterial endosymbionts or through gradual evolution from simpler cellular components, called “direct filiation” by Bornens and Azimzadeh [43]. However, since 2000, many new discoveries have emerged, as a result of the development of new microscopical, genetic, and molecular biological technologies, and the emergence of new model systems. For example, in 2019, the structural organization and protein arrangements of C/BBs (and procentrioles; see definition below) in the unicellular biflagellate Chlamydomonas were characterized at 23 angstrom (and better) resolution through the application of cryoelectron tomography, by Li et al. [44]. When this technology was combined with reverse (subtractive) genetics, the precise structural locations of several specific centriolar and centriole-associated proteins were elegantly determined. The ability of cryoelectron tomography [45, 46] to capture the precise locations of specific macromolecules in cells, without the need for pretreatment with fixatives, embedding media, and other reagents, suggests that this approach to characterizing cell architecture and macromolecular arrangements, and for following changes in cell architecture at the molecular level, will be very important in the future (for another relevant example, see Subheading 4).

Through genome sequencing and other types of analysis, many conserved C/BB proteins have been identified. These include the alpha-, beta-, gamma-, delta-, and epsilon-tubulins and SAS-6 [47]. Alpha- and beta-tubulins are major components of the microtubule triplets in all C/BBs. SAS-6 is a protein required for centriole assembly [48]. Paramecium, with its approximately 4000 basal
bodies, and well-developed genetics, has proven to be a useful system for identifying important basal body proteins and determining their roles in C/BB function [49, 50]. For example, in studies utilizing Paramecium, Ruiz et al. [51] showed that gamma-tubulin is required for basal body duplication, Garreau de Loubresse et al. [52] confirmed earlier findings from Chlamydomonas that delta-tubulin has a role in the formation of the C-tubule of the basal body triplet microtubules, and Dupuis-Williams et al. [50], using immunolocalization at the light and electron microscopic levels, confirmed localization of the epsilon-tubulin within the basal body microtubule triplets and its requirement for basal body duplication. By placing the Tetrahymena gene coding for gamma-tubulin (GTU1) under control of an inducible-repressible promoter, Shang et al. [53] found that gamma-tubulin knockdown led to the gradual disappearance of basal bodies and disruption of normal cortical morphology; however, when gamma-tubulin was re-expressed, the normal patterned structure of the cell cortex was restored, suggesting to the authors that the pattern can form de novo. Their observations also raise questions regarding whether the basal bodies, or the gamma-tubulin basal body “starter” protein, possess the ultimate blueprints for cortical patterning in cilioprotists, or whether the blueprints are stored elsewhere in the cell.

Another conserved C/BB protein is Poc1, also required for C/BB assembly. In studies on Tetrahymena, Pearson et al. [54] used fluorescence immunocytochemistry, electron microscopy, and gene knockout methods to confirm findings from other model systems that Poc1 is located in the basal body cylinder walls and at the site of assembly of nascent basal bodies. A new finding from their study was that Poc1 is also a stable component of the basal body cartwheel structure. In addition, the findings in this study appeared to shed light on the activities of Poc1 important for assembly and stability of the basal body. Studies similar to this, using cilioprotists to further analyze the roles of conserved as well as unique proteins required for basal body assembly and the correct assembly of associated cytoskeletal structures (see Subheading 3), should continue to reveal new information applicable to other organisms and to human health.

### 3 Infraciliature

Surrounding and attached to the basal bodies of cilioprotists, the basal bodies of other types of ciliated and flagellated cells, and the centrioles of the mitotic apparatus is a more or less complex cytoskeletal architecture (in 2011, Carvalho-Santos et al. [29] published useful summary diagrams). In the case of the mitotic apparatus, the centriole plus its most closely associated cytoskeleton is known as the “centrosome” [28]. In recent years, because of its
important role in mitotic spindle formation and related human diseases, much attention has been given to the composition and behavior of centrosomes in vertebrate, invertebrate, and protist model systems. In 2018, Graf [55] published a useful comparative review of centrosomes in eukaryotes. Model organisms that have been useful for centrosomal research include Drosophila [56, 57], Naegleria [58], and the so-called “green yeast” Chlamydomonas [59]. The role of centrosomes in the formation of the mitotic spindle and microtubular asters has been analyzed exhaustively; besides studies on whole cells, this topic has been investigated in cellular extracts and homogenates. Of particular interest here are studies using cell-free preparations from oocytes of Xenopus [60] (c.f. Ito et al., 2020) and Spisula (c.f. Zackroff et al., 1976 [61]; Alliegro et al., 2008 [62]; and Alliegro and Alliegro, 2013 [63]); one outcome of such in vitro studies has been the discovery of centrosomal RNA, discussed below.

In cilioprotists, such as Paramecium, Tetrahymena, and the hypotrich ciliate Paraurostyla, the basal bodies and associated cytoskeletal elements comprise an architecture known as the “infraciliature” [64], located mainly near the cell surface (within the cell “cortex,” i.e., “cortical”). The cortical architecture of the model ciliate Tetrahymena has been amply described by Frankel [65] and Wloga and Frankel [23]. Besides the basal bodies themselves, there are a number of other structural elements, including a submembranous layer termed the “epiplasm” [66], striated fibers (e.g., “kinetodesmal fibers,” a type of ciliary rootlet) [1, 67], postciliary and transverse ribbons [1], and the infraciliary lattice (ICL), a polygonal, contractile network of microfibrillar bundles located at the level of the proximal ends of the ciliary basal bodies (described in Paramecium by Beisson et al. [68]). Microtubules constitute an important structural component of the basal bodies, as well as the postciliary and transverse ribbons [1]; microtubules, composed of proteins known as “tubulins,” are highly conserved structures common to most eukaryotic cells, and have long been considered to be among the most important structural components determining the architecture and remodeling of eukaryotic cells.

Unlike the cytoskeleton of other eukaryotic cells, the cilioprotist infraciliature is uniquely composed of repetitive units that are centered on one or two basal bodies and are asymmetrically organized; these are known as “cortical units” [23] or “kinetids” [1]. As a result of cortical unit organization, cilioprotists exhibit a distinct cellular patterning that includes an anteroposterior axis and left-right asymmetry, described in detail by Frankel [69, 70] and more recently by Iftode and Fleury-Aubusson [9] and Soares et al. [2]. Ciliates asexually reproduce through a process of transverse fission, in which, in the simplest cases, the anteroposterior rows of somatic cilia and their basal bodies are transected, and in the anterior daughter (called “proter”), new basal bodies are added
within and to the posterior end of each row, while in the posterior daughter (called “opisthe”), new basal bodies are added within and to the anterior end of each row. In this way, each ciliary row is propagated to the daughter cells. As addressed by Sonneborn [7], in 1963, and somewhat later in several important papers by Nanny [4, 6, 71], extensive observations on dividing cells of many different cilioprotist species have shown that the patterns of ciliation on daughter cells are a direct result of the patterns of ciliation on parent cells, even in cases where some cilia are grouped into complex arrangements for feeding, such as within the buccal cavity (aka gullet) of Paramecium [72, 73], or for swimming, such as the cirri of Euplotes [74]. Experimental surgical alterations of the cell surface architecture in a variety of cilioprotists, for example, Stentor [75], Euplotes [76, 77] and Paramecium [78], have confirmed the presence of an epigenetic mechanism of inheritance of cell patterning called “cytotaxis” [7] (see summaries by Nanney [71] and Beisson [79]). However, the experimental results have also suggested an underlying influence of nuclear genes in returning experimentally altered cell architecture to normal over several generations of cell division [76, 77, 80].

In recent years, the advent of new technologies in combination with gene sequencing has permitted the use of Tetrahymena in studies to better understand the role of the infraciliature in positioning and stabilizing basal bodies in relation to effects of ciliary beating forces [81, 82]. This topic is particularly relevant medically because of the role of cilia in transporting mucus in the human respiratory airways. In studies on Tetrahymena, Junker et al. [82] recently combined light microscopic immunofluorescence analysis with EM tomography to show that glycylation of basal body-associated microtubules has an important architectural role in positioning of basal bodies and therefore the organization of the ciliary rows.

4 Basal Body/Centriole Assembly

To appreciate the mystique held by basal bodies and centrioles, one must be aware that they appear to be “self-replicating” organelles. But unlike membrane-limited self-replicating organelles like mitochondria and chloroplasts, they do not “divide” in two and are not surrounded by their own membranes. Instead, basal bodies and centrioles usually reproduce by a type of “budding,” in which a new basal body or centriole (called “procentriole”) assembles in close association with, and at right angles to, a preexisting basal body or centriole. This process was first described at the ultrastructural level in Paramecium by Dippell [83] (1968), who observed that a component called the “cartwheel” assembled earlier than other basal body structures, during the formation of a new basal body.
In ciliates, self-replication of basal bodies was recognized very early, through the extensive observations that Chatton, Lwoff, and their co-workers carried out on the asexual reproduction of many different ciliate species, following fixation and staining with silver [84, 85]. Their observations led Lwoff [86], in 1950, to propose that basal bodies of ciliates might contain genetic information, later referred to by Nanny as corticogenes [71] and interpreted to mean the recognized genetic material, DNA (discussed in more detail below), although one consequence of the ability of basal bodies in ciliates to self-replicate is that certain aspects of cortical patterning in ciliates appeared to some to be under epigenetic control. Examples include (1) the inheritance of kinety (ciliary row) number in Paramecium (Sonneborn, 1963 [7]) and Tetrahymena (Nanney, 1968, 1975, 1977 [4, 87, 6]; Frankel, 1979 [80]); (2) the inheritance of experimentally rotated kineties in Tetrahymena (Ng and Frankel, 1977 [88]); and (3) the occurrence of intraclonal dimorphism in Euplotes vannus, a special phenomenon in which, during cell division, the anterior daughter cell develops a different number of caudal cirri (clusters of cilia used for cell motility) than the posterior daughter (Hufnagel and Torch, 1967 [74]). In the last case, the number of caudal cirri on a daughter cell was found to be dependent on where on the parent cell surface the daughter cell cirri are assembled, reflecting local influences by the parental cell on cortical patterning in daughter cells.

The steps in assembly of C/BBs have received detailed scrutiny since Dippell’s early ultrastructural analysis in Paramecium [83]. Much of the research has been carried out on non-cilioprotist cells, for example, the ultrastructural studies of Johnson and Rosenbaum (1992) on Chlamydomonas centrioles [89]. In 2010, Azimzadeh and Marshall provided a useful review of genes and conserved proteins required for centriole assembly, as well as non-conserved proteins that may be involved in specific structural and functional relationships between centrioles and the cytoskeletons of diverse organisms [90]. They also focused on the mechanisms determining ninefold symmetry, control of centriole length, and steps in centriole maturation. Much more has been learned since 2010. For example, in 2018, Guichard et al. detailed much of what is now known about the steps in formation of the cartwheel, the “seed” for C/BB assembly, based on studies on a variety of model systems including Chlamydomonas, Drosophila, and human cells [91]. Analysis of cartwheel proteins and the use of cryoelectron tomography have allowed Guichard and his collaborators (and others) to dissect many details of cartwheel assembly. Recently, their group developed its own version of a relatively new super-resolution imaging approach that enables molecular resolution imaging at the light microscopic level. By combining expansion microscopy (ExM) [92–95] with single-molecule localization
microscopy (SMLM) [96, 97], they have been able to localize fluorescent labels at the molecular level and have recently begun to apply their methods to the analysis of centrioles [98].

Other recent reviews that address the molecular events in C/BB assembly are available [99–102]. Loncarek and Bettencourt-Dias, in 2018, addressed research that illuminates how diverse C/BB number, size, and organization are established [99]. Gonczy and Hatsopoulos, in 2019, provided a summary of steps in formation and elimination of centrioles, and very significantly, called attention to recent use of advanced super-resolution microscopy methods to transform our understanding of C/BB assembly by providing “nanometer-scale precision” [100]. They also called attention to the need to even more precisely localize centriolar proteins, and suggested that the use of “super-resolution time-lapse microscopy of centriole assembly in a cellular context,” presumably in living cells, will accomplish this mission.

In another recent review, Arslanhan et al., in 2020, addressed the structure and regulation of the mammalian centrosome/cilium complex in relation to the cell cycle, and pointed out various problems inherent in analyzing these activities, one of which is the small number of organelles in most cells [101]; this is a problem that is not encountered in cilioprotists! Of particular interest here, Arslanhan et al. summarized various studies that have used proximity-based labeling methods (BioID) to analyze protein interactions in relation to assembly-related functions of the centrosome/cilium. They explained the methods of proximity labeling, which utilize enzymes that label neighboring proteins with biotin or peroxidase, and suggested that such studies, when correlated with super-resolution imaging, will help to explain the roles of the >1700 unique mammalian proteins known to participate in the assembly and functioning of centrioles, their satellites, and associated cilia.

In another up-to-date summary, Sullenberger et al., in 2020, addressed the structure and composition of mature human centrioles and centrosomes, including so-called pericentriolar material (PCM) (see Subheading 7) [102]. They called attention to the fact that proliferating cells simultaneously contain three distinct types of centrioles: pro-centrioles, daughter centrioles, and mother centrioles. They differ in age, structure, and biochemical function, and represent maturation stages that take place over three consecutive cell cycles. Sullenberger et al. covered in great detail the extensive published research regarding the biochemical events during centriole formation and maturation, and suggested that answers to the many questions that remain will depend on a combination of improved genetic and imaging tools.

Another experimental approach to C/BB assembly in mammals, including humans, was reported in 2015, by Wong et al. [103], who conducted experiments on NIH/3T3 mouse
embryonic fibroblasts and HCT-116 human colon carcinoma cells, in which they developed and applied an inhibitor (“centrinone”) of Polo-like kinase 4 (Plk4), a protein that controls centriole assembly. When normal 3T3 cells were treated with centrinone, they were irreversibly arrested “in a senescence-like G1 state.” In contrast, colon carcinoma cells with normal or experimentally enhanced centrosome numbers “could proliferate indefinitely after centrosome loss” induced by centrinone treatment. Furthermore, the centrinone-induced loss of centrosomes in the cancer cells was reversible; after centrinone washout, the cancer cell lines returned to their predrug centrosome numbers. Clearly, experimental reports like this raise many questions. One question is whether or not centrinone induced the loss of a centriole precursor (invisible by the labeling methods used) in the cancer cells. Another question is whether centrinone would affect the proliferation of basal bodies in cilioprotist cells, and whether the results of centrinone treatment would provide insight about basal body assembly in ciliates, particularly regarding the role of a Plk4-like homologue in this model system.

5 De Novo Assembly of Basal Bodies

In 2007, Satir et al. [104] pointed out that C/BBs do not always arise in close proximity to existing C/BBs by a semiconservative process of budding. In many cases, they appear to condense en masse from a fibrogranular matrix, as seen in the formation of an “anarchic field” of basal bodies during formation of buccal cilia in the cilioprotists (e.g., Kaneda and Hansen, 1974 [105]). Thus, while self-replication is often the source of new C/BBs, de novo formation, in the absence of preexisting centrioles or basal bodies, also can occur [30]. Other well-known examples of de novo C/BB formation occur during the transition of the amoeboid stage to the flagellated stage in the protist Naegleria [49, 58, 106, 107] and during meiosis in the fern Marsilea [108]. In the case of Naegleria, this intriguing phenomenon was subjected to repeated microscopic investigation at higher and higher resolutions, in hopes of finding a visible centriolar “seed;” but it has only been recently, with a more molecular approach, that evidence for such a seed has been uncovered [58, 109]. Using light microscopic immunocytochemistry, these investigators have revealed, in interphase cells of Naegleria, a single transacylase-containing precursor complex (GMPp) that appears to precede the formation of a transient “cell cycle-dependent duplicating complex” (GMP complex; containing γ-tubulin, pericentrin-like protein, and myosin II), from which a pair of basal bodies is formed during the differentiation of the amoeboid stage into the flagellated stage. Whether the GMPp itself
assembles de novo is not clear. But these findings in *Naegleria* suggest the need to examine whether GMP- or GMPp-like complexes exist in cilioprotists.

Other challenges to the dependence on preexisting C/BBs for the formation of new C/BBs came from experiments testing the need for centrioles during cell cycle progression in cultured mammalian cells, and observations on the rapid formation of multiple basal bodies in multiciliated mammalian epithelial cells (summarized by Meunier and Spasskey, in 2016 [110]). Earlier observations had appeared to show in these systems that centrioles could form without the participation of preexisting centrioles. However, Rieder et al., in 2001, found that when centrioles in cultured mammalian cells were removed by surgery during the S phase, or laser ablation during the G2 phase, the cells progressed through mitosis but were arrested in G1, suggesting that all of the functions of the centrioles were not restored [111]. In 2016, Meunier and Spasskey [110] suggested that more recent evidence, derived from super-resolution microscopy and genetic manipulation [112, 113], as well as live cell imaging [114], lends support to the idea that preexisting centrioles transmit structural information to newly forming C/BBs, even when a direct structural association is not readily apparent. Here we see how newer advances in microscopy are helping to revise our understanding of cytoskeletal mechanisms related to C/BB function. Furthermore, these new discoveries are showing how the concept of cytaxis, developed through studies on cilioprotists, may extend to other systems as well, including to mammalian cells.

### 6 Cilioprotist Cell Patterning and Architecture

Understanding the architecture and assembly of basal bodies/centrioles and their associated cytoskeletal elements requires detailed knowledge of the ultrastructure and macromolecular composition of the entire cell cytoskeleton. Cilioprotists have contributed significantly to this knowledge. Proteins of the ciliate infraciliature and their locations have been identified through studies on detergent-extracted cells, and isolated “pellicles” and oral apparatuses, in combination with a variety of techniques for labeling various macromolecular components. Pellicles are fragments of the cell surface enriched for cytoskeletal structures, including basal bodies (for an example from *Paramecium*, see Hufnagel, 1966, 1969 [115, 116]). The extensive contributions of Norman Williams, his wife Ruth Jaeckel-Williams, and his many students and collaborators, on pellicular proteins from the somatic and oral infraciliature of *Tetrahymanella*, were summarized in 2017, by Frankel and Buhse [117]. Abundant information has been gathered concerning the types of proteins present and their properties and their structural...
locations. In 1980, Gavin reported that as many as 138 different polypeptides have been identified in the _Tetrahymena_ oral infraciliature (“oral apparatus”) alone [118].

In _Tetrahymena_, _Paramecium_, and other ciliates, the main cytoskeletal structural proteins (which we can think of as “timbers”) are evolutionarily conserved; they include _tubulins_ [119], _actin_ [120], _myosins_ [121], and _tetrins_ [122]. Also included in this category are proteins that form a thin submembranous layer, the epiplasm, including _epiplasmins_ [123–125] and _articulins_ [126–129]. In _Paramecium_, another cortical cytoskeletal structure, the contractile infraciliary lattice (ICL), is composed mainly of _centrin_ or _centrin-like_ polypeptides [68, 130]. Cilioprotists also share with other members of the infrakingdom Alveolata the presence of a family of proteins called the _alveolins_ [131]. The alveolins contain a repeat motif (EKIVEVP) and may be related to other cortical proteins of ciliates that contain repeat motifs, including the epiplasmins, articulins, and _plateins_, a protein family identified in _Euplotes aediculatus_ by Kloetzel et al. [132]. Various studies, including an early immunofluorescence analysis of morphogenesis in the cilioprotist _Paraurostyla_ by Fleury et al. [64], in which a monoclonal antibody (CTR 210) raised against centrosomes isolated from human lymphoblastic cells was used, have shown that many of the timber proteins of the ciliate infraciliature are members of multigene families also found in mammals, including humans [124, 130]. It will be interesting to see to what extent the “nails and screws” that fasten these timbers together are also evolutionarily conserved, and how they may have diversified to perform localized cytoskeletal assembly functions in the cilioprotists.

There have been many inquiries into the role of centrioles and basal bodies in the nucleation and assembly of other cytoskeletal organelles, such as the mitotic spindle (see below); this is true also for cytoskeletal assembly in cilioprotists. For example, in the experiments using _Paramecium_ mentioned earlier, Beisson et al. [68] investigated the role of basal bodies in nucleating the assembly of the ICL, using immunocytochemistry following experimental disassembly of the ICL. The results suggested that newly developing ICL organization is controlled by preexisting basal body patterning rather than genetic information. However, as mentioned earlier for surgical experiments on cilioprotists, there was also evidence for an underlying genetic influence on patterning. It is expected that further studies will resolve this apparent conflict.

In another example of how cilioprotists can contribute to understanding the role of C/BBs in cytoskeleton assembly and thus cell architecture, in 1993, Fleury et al. [64] used the cross-reacting antibody mentioned earlier (CTR210) to investigate the potential role of cytoskeletal proteins in re-establishing patterning in dedifferentiated cells of the cilioprotist, _Paraurostyla weissi_. In the future, such experimental studies, using cross-reacting
antibodies to conserved C/BB proteins and other proteins implicated in centrosomal functions in other organisms, will likely reveal the usefulness of cilioprotists in elucidating the role of C/BBs in cell patterning and architecture.

Recent studies that identify proteins important in docking and positioning of basal bodies in multiciliated animal cells provide another opportunity to use cross-reacting antibodies to dissect cell patterning in cilioprotists. Experiments on *Xenopus* and zebrafish, by Epting et al. [133], reveal molecular tools that may be usefully applied to cilioprotists, in order to identify proteins and biochemical pathways responsible for basal body migration, interaction with actin fibrils, docking at the cell surface, and spacing. Studies on rat tracheal epithelial cells, by Hegan et al. [134], in which rotational positioning of cilia was altered in Myo1d knockout (KO) cells, provide another such example.

In studies on *Paramecium*, Iftode et al. [135] demonstrated how fluorescent antibodies specific for certain cytoskeletal structural proteins, in conjunction with scanning confocal microscopy, can be used to follow the participation of specific proteins in establishing rotational positioning of basal bodies. More recently, the roles of two conserved proteins (OFD1 and VFL3) in positioning and anchoring of basal bodies in *Paramecium* were examined by Benguehdach et al. [136]. A combination of gene silencing, immunolabeling, and light and electron microscopy was used. The results revealed a conserved role for OFD1 in anchoring of motile cilia and a role for VFL3 in establishing rotational asymmetry of the basal body. Rotational positioning is an early event in the development of the oral ciliature of cilioprotists, when a rotationally disarrayed field of basal bodies is transformed into orderly rows [69]. KO mutants that selectively affect ciliate oral morphogenesis could be identified through their effects on both swimming and feeding. These may be useful for investigating the role of less abundant proteins during cytoskeletal assembly in cilioprotists.

In 2016, Galati et al. [137] summarized the literature concerning the importance of multiciliary arrays and their correct assembly, for the generation of proper hydrodynamic flow in the respiratory tract, brain ventricles, and elsewhere. They also demonstrated that automated 3D image analysis can be used to quantify basal body patterning in whole, fixed, and fluorescently labeled *Tetrahymena* cells, using widefield and confocal imaging. Parameters that were quantified included BB frequency during the cell cycle, spacing between BBs, and angular orientation of BBs. In addition, they successfully demonstrated that label intensity averaging can be used to align several labeled proteins relative to each other; this can be utilized to reconstruct macromolecular assemblies and follow their changes during the cytoskeletal events responsible for basal body positioning and growth of associated cortical structures. Many interesting observations resulted from the
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image analysis study of Galati et al. that cannot be adequately covered here. Most importantly for the purpose of this review, their methods were applied to staged, fixed cells; as Galati et al. reminded us, immobilizing live cells for “extended periods of time has deleterious effects on cellular physiology,” and the *Tetrahymena* cell cycle is about 180 min. Looking into the future, it would be desirable to find the means to overcome this problem and adapt their methods to living, immobilized cilioprotist cells, followed throughout the cell cycle.

7 Pericentriolar Material (PCM)

Detailed ultrastructural analysis of the architecture of centrioles and centrosomes has led to recognition of the presence in some cells of a special structure surrounding the centriole, known as the “pericentriolar material” (PCM) [138]. Proteins found in the PCM (aka “centromatrix”) include gamma-tubulin and pericentrin [139]. A detailed molecular comparison of the PCM with material directly surrounding the basal bodies of ciliates seems warranted.

8 Nucleic Acids and Basal Bodies/Centrioles: DNA

Because both centrioles and basal bodies appeared in early studies to be self-reproducing, the idea that they might, like nuclei, contain genetic material in the form of DNA was entertained by some biologists, including the Nobel Prize winner, Andre Lwoff, in his influential 1950 book, *Problems of Morphogenesis in Ciliates* [86]. However, later Lwoff appears to have set aside the concepts of inheritance based on genes in basal bodies (or on ordered cell structure) in his 1962 book *Biological Order* [140], in which he focused his attention on the ability of chromosomal DNA and messenger RNA to explain the diverse aspects of inheritance and evolution in living cells and viruses.

Nevertheless, partly through Lwoff’s influence and partly because it was becoming more and more evident that other self-reproducing organelles, namely, mitochondria and chloroplasts, contain DNA genes, the hunt was on for DNA in basal bodies and centrioles. In 1962, Rampton reported his autoradiographic analysis following incorporation of tritiated thymidine into living *Tetrahymena pyriformis* cells, which included appropriate enzyme controls [141]. In Rampton’s light microscopical studies, the results were negative for the presence of DNA in basal bodies, but Rampton’s results were later open to criticism, because his experiments were not sensitive enough to reveal the DNA now known to be present in mitochondria or the amount of DNA earlier reported by Seaman (1959) to be present in basal body fractions isolated.
from *Tetrahymena pyriformis* [142]. It should be noted that Ramp-uton also did not obtain positive evidence for RNA in *Tetrahymena*’s cytoplasm! This is a good example of the old adage: “Absence of evidence is not necessarily evidence of absence!”

Autoradiographic studies on *Tetrahymena pyriformis* were also reported by Pyne, in 1968, on whole embedded and sectioned cells analyzed at the ultrastructural level [143]. These included a careful quantitative comparison of label over basal bodies and mitochondria. Based on his calculations, Pyne concluded that the amount of DNA that might be present in basal bodies but not detectable by his methods would be too little to code for a protein of average length. While this study would seem to put the idea of basal body DNA genes to rest, it did not entirely rule out the presence of DNA in basal bodies, perhaps a short DNA molecule with an atypical function. Based on the amount of DNA in a *Tetrahymena* mitochondrion reported earlier by Suyama and Preer [144], Hufnagel [145] estimated that even if there were 100 times less DNA in a basal body than in a mitochondrion, it still could code for about 1000 amino acids.

In contrast with these early negative results, in 1965, Randall and Disbrey [146] obtained positive evidence for DNA associated with basal bodies in isolated pellicles of *Tetrahymena*, using staining with acridine orange and labeling with radioactive isotopes specific for DNA. Analogous evidence from *Paramecium*, using similar methods, was obtained by Smith-Sonneborn and Plaut, in 1967 [147]. However, acridine orange binds to double-stranded nucleic acids, whether DNA or RNA [148, 149], and furthermore, the preparative methods did not rule out contamination of the basal body-enriched cell fractions from nucleic acids originating elsewhere in the cell, an issue that has arisen for many of the studies in which positive results were later obtained. Following Seaman’s [142] earlier lead, in 1965, Hoffman [150] and Argetsinger [151] independently attempted to extract and quantitate the DNA associated with isolated basal bodies of *Tetrahymena*, using electron microscopy to show more clearly the presence and degree of abundance of basal bodies in their pellicle isolates. While both detected tiny amounts of DNA by chemical analysis, they concluded that their studies could not rule out contamination by DNA of nuclear origin.

For this reason, in studies reported in 1966 and 1969, Hufnagel [115, 145] further characterized DNA extracted from basal body-rich pellicle fractions of *Paramecium aurelia*, using density gradient centrifugation in cesium chloride. The expectation was that a basal body-specific DNA would have a unique density, different from that of DNA of nuclear or mitochondrial origin, and would therefore appear as a unique satellite peak in the density gradient analyses. *Paramecium* pellicles were prepared from cells homogenized in buffered raffinose and were characterized by
negative staining electron microscopy to assure that basal bodies were present [115, 116]. The majority of the DNA extracted from basal body-enriched pellicle fractions resembled nuclear DNA in density, even when pellicles were washed extensively or prepared from strains having different nuclear DNA densities [145]; this was interpreted to demonstrate that nuclear DNA tends to stick to the pellicles during their preparation and then is not readily removed by washing. While satellite peaks were observed, they occurred sporadically, and their densities resembled those of bacteria known to contaminate the pellicle fractions, namely, *Aerobacter aerogenes*, the bacterium on which *Paramecium aurelia* was grown, and *Bacillus subtilis*, a bacterium being used for research in a neighboring laboratory! However, a small amount of a unique basal body DNA might not have been sufficient to form a visible peak, and could have hidden under the broad peak of nuclear origin. To circumvent the issue of contamination of pellicles by bacterial DNA, Hufnagel and Gall (unpublished) conducted additional CsCl density gradient experiments on isolated pellicles of axenically grown *Tetrahymena*. Again, no apparent satellite peaks that could be identified readily as unique and of possible basal body origin were observed. The results seemed to add to the growing evidence against the presence of basal body DNA.

However, a provocative idea does not die easily! In 1972, Younger et al. [152] reported a study that took advantage of the fact that the oral membranellar bands (MBs) of *Stentor coeruleus* have 15–20,000 closely spaced basal bodies that are replaced almost synchronously when the MBs are regenerated after being experimentally shed. They conducted autoradiographic studies on regenerated MBs that were shed a second time following treatment of the initially shed cells with H³-thymidine. The MBs from the second shedding were collected and mounted on slides and fixed with a combination of glutaraldehyde, formaldehyde, and picric acid, and subjected to autoradiographic analysis. As controls, the effects of four different DNA synthesis inhibitors on incorporation of the radioactive label into the MBs were monitored. In addition, whole cells were fixed with Carnoy’s (an ethanol/chloroform/glacial acetic acid solution), labeled with H³-actinomycin D (H³-AD) and processed for autoradiography. Appropriate controls for specificity of DNA labeling were used. Additional experiments were carried out to test the effects of DNA synthesis inhibitors on basal body regeneration itself. Their results led Younger et al. to conclude that basal body replication is not associated with, nor is it dependent on, DNA synthesis. Although they did detect the presence of DNA associated with basal body sites by autoradiography, they suspected that, because mitochondria were present in the shed MBs, the DNA was of mitochondrial origin. To test this idea, further experiments were carried out to distinguish between a mitochondrial and basal body origin of the DNA. Since
mitochondrial DNA was known to be circular, and ethidium bromide was known to complex with closed, circular DNA and prevent mitochondrial DNA synthesis, Younger et al. tested its effect on incorporation of $\text{H}^3$-thymidine into MB DNA. Suitable concentrations of ethidium bromide had no effect on formation of basal bodies during MB regeneration, even after four successive regenerations, as evaluated by light microscopy. However, it did appear to affect the incorporation of $\text{H}^3$-thymidine into MB DNA, although the number of mitochondria in regenerated MBs did not appear to be affected, based on staining with acid aniline fuchsin. As the authors stated it, “incorporation of $\text{H}^3$-T into DNA of isolated MBs could not be clearly detected in the presence of ethidium bromide”; in other words, no specific quantitative data were reported. Although Younger et al. concluded that their results provided no evidence for basal body DNA, their study left open two possibilities: (1) that basal body DNA of *Stentor* is circular and therefore affected by ethidium bromide, or (2) that the methods were not sensitive enough to detect a small amount of non-mitochondrial, noncircular DNA in the basal body-rich MBs. A quantitative analysis of the autoradiograms was not reported, even though in the same study, such an analysis was carried out during autoradiographic experiments that looked at the incorporation into the MBs of $\text{H}^3$-orotic acid as an RNA precursor. As with most, if not all, of the preceding studies, contamination of the isolated MBs with DNA from other cellular sources also remained a possibility, and the studies were conducted at the light microscopic level.

As described above, Younger et al. [152] found in *Stentor* that basal body replication is not dependent on DNA synthesis. A similar conclusion was reached by Rattner and Phillips [153] in 1973, for procentriole formation in mitotic mouse L929 cells, evaluated in serial sections of Epon-embedded cells examined by transmission electron microscopy. DNA synthesis was inhibited by pretreating the cells, during growth on Petri dishes, with arabinosyl cytosine, at a concentration shown to be effective for inhibiting DNA synthesis in L929 cells. The authors considered whether their observations could speak to whether or not centrioles are semiautonomous structures containing their own DNA. They concluded that their data did not demonstrate better than 99% inhibition of DNA synthesis, and thus left open the possibility that a small amount of DNA synthesis, such as might take place even in mitochondria, may have occurred. Therefore, they concluded that their experiments could not address the question of centriolar DNA.

More recent efforts to address whether BB/C DNA exists have come from studies on the biflagellate alga, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, which has the advantages of a long history as a model system for flagellogenesis and a well-studied genome. In 1989, Hall, Ramanis, and Luck [154] reported a new type of positive
evidence for the presence of DNA in Chlamydomonas basal bodies. Chlamydomonas has two basal bodies that generate its flagella and change location to become centrioles for the mitotic spindle. During the meiotic life cycle, centrioles disappear during gametic fusion and reappear during the first meiotic division. In a mutant strain of C. reinhardtii, one of the two flagella fails to form; the mutation affects the development of the distal portion of its basal body. This so-called uni mutation had been found to segregate 2:2, as for nuclear markers (i.e., exhibiting Mendelian inheritance). However, the uni mutation was not linked to any of the 18 known nuclear linkage groups in Chlamydomonas [155]. Nevertheless, it was linked to several mutations that influence flagellar function or assembly, via their effects on the basal body, and this “uni” linkage group (ULG; aka “uni chromosome”) was found to be circular [155]. These findings caused Hall et al. [154] to investigate further the properties of the ULG, and examine whether it constituted a basal body-located genome. A restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) was constructed and was demonstrated to be linked to the ULG. Further cloning steps were undertaken, to produce a ULG-specific probe that could be used in pulsed-field gel electrophoresis to identify the uni chromosome and characterize its size; this was found to be between 5.7 and 9 megabases. In gametic cells, the uni chromosome appeared to be linear.

Using their ULG-specific probe, Hall et al. [154] utilized in situ hybridization to localize the uni chromosome in vegetative cells of a cell wall-less mutant. The cells were attached to glass slides, fixed briefly with paraformaldehyde, and treated with detergent (Triton-X100) and pronase. Phase contrast microscopy revealed highly disrupted cell structures, consisting mainly of nuclei, basal bodies, and flagella, with some remnants of chloroplasts (including their nucleoids) and pyrenoids. ULG-specific probes, labeled with biotinylated dUTP by nick translation, were hybridized to the detergent- and pronase-treated cells. Controls were run using probes specific for chloroplast and nuclear rDNA. Positive hybridization of all probes was detected by subsequent application of fluorescein-avidin. The slides were also stained for DNA with the fluorescent label DAPI. The authors presented results showing that DAPI positively stained nuclei, chloroplast nucleoids, and basal bodies. The control in situ hybridization probes gave results consistent with their specific target organelles, nuclear labeling, or chloroplast nucleoid labeling, but no basal body labeling. The authors reported that the ULG-specific probe uniquely labeled basal bodies, usually giving “a cluster of signals” on each pair of basal bodies, in every case corresponding to positive DAPI staining of the basal bodies. Most frequently, the ULG-specific labeling (as well as DAPI staining) of basal bodies was seen in images where proteolysis appeared most extensive and chloroplast DAPI staining was absent (indicating a loss of DNA.
from chloroplasts during the preparative procedure); however, in some cases, the labeling of basal bodies occurred even when DAPI staining of chloroplasts also occurred, indicating that the cells were less disrupted by the detergent and pronase treatment. Apparently, basal bodies were positively labeled with probes representing two different regions of the uni chromosome. To determine whether the uni-specific signals could be due to RNA rather than DNA, controls were run in which the preparations were treated with pancreatic RNase either before or after hybridization. In both cases, there was no effect on ULG-specific labeling. However, no positive controls were reported, to demonstrate that the enzyme was active under the conditions used.

The fluorescent signal representing ULG-specific labeling occurred as one or two spots per basal body, with two, three, or four signals per basal body pair. Further experiments led Hall et al. to conclude that each basal body is associated with one uni chromosome, and that the variable number of signals per basal body had to do with the accessibility of the probe to the uni chromosome. Thus, they concluded, each cell has two uni chromosomes. Other possibly significant conclusions reached by Hall et al. are as follows: (1) there was no evidence for uni-specific DNA in the nucleus, although according to them the experiments were not sensitive enough to rule out this possibility; (2) the uni chromosome would most likely be located in the lumen of the basal body, since pronase treatment was required for its detection; and (3) uni chromosome DNA would be in a form more dense than for nuclear DNA [156] but less dense than the T4 phage head DNA. Hall et al. also recognized and discussed various issues inherent during meiosis in Chlamydomonas, when centrioles disappear and reappear, and recombination between parental uni chromosomes is known to occur [155].

While there are many questions one can raise about the methods used in these in situ experiments on Chlamydomonas, the most apparent issue has to do with the pronase treatment. Was it needed to expose a tightly packed DNA molecule present in basal bodies, or did it allow contaminating DNA to be released from the nuclei or chloroplasts and contaminate the basal bodies? The specificity of the results speaks against the latter possibility, unless the binding of nuclear DNA is somehow selective for a contaminating uni chromosome of nuclear origin, a conclusion that is hard to accept without further evidence; however, see results of Hall and Luck [157], described below. Another concern, addressed by Hall et al., and by others, including Goodenough [158], Johnson and Dutcher [159], and Johnson and Rosenbaum [160], is whether the amount of DNA that constitutes the uni chromosome can be packed into the space available in a basal body. Even if it could, there would be spatial constraints, so Hall et al. envisioned a situation in which the DNA chromosome would be inactive during
interphase but transcriptionally and synthetically active during basal body duplication, and they raised the possibility that the uni chromosome might migrate from the lumen to the surface of the basal body, perhaps also cycling between the nucleus and basal bodies, and they proposed that the chromosome might alternate between a linear form and a circular form in concert with meiotic events.

The ULG is also known as linkage group XIX. In 1991, Johnson and Dutcher [159] reported studies on linkage group XIX of C. reinhardtii, which appeared to provide evidence against the localization of the ULG in basal bodies. Johnson and Dutcher hypothesized that if linkage group XIX is found in the basal bodies, then haploid cells (which have two basal bodies) will have at least two copies of ULG DNA, whereas if linkage group XIX is located in the nucleus rather than the basal bodies, then the number of copies of ULG DNA should be the same as for known nuclear linkage groups, whether the strain examined is haploid or diploid. To test this idea, they sought to develop methods that would be sensitive enough to distinguish a twofold difference in copy number between different chromosomes, and to do this made use of so-called Gulliver elements that are present on linkage group XIX and on other linkage groups as well. After a series of experiments was conducted to develop and validate their methods, evidence was obtained, through quantitative analysis of autoradiograms of DNA separated on agarose gels, that linkage group XIX and other nuclear linkage groups are present in the same number per cell, whether the cells are haploid or diploid. They also measured relative copy number (hybridization intensity) of linkage groups in mutant strains with altered basal body number, including a strain in which over 98% of cells have no recognizable basal bodies (having the so-called bald2 mutation). Relative hybridization intensity was the same as for wild-type strains, even though basal bodies were absent in >98% of the cells. In addition, they also looked at relative copy number of linkage group XIX in strains with a mutation (vft2) causing cells to have a variable number of basal bodies, and found no effect on relative copy number of linkage groups; however, since most cells have between zero and two basal bodies per cell, they concluded that their results with the vft2 mutant were not sensitive enough to distinguish between a nuclear and basal body location for linkage group XIX. In their discussion of results, Johnson and Dutcher touched on several alternatives and issues. One issue is that linkage group XIX is present in cells lacking detectable basal bodies; this would seem to argue strongly against a basal body location of the uni chromosome. Another is a packing issue, having to do with how two copies of the group XIX chromosome could be packed inside one basal body, in cells having only one basal body but two XIX copies; this was especially concerning, since questions had already arisen about how even one uni chromosome could fit inside a basal body (see above; also Hall et al., 1989 [154]; Goodenough,
A third issue was with cells having only one detectable linkage group XIX copy but two basal bodies. Johnson and Dutcher concluded that their measurements of copy number were more accurate than those of Hall et al.; their detailed arguments for this seem reasonable. Furthermore, they pointed out several possible weaknesses in the in situ methods and results described by Hall et al. They even suggested that the Hall et al. results could be due to the presence of RNA, even though RNase controls had been run, since evidence for RNA in basal bodies had been previously reported (see more on this important concern in Subheading 9).

Based on these issues and their own observations, Johnson and Dutcher concluded that linkage group XIX must reside in the nucleus and not in the basal bodies. Their extremely detailed discussion of the known and unknown properties of linkage group XIX leads one to conclude that at the time of their analysis, much remained to be learned about the \textit{uni} chromosome, as well as about the \textit{Chlamydomonas} basal body itself and its composition and assembly.

Around the same time as the positive and negative papers of Hall et al. [154] and Johnson and Dutcher [159], two additional papers appeared, both of which presented strong evidence against the presence of DNA in basal bodies of \textit{Chlamydomonas} [160, 161]. In the first of these, reported in 1990, Johnson and Rosenbaum [160] expressed concern about the disruptive preparative methods that had been used in previous cytological studies that had purported to demonstrate the presence of DNA in basal bodies, and suggested that these methods might allow DNA from other cellular sources to contaminate C/BBs. To circumvent cell disruption, they fixed \textit{Chlamydomonas} cells with glutaraldehyde, embedded them in LR White resin, cut ultrathin sections, immunogold labeled them using an anti-DNA monoclonal antibody (MAb030; from Chemicon), and viewed the sections by transmission electron microscopy. Labeling was evidenced by the presence of gold particles on the lightly stained thin sections. This procedure was thought to prevent the migration of DNA to the basal bodies from other locations in the cells, and it also provided better resolution than in previous LM studies. The monoclonal antibody they used has high activity for double-stranded DNA, has moderate activity for single-stranded DNA, and does not cross-react with RNA, or with synthetic DNA base homopolymers. Controls included pre-application of DNase 1 prior to treatment with the primary antibody. Accessibility of primary and secondary antibodies was demonstrated through control experiments in which an antiserum specific for alpha-tubulin was used in place of the anti-DNA monoclonals.

In examining their results from vegetative cells, Johnson and Rosenbaum [160] found moderate DNase-removable labeling with gold particles over nuclei, similar to that reported by others.
using the same methods. Most of the label was over chromatin masses arranged around the periphery of the nuclei or around nucleoli; however, while they sometimes saw label over the nucleoli themselves, this apparently was sporadic. Label was also seen over the chloroplast nucleoids. Only occasionally, gold particles were seen over mitochondria. No gold labeling above background levels was seen over sectioned basal bodies. Some sections of the Epon-embedded cells were treated with proteinase K in an effort to expose more of the available DNA to labeling. While this increased somewhat the amount of labeling over nuclei and chloroplast nucleoids, no significant labeling was revealed over basal bodies in their sections. Similar experiments, using gametic cells and a different anti-DNA monoclonal antibody (MAb031), gave similar results, with label over nuclei and chloroplast nucleoids and none over basal bodies. DNase 1 applied to proteinase K-treated sections prior to labeling “almost completely” abolished the nuclear and chloroplast labeling, while treatment with gold-labeled secondary antibody alone gave “essentially no signal,” supporting the specificity of the primary antibody and the procedure for DNA. Antiserum against alpha-tubulin, followed by gold-labeled secondary antibody, gave positive labeling of basal body microtubules, demonstrating accessibility of the antibodies and reagents to the basal bodies in sections. To test whether DNA in a compacted form would label with their procedure, they tested the procedure on the very compact, transcriptionally inactive DNA of sea urchin sperm nuclei. Label was seen over the nuclei, but none over the nearby basal bodies.

In reporting experiments with negative results, it is important to apply quantitative analysis to the data; Johnson and Rosenbaum attempted to do this. They compared the amount of DNA expected to be in a basal body, 6–9 Mb (Mb = million base pairs or Mbp) (based on the size of the uni chromosome [154]), with the amount estimated to be in a chloroplast nucleoid (~2 Mb). It was concluded that the amount of label over a basal body should be several times greater than over a nucleoid, when in fact no detectable label was observed. Similar comparisons were made with the estimated DNA in a mitochondrion of Chlamydomonas. Assuming that each mitochondrion contained one to ten copies of the 16 kb mitochondrial genome, they estimated that their method could detect less than 200 kb of DNA (1 Mb = 10^3 kb). Since 200 kb is a significantly smaller number than 6–9 Mb, they expected that the DNA in a basal body should have been detectable. However, this conclusion was based on the assumption that an entire uni chromosome occurs in each basal body. This might not be the case. Also, they only occasionally observed label over the mitochondria, thus calling into question their conclusions about the resolution of their methods. Because there was no detectable label over basal bodies, obviously no quantitative measurements of number of gold particles in a unit
area over basal bodies versus over DNA-containing organelles could be done, to provide a way to estimate the upper limit on the amount of DNA that might be present but not detectable by their methods. However, they could have done an analysis of the number of gold particles over the mitochondria alone, as had been done previously by Pyne [143], to get a more quantitative idea of the limit of resolution of their experiments. Furthermore, an interesting additional control might have been to trap known concentrations of DNA in protein gels, fix, embed, and section the gels and determine the minimum concentration of DNA that could be detected by their methods.

In light of the Hall et al. [154] experiments, which appear to show the need for both detergent and pronase treatment to make basal body DNA accessible to in situ labeling, the experiment of Johnson and Rosenbaum, showing that the compacted DNA of sea urchin sperm nuclei can be labeled, is of interest. However, sperm nuclei contain a very large concentration of DNA, yet the concentration of gold particles over the nucleus shown by Johnson and Rosenbaum is not overwhelming. Furthermore, compacted nuclear DNA, as in mammalian sperm, has been shown to be altered, for example, by the formation of disulfide bonds [162], and to exhibit a progressive diminution in binding of H3-actinomycin D during compaction [163], and this could also be the case for compacted basal body DNA. Therefore, the results obtained by Johnson and Rosenbaum, on labeling of sea urchin sperm DNA, may not demonstrate that their methods are suitable for detecting basal body DNA if it were compacted. A small amount of compacted DNA, perhaps less than would code for a typical protein, might have been undetectable by their methods.

Additional evidence against DNA in Chlamydomonas basal bodies was reported by Kuroiwa et al., also in 1990 [161]. These investigators recognized the need to resolve a growing conflict over whether basal bodies might contain trace amounts of DNA. They determined that the volume of the C. reinhardtii basal body core is less than about 0.0098μm³, or about half the volume of the nucleoid of Escherichia coli. Also, they determined that the size of the uni chromosome in Chlamydomonas (6–9 Mb) is similar to that of the E. coli genome (9 Mb; [164]). Kuroiwa and his associates [165] had developed an improved, high-resolution epifluorescence system to measure amounts of DNA as little as 50 × 10³ base pairs (50 kb). With this system, they had found that bacterial DNA can be seen easily after staining the cells with DAPI or ethidium bromide [166, 167]. Assuming that the uni chromosome is located in the basal body core, Kuroiwa et al. [161] surmised that the uni DNA in the basal body should be readily visible too. They prepared slides of whole vegetative cells, gametes, and isolated cell structures, called FBC complexes, that consisted of the cell nucleus, a pair of basal bodies, and their flagella; FB complexes, prepared from FBC
complexes by vigorous pipetting to remove the nuclei, were also attached to slides. The slides were immunolabeled for alpha-tubulin using a rat monoclonal antibody followed by an FITC-labeled secondary antibody. They were post-stained for DNA with DAPI, and viewed by epifluorescence microscopy. The slides were viewed and photographed; in addition, fluorimetric measurements were made using VIMPICS (Hamamatsu Photonics, Ltd.). In summary, they found no evidence of staining of DNA in basal bodies in vegetative cells, gametes, and zygotes and calculated that if small amounts of DNA were present, the quantity would be less than $1.5 \times 10^6$ base pairs (1.5 Mb) per basal body. The same held true for basal bodies of isolated FB complexes, while added *E. coli* and nuclei did show staining for DNA. However, when cells were treated with pronase, DNA was detected in association with the basal bodies, suggesting to them that pronase treatment released nuclear DNA that then became associated with the basal bodies. These studies of Kuroiwa et al. appear to further call into question the positive findings of Hall et al. [154], and support the nuclear source of basal body-associated DNA in cells treated with pronase. However, they do not eliminate the possibility that a functionally significant amount of DNA (less than 1.5 Mb) might be present and not be detectable by their methods.

In 1991, Johnson and Rosenbaum reviewed the accumulated positive and negative evidence regarding the presence of DNA in basal bodies or centrioles, particularly that obtained from studies on *Chlamydomonas* [168]. They concluded that “DNA does not exist in centrioles.” Perhaps in response to concerns about their methods expressed by Johnson and Rosenbaum and others, but also to extend their earlier experiments showing evidence for the presence of the uni chromosome in basal bodies [154], Hall and Luck [157], in a study reported in 1995, sought to improve on their methods for in situ hybridization labeling of the uni chromosome in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. One goal was to determine whether the uni chromosome could be found in nuclei, which required methods that allowed better access of the labeling reagents to nuclear DNA. The methods selected are those commonly used to map genes on mouse or human metaphase chromosomes, at the resolution of standard fluorescence light microscopy. Synchronized and vegetative cells of a cell wall-less strain of *C. reinhardtii* were fixed with 3:1 methanol/acetic acid, either before or after attachment to glass slides. Three different uni-linked YACs (yeast artificial chromosomes, used for cloning DNA) were labeled with biotin or digoxigenin and used to detect the uni chromosome; biotin-tagged sequences were detected with streptavidin-fluorescein, and digoxigenin-tagged sequences were detected with a rhodamine-labeled anti-digoxigenin IgG. A mouse monoclonal antibody was used to detect acetylated alpha-tubulin, using a secondary antibody tagged with either fluorescein or Texas Red. To develop and
validate their methods, *Chlamydomonas* metaphase spreads from synchronous cultures were used, along with a probe for a “dispersed moderately repetitive element called TOC1,” which is about 6 kb long and occurs 22–24 times in the strain used in the studies. Using these new, validated in situ methods, and the uni-linked YAC probes, Hall and Luck found that in mitotic cells, the uni chromosome appeared to be found among other chromosomes at the metaphase plate, as expected for a typical nuclear chromosome. However, in interphase cells, reproducible results were obtained that localized the uni-linked probes, and therefore the uni chromosome, to the anterior pole of the nucleus, opposite to the chloroplast nucleoid and pyrenoid, and away from probes identifying other nuclear chromosomes. This location of the uni chromosome, at the anterior edge of the nucleus, was also shown to be close to the location of the flagellar basal bodies, which were localized using immunofluorescence and anti-tubulin antibodies. Greater than 80% of 62 cells examined gave results confirming this location of the uni chromosome in interphase cells. Contrary to their earlier evidence, Hall and Luck also found that the uni chromosome appeared to be present in a normal copy number per cell. Without any ultrastructural evidence to the contrary, it seems evident from their results that the uni chromosome has a nuclear location in mitotic cells, but within the interphase nucleus, it assumes a position, close to the basal bodies, that would facilitate access of at least some of its gene products to their places of action at or near the basal body.

In spite of a variety of types of evidence to the contrary, by 2000 it appeared convincing to many, including Marshall and Rosenbaum [169], as well as Pederson [170], that basal bodies and centrioles do not contain DNA genomes. However, the possible presence of genetic material, either DNA or RNA, within these organelles, continued to remain a topic of discussion among cell biologists [169, 170, 62]. In fact, as recently as 2008, another interested researcher, Mark Alliegro, still considered the question of DNA in centrosomes (and thus centrioles?) to be “unresolved” [171]. The observations on *Chlamydomonas* by Hall and Luck [157], that the uni chromosome is nuclear, but may come to reside in a region of the nucleus that is very close to the basal bodies in interphase cells, suggests the possibility that some or all of the uni chromosome might be able to shuttle into and out of the basal bodies, an idea that had been suggested earlier by Goodenough [158]. Alternatively, the close positioning of the uni chromosome near the basal bodies may facilitate the transfer of uni-derived messenger RNA to the environs of the basal body. In either case, further studies in *Chlamydomonas*, and in ciliated protists as well, using cryoelectron microscopy and newer methods of super-resolution light microscopy, in combination with single molecule labeling, seem called for.
So, do C/BBs contain DNA? The evidence seems less and less convincing. But living cells have ways of surprising us, so let us remain open to this possibility. Perhaps there are DNA sequences that have eluded our detection in most studies, due to size or how they are packaged; perhaps DNA can shuttle into and out of C/BBs, at certain times and for certain purposes that have so far been unrecognized. Perhaps C/BB DNA is only found in some organisms. What does seem likely is that basal bodies probably do not have independent DNA genomes; if coding sequences are present, they probably are also found in the nucleus. Or perhaps the DNA molecules serve in noncanonical ways. At any rate, the advent of new imaging and labeling technologies, mentioned above and also below, may provide novel ways to look into C/BBs without disturbing their molecular architecture or introducing contaminating molecules, and with the possibility of observing molecular behavior in living cells. These new methods may yet reveal evidence for DNA in basal bodies.

9 Nucleic Acids and Basal Bodies/Centrioles: RNA

Early evidence to suggest the presence of RNA in association with microtubule-containing organelles, in particular MTOCs such as C/BBs, has been summarized by others [60, 61, 169, 171, 172]. Interestingly, although the spindle pole body of yeast is considered to be functionally equivalent to the centrosome [55, 173], and was early reported by Unger to contain RNA [174], there do not appear to be any follow-up studies to validate Unger’s claim in this easily studied organism. Of particular note here are early reports by Seaman [142], Argetsinger [151], and Hartman et al. [175] of the presence of RNA in isolated basal body fractions of the ciliate *Tetrahymena pyriformis*.

In 1976, Zackroff et al. [61] followed up earlier reports that suggested a role for RNA in MTOC-directed microtubule assembly, by conducting experiments that showed that RNase A and other polycations, as well as anionic RNA homopolymers, such as polyguanylic acid, polyuridylic acid, and polyadenylic acid (but not polycytidylic acid), can affect astral fiber (microtubule) length during aster reassembly from crude “microtubule organizing center”-containing homogenates of oocytes of the surf clam, *Spisula solidissima*. RNase A is a polycation, and might have exerted its effects by sequestering tubulin, which is anionic. Other polycations without RNase activity also caused structural alterations in asters that were similar to those caused by RNase A. Nevertheless, Zackroff et al. also found that the enzymatically active form of RNase caused reduction in astral fiber length at concentrations lower than the enzymatically inactive (oxidized) form. Polyguanylic acid, polyuridylic acid, and polyadenylic acid, on the other hand,
caused augmentation of astral fiber length. Polyguanylic acid also enhanced pole-to-pole fiber assembly in isolated spindles. Polyguanylic acid (PGA) is an inhibitor of some RNases [176], and Zackroff et al. suggested that PGA might enhance astral fiber length by interfering with endogenous oocyte RNase activity, present in the crude homogenates. Indeed, PGA also reversed the inhibitory effect on astral fiber length by exogenously added RNase A. Since this effect was specific for certain RNA homopolymers, Zackroff et al. postulated that one possible explanation for these results is that Spisula oocytes might contain a centrosome-associated RNA that might have a role in controlling microtubule assembly during aster formation. Furthermore, specific RNA sequence(s) appeared to be required, as some, but not all, RNA homopolymers promoted astral fiber assembly, while unfractionated yeast RNA had no apparent effect on fiber length. It was therefore suggested that specific RNA homopolymers might mimic or replace an endogenous Spisula centrosomal RNA in the centrosomal microtubule organizing center. If this were the case, then RNA in centrosomes might function either as a scaffold or in regulating centrosome-nucleated microtubule assembly. The results of these experiments therefore suggested that Spisula oocytes might contain a species-specific centrosome-associated RNA and that this RNA might have a role in controlling microtubule assembly during aster formation.

Similar evidence, that basal bodies contain RNA capable of nucleating aster formation, was reported in 1977, by Heidemann et al. [177]. In contrast to the studies on Spisula homogenates, their experiments were conducted in vivo. The experiments were based on their earlier finding that when purified basal bodies from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii and Tetrahymena pyriformis were injected into unfertilized oocytes of Xenopus laevis, asters were induced to form, whereas microtubular structures, such as flagellar axonemes and brain microtubules, did not induce aster formation [178]. In 1977, Heidemann et al. [177] isolated basal bodies from Chlamydomonas and Tetrahymena and injected them into Xenopus laevis oocytes, with or without pretreatment of the basal body fractions with RNase A, RNase T1, DNase, or proteolytic enzymes. Untreated or DNase-treated basal bodies induced the formation of asters; however, treatment with RNases or some proteases knocked out the aster-forming activity. But unlike the Spisula experiments, in their studies RNase treatment did not interfere with microtubule elongation.

In 1979, ultrastructural evidence for RNA associated with centrioles of cultured newt (Taricha granulosa) lung epithelial cells was reported by Rieder [179]. Selected metaphase or early anaphase cells were fixed in buffered glutaraldehyde, embedded in Epon, serially sectioned to produce thick sections, stained for ribonucleoprotein (RNP) by a modification of Bernard’s uranyl-
EDTA-lead method [180], and viewed and photographed by high-voltage electron microscopy. To detect staining due to RNP, after fixation some cells were treated with pancreatic ribonuclease followed by perchloric acid (PCA) extraction, before being embedded in Epon. The RNase had been pretreated by boiling to remove protease activity. In metaphase and early anaphase cells, the centrioles were the most intensely stained parts of the spindle. The RNase-removable, electron-opaque staining was closely associated with the external surface of the centrioles, extending along the lengths of the microtubule triplets. Pericentriolar material (PCM) did not appear to stain. While staining associated with the centriolar triplets was removed by RNase treatment, it was not affected by cold PCA extraction itself or when other positively charged proteins were substituted for the RNase. Similar RNase-sensitive staining was present on the kinetochores, structures known to contain ribonucleoprotein. Rieder discussed his findings in relation to previous proposals for how centriolar RNA could function. The possibilities included (1) initiation of aster formation (as discussed earlier by Heidemann et al. [177]), (2) a role in procentriole formation [181, 182], and (3) serving as a centriolar genome that replicates by way of a DNA intermediate, using a reverse transcriptase [183]. It now appears that a fourth possibility should also be considered: direct RNA-templated RNA polymerization, as described by others, including Jain et al., in 2020 [184]. As will be seen later, a new type of evidence, supporting more than one of these ideas, took over a decade to appear.

Meanwhile, in 1980, Pepper and Brinkley [185] provided additional microscopical evidence supporting the presence of RNA in centrosomes, and its participation in microtubule assembly. Their studies utilized transmission electron microscopy on rat kangaroo cells (strain PTK2), preincubated with colcemid to disrupt spindle microtubules, and then lysed with Triton-X100 and incubated with tubulin, with or without RNase. The presence of centrosomal RNA, with a potential role in mitosis and assembly of microtubules, was further supported in 1978, by experimental studies of Peterson and Berns [186] on the effect of nucleic acid-binding psoralens, in combination with focused 365 nm laser light, on mitosis and centriole-associated microtubules, in PTK3 cells.

In 2000, Marshall and Rosenbaum [169] reviewed the existing evidence for centrosomal RNA (cnRNA). After accepting its probable existence, they considered several possible roles: (1) cnRNA might act as a scaffold for microtubule-nucleating proteins, as suggested by Zackroff et al. [61] and others; (2) cnRNA might be needed to assemble a functional centrosome surrounding a centriole; and (3) the centrosome might serve as a way station for the movement of RNA within the cell. Marshall and Rosenbaum further suggested a possible centrosomal role in the intracellular trafficking of messenger RNAs. Additional support for this last idea
took some time to emerge. It came through extensive studies to characterize the RNA associated with *Spisula* oocyte centrosomes [62, 63, 171, 172, 187].

*Spisula* oocyte centrosomes are composed of a pair of centrioles within a pericentriolar matrix. In their initial studies, in 2006, Alliegro et al. [187] isolated a unique set of five RNAs from purified centrosomes of *Spisula* oocytes; one from this set (cnRNA11) was further analyzed by biochemical, in situ hybridization, and sequencing methods, and shown to be more or less associated with centrosomes and to contain an open reading frame (ORF) encoding a predicted 54,000 kDa polypeptide that included “a highly conserved 200-aa reverse transcriptase domain.” This led to their proposal that RNA-templated RNA synthesis (RNA replication) might occur within the centrosome, as had already been proposed in 1977, by Went [183]. The results reported by Alliegro et al. also suggested that 18S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) might be present in the centrosomal fraction. Based on controls used to reduce the likelihood of contamination from RNA originating elsewhere in the cell, the results seemed convincing that purified *Spisula* oocyte centrosomes contain more than one type of RNA, but the significance of this finding remained unresolved (see Pederson’s 2006 analysis of their evidence and conclusions [170]).

Soon after these findings from *Spisula* were reported, in situ hybridization evidence for specific centrosomal RNA sequences was also reported for the invertebrate *Ilyanassa* by Kingsley et al. [188], and for the vertebrate *Xenopus* by Blower et al. [189], as well as in *Drosophila*. Using their newly refined high-resolution fluorescence in situ hybridization (HR-FISH) methods [190] on *Drosophila* embryos and tissues, Le’cuyer et al. [191], in 2007, found that of the 3370 mRNA sequences screened, 71% were localized to specific locations in the cell, including centrosomes, and they concluded that “mRNA localization is a major mechanism for controlling cellular architecture and function.” They also demonstrated the usefulness of co-localizing mRNAs and proteins in the same preparations, and established an online accessible database of their HR-FISH results for *Drosophila*. Such methods have continued to be improved [192, 193] and promise to be important in future studies to dissect the mechanisms behind local control of pattern in the cilioprotists as well.

In 2008, Alliegro and Alliegro [62] also focused on two other *Spisula* cnRNAs, cnRNA15 and cnRNA194. Their findings strengthened their conclusion that presumed cnRNAs are indeed localized to *Spisula* centrosomes and revealed: (1) a possible correlation of cnRNA sequences with those of intron-poor nuclear genes; (2) a possible association of the centrosomes with a nuclear structure, the nucleolinus (NLi) (see below), following the nuclear membrane breakdown that is brought about by oocyte activation; and (3) a similarity of the cnRNA15 antisense sequence
to the sequence of various presenilin genes. Presenilins, proteins implicated in Alzheimer’s disease, previously had been reported to be associated with centrosomes, based on both light and electron microscopic immunolabeling experiments that showed co-localization with γ-tubulin and pericentrin [194, 195], suggesting a role of centrosomes in chromosome segregation [194], and implying a role for presenilins in spindle formation, and in aging. It should be mentioned that a role for centrioles in cellular ageing has been proposed and discussed at length by Chichinadze and Tkemaladze in a series of articles dating from 2005 to 2013 [36, 196–198].

Following their initial discovery of cnRNA in surf clam oocytes, Alliegro and Alliegro [63] obtained evidence for trafficking of ribosomal RNA (rRNA)-transcribed spacer domains to maternal procentrosomes of Spisula oocytes, as well as to a nuclear sub-compartment known as the “nucleolulus” (NLi). The NLi is a long-ignored intra-nucleolar or nucleolus-associated body distinguishable by light microscopy using specialized staining methods [199, 200]; it has been identified in a variety of invertebrate and vertebrate cells, including Spisula oocytes [201, 202]. Evidence was obtained, using phase and differential interference microscopy (DIC) on live oocytes, as well as in situ hybridization to localize specific RNAs and immunofluorescence to localize proteins and riboprobes, that (after their formation) specific transcribed spacer domains are first trafficked to the NLi in the nuclei of unfertilized oocytes, and that (after fertilization) the NLi, along with the transcribed spacer domains, come to be associated with the developing centrosomes and spindle of the fertilized egg [63, 201].

In 2008, based on some of the discoveries described above and those reported by many others, Mark Alliegro [171] reconsidered the possible functions and activities of cnRNA. The candidates included the following: (1) centrosomes might serve as a more or less temporary “way station” for RNAs moving about within the cell or “targeted to the centrosome for distribution to selected blastomeres in order to localize developmental information,” as hypothesized earlier for Ilyanassa embryos by Kingsley et al. [188]; (2) specific messenger RNAs might be targeted to the centrosome for localized translation into centrosome and/or spindle proteins; (3) cnRNAs might serve “as a scaffold upon which organelle-specific proteins can assemble”; and (4) cnRNAs might be “remnants or derivatives of a once independent genome,” presumably derived from a proposed symbiotic progenitor of the centriole (see Subheading 10). This last idea had been supported by earlier evidence reported by Alliegro et al. for reverse transcriptase activity in Spisula centrosomes [187].

In support of Alliegro’s first two hypotheses, and as discussed by him in some detail in 2008 [171], there has been growing evidence for mRNA translation, as well as RNA polyadenylation
at spindle poles \[203, 189\]. There has also been evidence for the localization of specific mRNAs, like cen and ik2 cis-natural antisense mRNAs, to the centrosome in Drosophila [204]. Even as early as 1997, there was evidence that the formation of basal bodies, flagella, and other microtubular structures in Naegleria is accompanied by the trafficking of relevant mRNAs to the basal region of growing flagella [205]. However, it was also becoming clear, at least for Spisula and Ilyanassa, that a large percentage of cnRNAs had sequences that did not correlate with known DNA sequences in available genome databases [188, 171]. This suggested that at least some cnRNAs might not be mRNAs, in which case they might have scaffolding functions in keeping with Alliegro’s third hypothesis. Through further investigations, reviewed in 2020, by Ito et al. [60], these noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) associated with the centrosome have come to be recognized as members of a large class of ncRNAs that include long ncRNAs (LncRNAs; \(>200\) nt) and small ncRNAs (\(<200\) nt). NcRNAs include transfer RNA (tRNA), small nuclear RNA (snRNA), small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA), PIWI-interacting RNA (piRNA), and microRNA (miRNA) [206].

Of particular interest to Ito et al. [60], and to us as well, is that some LncRNAs appear to have scaffolding functions related to their interaction with RNA-binding proteins, and thus may provide a link between centrosomes and assembly of the mitotic apparatus. In at least one case, ncRNAs with a role in assembly of cell structures have been referred to as “architectural RNAs” (arcRNAs) [207]. Also of possible significance is the fact that noncoding RNAs are known to be abundant in the macronucleus of cilioprotists, where they have an important role in guiding genome editing and rearrangements during macronuclear development and appear to facilitate epigenetic inheritance (see Allen and Nowacki, 2020, for a useful summary and discussion of macronuclear ncRNAs in cilioprotists [208]). This raises the possibility that ncRNAs associated with cilioprotist basal bodies may mediate an influence of the basal bodies on gene expression in macronuclei. At a more theoretical level, Chapman and Alliegro [209] have called attention to the coevolution of the eukaryotic nucleus and the C/BB with its associated cytoskeletal complex (together called the “karyomastigont”) and have proposed “that the proteomes and transcriptomes of karyomastigont components should be assembled in their entirety and analyzed using a shotgun approach.”

The evidence seems clear that centrosomes from a variety of invertebrate and vertebrate cells contain a panoply of RNA molecules with several likely functions, including an architectural role in spindle assembly. However, a variety of questions arise when considering the relevance for basal body-containing ciliates. Firstly, where within the centrosome are each of these cnRNAs localized, and by extension, where in the cortex of ciliates? Secondly, are some
cnRNAs closely associated with or incorporated into the structure of the centriole or basal body? What about the peri-basal body region? There seems to be a lack of cytological studies at sufficient resolution to answer these questions. In an early study, Dippell [210] examined this question for basal bodies of *Paramecium*, using RNase treatment and ultrastructural analysis. She observed that RNase completely removed a complex of fibers and granules located within the core of the basal body (the “luminal complex”), but the interpretation of this finding remains unresolved, since her RNase may have been contaminated with proteases (see Alliegro, 2011 [172], for further discussion of this issue). Clearly, further studies along these lines are badly needed, using the most purified enzyme reagents, advanced fluorescent probes, and high-resolution cryoelectron microscopy.

If RNAs do exist within the basal bodies of ciliates, what functional role(s) might they have in relation to cell architecture? Are there architectural RNAs that guide or otherwise affect the assembly of the microtubular structures associated with their basal bodies? Do specific RNAs exist that help to specify the dimensions of cortical units in different parts of the cell cortex? Do RNA molecules play a part in helping surgically altered cells to gradually return to their species-specific architectures? It seems surprising that studies to answer these questions have not already been conducted in the ciliates, especially those whose genomes have been sequenced, such as *Tetrahymena*. Perhaps of great significance here are experiments conducted years ago by Frankel [211, 212], as well as other, earlier, studies discussed by him. Frankel tested the effects of high temperatures [211] (1964) and nucleic acid (NA) antagonists [212] (1965) on the development of oral structures in *Tetrahymena pyriformis*. Elevated temperatures caused abnormal oral patterns to develop, while NA antagonists (5-fluorodeoxyuridine, 5-fluorouridine, actinomycin D, and p-fluorophenylalanine) were found to inhibit cell division and oral morphogenesis at higher concentrations, but did not affect oral patterning (size or completeness). Frankel suggested that heat might selectively affect the assembly of specific cytoskeletal components, thus having more localized effects, whereas NA antagonists might “reduce the supply of correct oral precursor macromolecules” (presumably proteins), and thus might have a more global effect on cytoskeletal events. Frankel’s experiments appear to speak against the idea of RNA having localized control over cellular pattern. However, it is possible that when all RNA synthesis was inhibited, any local activity of architectural RNA molecules in cytoskeletal assembly would have been masked by general effects of NA antagonists on the regulatory mechanisms underlying cell division and oral morphogenesis.
10 Origin of Centrioles and Basal Bodies

The increasingly positive evidence for RNA in centrosomes, and possibly within C/BBs themselves, has had an effect on long-ongoing discussions about the origin of cilia, flagella, and C/BBs. As mentioned earlier, one idea was that C/BBs arose gradually, by direct filiation from simpler cellular structures [43]. A version of this idea of an endogenous origin was argued in detail by Rizzotti [213]. However, the early appearance of C/BBs and C/BB-like organelles in the eukaryotes seems to argue against this idea. Also, early C/BB-like structures appeared at the outset to have the ability to self-reproduce. In part for these reasons, in 1967, Sagan [214] proposed that a spirochaete symbiont was the origin of the basal body/cilium complex. Margulis later argued in favor of this idea [215, 216]. However, with the discovery of RNA in centrioles and other supportive evidence, in 2007, Satir et al. [104] drew attention to weaknesses in the bacterial endosymbiont theory and proposed an origin from an enveloped RNA virus. A key principle underlying these endosymbiont proposals was the property of self-replication exhibited by the C/BB, which Satir et al. argued could not readily be explained by proposals for de novo origin. The idea of a symbiotic prokaryotic or viral origin was reviewed in 2009, by Marshall [217], who had come to believe that the C/BB does not carry its own genome. He felt that it had been proven beyond doubt that C/BBs do not contain their own DNA and that the RNA associated with them is encoded by nuclear genes. Furthermore, there had been many reports of de novo formation of C/BBs. Therefore, Marshall concluded that a symbiotic origin is not required, and C/BBs probably did originate through evolutionary stages from simpler structures, although the stages of their evolution were still unknown.

Because of their elaborate organization, the cilioprotists appear to represent an advanced stage in the evolution of the C/BB, and therefore not good candidates for uncovering C/BB origin. However, as members of the Alveolata, the cilioprotists do have deep roots in the tree of life [218], and therefore must have had a long time to develop complexity. Nevertheless, if we can better understand how cilioprotist architectural diversity has been evolving, perhaps we may uncover clues regarding how C/BBs originated and the steps in their evolution.

11 Unanswered Questions and Unused Methods

11.1 First of All, Why Use Cilioprotists as Models?

In reviewing the vast literature about C/BBs and the cytoskeleton, it seems clear that enormous advances have been made within the past 20 years in our quest to understand the assembly and
functioning of C/BBs and their interactions with the cell cytoskeleton. A great many of these new discoveries have been made using model systems other than cilioprotists, including *Chlamydomonas*, *Drosophila*, *Spisula*, mammals, and even human cells. Many new technical advances have made research on cells of higher organisms much easier than in the past. However, many recent advances have been based on earlier discoveries about cilioprotist cells. As we have seen, even recently, cilioprotists have contributed to our understanding of eukaryotic cell architecture and its molecular composition, assembly, and disassembly. But, what about the future? Will cilioprotists still have advantages over mammalian cells?

Most clearly, they will! Cilioprotists have the advantage of numerical supremacy, having abundant C/BBs instead of just a few. Also, they are generally easy to culture and to expose to experimental conditions. In addition, their cytoskeletons contain many conserved proteins, and they have highly patterned, globally repetitive but locally varied cytoskeletal architectures that have already been shown to be easy to analyze using high-resolution fluorescence immunocytochemistry [64, 125, 130, 136, 137] and in situ hybridization. The behaviors and interactions of their cytoskeletal macromolecules will be easy to quantitate using advanced microscopic technologies in combination with automated image analysis. Furthermore, their unique cytoskeletal diversification seems to have evolved hand in hand with the development of complexity in their chromosomal organization, raising questions whose answers may have broad implications for how all eukaryotic cells function. With the availability of genetic toolboxes for several different species, it may be possible to reveal basic molecular mechanisms that would be much harder to discover in other organisms. Besides, as Aubusson-Fleury et al. [125, 130] and others have demonstrated so elegantly, cilioprotists, like butterflies, have a certain cellular beauty that is difficult to resist!

### 11.2 Using Cilioprotists as Model Systems, What Do We Still Need to Learn?

#### 11.2.1 Nucleic Acids in C/BBs

If DNA is eventually found in C/BBs, is it a general property of C/BBs or only specific to certain cells, such as *Chlamydomonas*? In other words, is it also found in ciliate BBs and if so where in the BB is it located? In the lumen, closely associated with the microtubule triplets, on the outside of the triplets, or in the cartwheel structure? Is it part of the procentriole or other BB precursor complex? What proteins are associated with it? Can it migrate to and from the nucleus, or elsewhere in the cell? If so, what are the specialized molecular systems responsible for this movement? What is its relationship to nuclear genes? What is its relationship to RNA species that may be present in the basal bodies or nearby cortical regions of ciliates?
It seems likely that RNA is present in association with C/BBs in other organisms, and probably cilioprotists as well. What more can we learn from cilioprotists? Where is RNA located in relation to basal body structure? Is it closely attached to the outside of the basal body triplets, as in the case of the centrioles of newt lung epithelial cells? Are the RNAs that have been found in pellicle fractions of ciliates as diversified as those found in *Spisula*? Do they also include mRNAs, rRNAs, and small noncoding RNAs, and if so, how does each of these function in relation to basal body assembly and assembly of the complex cytoskeletal architecture typical of the ciliates? Do pellicle-associated RNAs include species with reverse transcriptase activity, as in *Spisula*? Do mRNAs that find their way to different regions of the cell surface code for or bind to different proteins? What is their relationship to the coding and noncoding sequences of nuclear DNAs? Do any participate directly in the assembly of the microtubular cortical structures of ciliates, such as the transverse and postciliary ribbons? Is there self-reproducing RNA in ciliate basal bodies? Do ciliate basal bodies serve as storage sites, way stations, or processing stations for noncoding RNAs used to edit chromosomes during macronuclear development?

11.2.2 Proteins

Much of the attention regarding cell cytoskeleton assembly has focused on control of the time and location of assembly of microtubules. However, as described above, there are many other conserved structural proteins in cilioprotists that form highly organized and visible components of the cytoskeleton. All are associated in some way with the ciliary basal bodies. Understanding the properties of these proteins and how their assembly is controlled and coordinated in cilioprotists will have the potential of providing guidance for future research on other model systems, including humans.

11.2.3 Nucleolini

Do ciliates have nucleolini? In *Spisula*, NLi appear to be nuclear staging locations for RNA destined for centrosomes. In their experiments, the Alliegros developed a specific probe for the *Spisula* NLi (see Subheading 9). Perhaps this probe could be used to determine whether ciliate nuclei have NLi.

11.3 Newly Emerging Methods

In 1975, while reviewing what was known then about the assembly and disassembly of microtubular organelles, such as basal bodies in *Tetrahymena*, Norman E. Williams [219] pointed out the need for in vivo analysis for the “total solution of many ----problems!” The present author [220] called attention to the drawback of studies on cells embedded in resins and the opportunities afforded by newly developing microscopical methods to analyze the structure and behavior of individual molecules in their watery environment. Unfortunately, it has taken many years for these dreams to be
fulfilled. However, as demonstrated above, in recent years, many new super-resolution fluorescence microscopy methods have been developed that are starting to make these dreams accessible [221]. Some of these super-resolution methods, which defy the resolution limits of conventional light microscopy, emerged in the early 2000s to win for some of their developers the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 2014 [222]. For the most part, the new technologies have been applied to proteins (their structure, movements, and interactions), but they have also been adapted to nucleic acids, and promise to finally answer the old questions concerning whether basal bodies contain nucleic acids, and if so what roles they play in the theater of the cytoskeleton. Some of these newer methods have been referenced above, and are included among the following: cryoelectron tomography, which eliminates the need to expose cell components to fixatives, embedding media, and other reagents [44–46]; expansion microscopy (ExM) which improves the resolution of light microscopy [92–95]; single-molecule localization microscopy (SMLM), which improves the localization of fluorescent labels at the molecular level [96, 97]; proximity-based labeling methods (BioID) which are used to analyze protein interactions [101]; cross-reacting antibodies and in situ probes, which provide new tools for use in a species of interest; automated 3D image analysis [137], which provides the means to obtain quantitative data quickly; refined methods for high-resolution fluorescence in situ hybridization (HR-FISH), used to localize specific nucleic acid sequences [190]; and super-resolution time-lapse microscopy which extends the effective resolution of fluorescence imaging beyond the diffraction limit [223]. Some of these technologies make use of preserved cells, either chemically fixed or cryofixed, but many are being applied to live cells, with the goal of tracing macromolecular interactions in real time. As an example, the reader is referred to a short paper by Rita Stack that summarizes efforts, now several years old, to observe the translation of single messenger RNAs in living cells [224].

In the future, we can expect other emerging imaging technologies to be adapted to studies on the cytoskeleton of cilioprotists. Examples include (1) microfluidic chips and advanced compression chambers [225–229] that can be used with the newest super-resolution technologies to follow interactions of fluorescently labeled proteins and nucleic acids in immobilized or semi-immobilized live cells; (2) improved fluorescent probes that cause less interference in cellular processes and help improve resolution of differentially labeled macromolecules [230]; (3) improved data processing and storage for live cell imaging and quantitative analysis [231]; and (4) new imaging technologies, such as light sheet microscopy [232], used to minimize fluorophore bleaching and phototoxic effects while improving resolution of light microscopy.
12 Final Thoughts

12.1 Future Evolution of the Cytoskeleton in the Ciliated Protists

It is intriguing to speculate that many eons from now, when a future catastrophic event wipes out all nonmicrobial life, diverse ciliate species may still survive and their cytoskeletons may evolve further to produce a true, complex, Lilliputian society composed of unimaginably capable, creative, and intelligent microorganisms! At present, to consider this idea further, one can only look at the evidence for past evolution within the cilioprotists and for their present molecular and genetic diversity. These topics were addressed in great detail, in 1981, by Lynn [1], who documented the evidence for evolution of architectural complexity and for the existence of macromolecular diversity in ciliates. However, Lynn also stressed the possibility of conservation of structure through time (and thus function as well?) and that this conservation would be somehow “inversely related to the level of biological organization,” thus implying that the evolutionary capability of a single-celled organism could not be as great as that of a multicellular one. Perhaps this is true. However, since Lynn’s 1981 treatise, we have learned more and more about the biochemical and functional complexity of living cells, and especially the cilioprotists, and might want to consider that this complexity itself is not at a standstill but is continuing to diversify, and could give rise to unimagined new subcellular capabilities in the future.
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Part III

Cell and Organelle Motility
3D and 4D Tumorigenesis Model for the Quantitative Analysis of Cancer Cell Behavior and Screening for Anticancer Drugs

Deborah Wessels, Daniel F. Lusche, Edward Voss, and David R. Soll

Abstract

Cancer cells from cell lines and tumor biopsy tissue undergo aggregation and aggregate coalescence when dispersed in a 3D Matrigel™ matrix. Coalescence is a dynamic process mediated by a subset of cells within the population of cancer cells. In contrast, non-tumorigenic cells from normal cell lines and normal tissues do not aggregate or coalesce, nor do they possess the motile cell types that orchestrate coalescence of cancer cells. Therefore, coalescence is a cancer cell-specific phenotype that may drive tumor growth in vivo, especially in cases of field cancerization. Here, we describe a simple 3D tumorigenesis model that takes advantage of the coalescence capabilities of cancer cells and uses this feature as the basis for a screen for treatments that inhibit tumorigenesis. The screen is especially useful in testing monoclonal antibodies that target cell-cell interactions, cell-matrix interactions, cell adhesion molecules, cell surface receptors, and general cell surface markers. The model can also be used for 2D imaging in a 96-well plate for rapid screening and is adaptable for 3D high-resolution assessment. In the latter case, we show how the 3D model can be optically sectioned with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics, then reconstructed in 4D and quantitatively analyzed by computer-assisted methods, or, alternatively, imaged with confocal microscopy for 4D quantitative analysis of cancer cell interactions with normal cells within the tumor microenvironment. We demonstrate reconstructions and quantitative analyses using the advanced image analysis software J3D-DIAS 4.2, in order to illustrate the types of detailed phenotypic characterizations that have proven useful. Other software packages may be able to perform similar types of analyses.

Key words 3D model, Tumorigenesis, Monoclonal antibody, Cancer therapy drug screen, Cell migration, Coalescence

1 Introduction

We describe a straightforward, in vitro 3D model to study tumorigenesis, cell-cell interactions within the tumor microenvironment (TME), and metastasis in real time [1–3]. Importantly, the model is useful for testing the effects of drugs with therapeutic potential, including function-blocking antibodies, on these disease processes.
The model is based on the observation that tumorigenic cell lines and cancer cells isolated from fresh tumor biopsies form aggregates that undergo coalescence when dispersed in Matrigel®, a transparent, naturally derived, reconstituted 3D basement membrane matrix [5]. Coalescence is a dynamic event, mediated by a subset of cells within the aggregates that can move through the matrix, form bridges between aggregates, and contract to pull the aggregates together [1, 2]. In vivo evidence for coalescence suggests it may contribute to tumor growth in tissue where multiple early stage neoplasms are present, i.e., in cases of field cancerization [6, 7].

As a rapid screen for potential anticancer drugs, the coalescence assay can be performed in a 96-well plate [4]. For a higher resolution study, the assay can be optically sectioned using DIC optics and reconstructed in 4D in the presence or absence of anticancer drugs. DIC optics have the advantage of low phototoxicity, permitting long-term recording. The model can also be expanded to include other cell types present in the TME, such as fibroblasts [8] and endothelial cells. Using cells expressing a fluorophore such as GFP and/or vitally dyed cells, the TME model can be imaged with laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM), and these methods are included as well. We illustrate how use of the model has revealed a novel role for cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) in promoting coalescence through reciprocal signaling and physical interactions [8]. We present 4D reconstructions and motion analyses performed with the advanced 3D Dynamic Image Analysis Systems, J3D-DIAS 4.2 [9–12], which can be accessed by a visit to the W.M. Keck Dynamic Image Analysis Facility at the University of Iowa. However, other software packages can be employed for some of the analyses described here. Our emphasis here will be on quantitative methods to analyze coalescence, cell crawling, and cell shapes during tumorigenesis and metastasis. The goal of this approach is to generate accurate cell phenotypes and behaviors so that the effect of a given treatment can be precisely defined.

## 2 Materials

### 2.1 Cell Culture

1. Fresh biopsy tissue (see Note 1).

2. MCF medium: Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM)/F12, 5% horse serum, 20 ng/mL EGF, 0.5 μg/mL hydrocortisone, 10 μg/mL insulin, 0.1 μg/mL cholera toxin, and penicillin-streptomycin.

3. Fibroblast growth medium (available commercially).

4. Endothelial cell growth medium (available commercially).
5. RPMI (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) medium (available commercially).
7. 6-well tissue culture plate.

### 2.2 2D Coalescence Assay and mAb Screen
1. Matrigel matrix in growth factor medium with phenol red aliquoted into 500μL quantities and stored at −20 °C (see Note 2).
2. Chilled pipette tips.
3. 96-well flat-bottomed plates.
4. Cancer cell lines such as MDA-MB-231 or tumor biopsy material and non-tumorigenic or normal cell lines at 70–80% confluency and appropriate medium (see Subheading 2.1, item 1).
5. Well-characterized or novel function-blocking monoclonal (mAb) or polyclonal antibodies, or test reagents without preservatives such as azide or proclin (see Note 3).
6. Inverted microscope equipped with 10× phase contrast objective and connected to a camera (see Note 4).
7. Computer for image acquisition.

### 2.3 3D Coalescence Assay for DIC Imaging
1. Matrigel (see Subheading 2.2, item 1).
2. 35 mm Petri dish with glass insert in the bottom and in the lid such as those from CellVis or iBidi (see Note 5).
3. Cancer cell lines or biopsy material and normal cell lines or tissue at 70–80% confluency and appropriate medium (see Subheading 2.1) (see Note 6).
4. Microscope with DIC optics and a motorized z-axis stage housed in an incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ or equipped with an environmental chamber.
5. Camera controlled by video acquisition software and synchronized with the light source and the motorized stage (see Note 7).

### 2.4 3D Coalescence Overlay Assay with Cancer Cells
1. Matrigel (see Subheading 2.2, item 1).
2. 35 mm Petri dish with glass insert in the bottom and in the top such as those from CellVis or iBidi.
3. Cancer cell lines or biopsy material (see Subheading 2.1) and tissue-matched fibroblasts at 70–80% confluency (see Note 8).
4. Fibroblast growth medium (see Note 9).
5. Microscope with DIC optics and a motorized z-axis stage housed in an incubator at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ or equipped with an environmental chamber.
6. Type 1 human collagen: make a suitable stock to achieve a 1:30 aqueous working solution.
2.5 3D Assay for Imaging with Laser Scanning Confocal Microscopy

1. Matrigel matrix (see Subheading 2.2, item 1).
2. RPMI media.
3. Deep Red vital dye: dissolve 15μg of powder in 50μL DMSO, and store at −20 °C.
4. GFP-tagged cancer cells or cell dye (see Note 10).
5. 35 mm Petri dish with glass coverslip inserts in the bottom and lid.
6. LSCM equipped with an environmental chamber.
7. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs).
8. Endothelial cell medium (see Note 11).
9. Non-tumorigenic MCF-10A or appropriate other non-tumorigenic cells.
10. Computer capable of storing movies greater than 100 GB.

3 Methods

3.1 Culturing Cells from Biopsy Tissue

1. Prepare MCF medium (see Subheading 2.1, item 2).
2. Place tissue in sterile Petri dish containing MCF medium.
3. Mechanically dissociate tissue in the medium using scalpel, forceps, and scissors.
4. Transfer medium containing cells into the wells of a 6-well plate.
5. Incubate at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, changing MCF medium every 3 days.
6. Cells can be harvested and transferred into tissue culture flasks containing MCF medium when density has reached 70–80% confluency.
7. Presence of desired cell type should be confirmed through immunostaining or FACs sorting with the appropriate cell type-specific marker.

3.2 Preparation of 2D Coalescence Assay

1. Using the pre-chilled pipette tips, coat the bottom of the wells of the 96-well plate with 50μL of Matrigel™ to form a cushion (Fig. 1a) (see Note 12).
2. Place the plate in a 37 °C incubator for 20 min to allow the Matrigel™ to gel.
3. Harvest cells at 70–80% confluency by trypsinization and determine cell density.
4. Suspend cells in the appropriate cell growth medium at a density of $3.0 \times 10^5$ cells per mL.
Fig. 1 Preparation of tumorigenesis and coalescence assays for 2D and 3D analyses. (a) For the 2D analysis of coalescence, a thin Matrigel™ cushion is applied to the wells in a 96-well plate before adding the cells.
5. Strain cell suspension through a 70 μm sterile strainer in order to eliminate clumps of cells.

6. Label duplicate tubes for each mAb to be tested.

7. Add purified mAb to 60 mL of appropriate cell growth medium to achieve an antibody concentration between 0.3 and 250 μg/mL (Fig. 1b, see Note 13).

8. Add 80 μL of the cell suspension from step 5 to the mAb medium made in step 6. As a control, add 80 μL of the cell suspension to 60 μL of medium.

9. Add 30 μL of chilled Matrigel™ in growth medium to the suspension from step 7 to achieve a final volume of 170 μL.

10. Immediately distribute 80 μL of the cell/Matrigel™ mixture from step 8 onto the Matrigel cushion (Fig. 1b) of each of the two wells in the 96-well plate.

11. Incubate for 30 min at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ to allow gelation of the Matrigel™.

12. Add 100 μL of appropriate culture medium to each well in the dish.

13. Monitor coalescence and record results every 24 h for 4 days using an inverted microscope with a 10× objective (Fig. 1b).

### 3.3 Preparation of 3D Coalescence Assay

1. Using the pre-chilled pipette tips, coat the 20 mm glass insert of a 35 mm dish with 200 μL of Matrigel™ (Fig. 1c).

2. Place the coated dish in a 37 °C incubator for 30 min to allow the Matrigel™ to gel.

3. Harvest cells at 70–80% confluency by trypsinization and determine cell density.

4. Pellet 5.0 × 10⁵ cells at low speed, discard supernatant, and resuspend the pellet in 250 μL of chilled MCF media and 500 μL of chilled Matrigel™.

5. Using the pre-chilled pipette tips, carefully pipet the cell suspension from step 4 over the Matrigel™ cushion (Fig. 1c).

---

Fig. 1 (continued) suspended in Matrigel™. (b) mAbs or drugs can be tested for their ability to inhibit coalescence by mixing the test agent with the cell suspension. (c) The 3D coalescence assay is prepared in a Petri dish with a glass insert coated with Matrigel™. The cell suspension in Matrigel™, with or without mAb or drug, is then overlaid onto the Matrigel™ coat. The dish is covered with a lid with a glass insert for optimal imaging with DIC. (d) Interactions between fibroblasts and cancer cells are examined by first plating fibroblasts on a collagen-coated glass insert of a Petri dish. Cancer cells suspended in Matrigel™ are then applied over the fibroblasts, media added, and the dish covered with a lid with a glass insert. (e) For LSCM imaging, dye-labeled HUVECs are plated on a coat of Matrigel™ and allowed to form a network. GFP-tagged cancer cells are then overlaid onto the HUVECs.
3.4 Preparation of 3D Coalescence Assay with Cancer Cells Overlaid onto Fibroblasts

1. Dilute human Type 1 collagen 1:30 in ultrapure water.
2. Coat the 20 mm glass insert of a 35 mm Petri dish with 500 μL of the diluted collagen and incubate at room temperature for 60 min (Fig. 1d).
3. Carefully remove excess collagen and wash three times in sterile PBS (see Note 14).
4. Plate 5 × 10^4 fibroblasts in 500 μL of the appropriate medium on the collagen-coated glass insert (Fig. 1d).
5. Incubate at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 30 min (Fig. 1d).
6. Prepare cancer cells suspended in Matrigel™ (see Subheading 3.2, steps 3–4).
7. Remove excess medium from the fibroblast culture and gently overlay with the cells prepared in the previous step (Fig. 1d).
8. Incubate the dish at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 30 min (Fig. 1d) to allow Matrigel™ gelation.
9. Add 5 mL of the medium used for the overlayed cells (Fig. 1d).

3.5 Preparation of 3D Assay for LSCM Imaging

3.5.1 Labeling and Plating HUVECs

1. Harvest HUVECs at 70–80% confluency by trypsinization and determine cell density.
2. Pellet 3.0–5.0 × 10^5 HUVEC cells at low speed, discard supernatant, and resuspend the pellet in 1 mL of RPMI without serum.
3. Add 1 μL of Deep Red stock solution to the cell suspension and incubate for 30 min at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, occasionally inverting the tube to maintain an even distribution of the cells in the dye.
4. Pellet the dyed HUVECs, decant the supernatant, and resuspend the pellet in 200 μL endothelial cell-specific medium.
5. Disperse the dyed HUVECs on a Matrigel™-coated glass insert (see Subheading 3.2, steps 1 and 2) (Fig. 1e).
6. Incubate for 4 h at 37 °C, 5% CO₂, after which time the characteristic HUVEC network should be formed (Fig. 1e).

3.5.2 Overlaying HUVECs with Cells in Matrigel™

1. Prepare a suspension of 5 × 10^5 GFP-tagged or dyed cells in 250 μL of MCF medium.
2. Using the pre-chilled pipette tips, mix with 500 μL ice-cold Matrigel™.
3. Carefully remove excess medium and unattached HUVECs from the plate prepared in Subheading 3.4, step 1 (see Note 15).

4. Using the pre-chilled pipette tips, plate the 750μL of cells from step 2 over the HUVEC network (Fig. 1e).

5. Incubate for 1 h at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ (Fig. 1e).

6. Add appropriate medium to the dish.

7. As a control, use 5 × 10^5 non-tumorigenic MCF-10A or other non-tumorigenic cells treated as described in steps 1–6.

### 3.6 2D and 3D Imaging and 3D Optical Sectioning

#### 3.6.1 2D Imaging

1. The 96-well plate should be imaged every 24 h with low-magnification phase or brightfield optics (Fig. 2a).

2. Non-tumorigenic cells such as MCF-10A grow as clumps, while cellular bridges between aggregates, along with distinct large aggregates, become apparent in tumorigenic cells by 48 h (Fig. 2a) (see Note 16).

3. Inhibition of coalescence by mAb or other drug treatment is evident by day 4 of the assay (Fig. 2b).

---

**Fig. 2** Imaging the 2D coalescence assay. (a) 2D images of cells in the coalescence assay in a 96-well plate show that non-tumorigenic cells such as MCF-10A do not coalesce. In contrast, tumorigenic cells from the breast cancer cell line MB-231, the melanoma cell line HTB-66, and the glioblastoma cell line U87 form aggregates and cell bridges and exhibit coalescence. (b) AllIB2, an anti-β1 integrin mAb, inhibits coalescence in all three tumorigenic cell lines shown in a. D1, day 1; D2, day 2; D4, day 4
3.6.2 3D Imaging and Optical Sectioning with DIC Microscopy

1. Place a microscope with a motorized z-stage and long-working distance 20× DIC optics in an incubator maintained at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ or in an environmental chamber if available (Fig. 3a) (see Note 17).

2. Set the z-range, the z-height increment, the time interval between consecutive z-series, and the total acquisition time in the software controls (Fig. 3b) (see Note 18).

3. Save images in JPEG format for export into other image analysis software such as J3D-DIAS.

3.6.3 LSCM

1. Place the dish in an environmental chamber with temperature, humidity, and CO₂ controls (Fig. 3c).

2. Select lasers for maximum excitation/emission of fluorophores with a minimum of overlap in their respective spectra.
3. Set the $z$-range, the $z$-height increment, the time interval between consecutive $z$-series, and the total acquisition time in the software controls (Fig. 3d) (see Note 19).

4. Proprietary file formats can, in some cases, be saved directly in JPEG format. In other cases, it may be necessary to open the files in ImageJ (https://imagej.net/ImageJ) and convert them into JPEG stacks. This step is required for import into J3D-DIAS 4.2 (see Note 20).

5. Images from each channel can be saved as separate files or as merged files in ImageJ. ImageJ will perform $z$-projections and merge channels into a 2D movie that can be saved in many different movie formats including AVI and QuickTime.

3.7 Segmentation Using J3D-DIAS

1. In order to reconstruct objects and calculate data, J3D-DIAS 4.2 first performs global image segmentation, a process in which an image is converted into similar parts or segments. Segmentation allows for a more precise analysis than that which can be performed on the whole, or unsegmented, object [13].

2. To segment images collected on a LSCM, open JPEG files in J3D-DIAS 4.2 and save as a movie in DIAS format.

3. Automatic bitmap segmentation of optical sections in J3D-DIAS 4.2 is based on the assumption that pixels within an object will exhibit a greater grayscale variation relative to each other than pixels comprising background or out-of-focus objects. Pixels within a matrix containing a high degree of variation are therefore retained, while background is discarded. Bitmap segmentation is preferable for aggregates (Fig. 4a, b) and structures such as a HUVEC network (Fig. 5a) because gaps and spaces are preserved [12].

4. Automatic threshold segmentation is performed similarly to bitmap segmentation except that the interior information is discarded in the former and only an outline is retained [12] (Fig. 5b).

5. The user can manually edit both bitmap and threshold-segmented objects (Fig. 5c).

3.8 Reconstructions with J3D-DIAS

1. Overlapping outlines, obtained from either bitmap or threshold segmentation of DIC images, are stacked in the $z$-axis and smoothed (Fig. 6a).

2. Overlapping outlines, obtained from either bitmap or threshold segmentation of separate LSCM channels, are stacked in the $z$-axis and smoothed and can then be recombined or analyzed separately (Fig. 6b) (see Note 21).

3. Bitmap pixels are expanded into voxels (3D pixels) and the voxel blocks are wrapped into a continuous surface. Voxel dimensions are determined by the $x$, $y$, and $z$ scales.
4. Pixels detected by the threshold algorithm are replaced using a beta-spline replacement algorithm that approximates the linear outline with curves, in effect smoothing the outline to generate a mathematical model for data calculation.

5. In J3D-DIAS 4.2, Java OpenGL was replaced with the application programming interface (API) known as Metal. Metal provides near direct communication with the graphics chip to accelerate computations and access more recent hardware innovations. A faceted surface from the beta-splines is built using the “marching cubes” algorithm [14].

6. Coalescence of cells from a breast cancer cell line can be observed in 4D reconstructions. In this case, continuous optical sectioning at 10-min intervals was performed with DIC for 1 week (Fig. 7a).
Fig. 5 J3D-DIAS 4.2 performs automatic segmentation of images obtained with a LSCM and allows editing of segmented objects. (a) Representative examples of optical sections obtained with LSCM at 2.5 μm increments of a Deep Red-dyed HUVEC network imaged with a 638 nm laser line. Images from each channel were converted from the proprietary format to JPEGs, imported into J3D-DIAS 4.2, and segmentation performed by the automatic bitmap method. (b) Representative examples of optical sections obtained with a LSCM of GFP-tagged MB-231 breast cancer cells imaged with a 488 nm laser line. The images were converted to JPEGs, imported into J3D-DIAS 4.2, and segmentation performed by the automatic threshold method. The cancer cells were layered over the HUVECs shown in panel A and corresponding levels are shown. (c) Manual edge detection by the threshold method in DIC optical sections of a single cell.
Fig. 6 Stacking and smoothing of segmented images obtained from DIC microscopy and LSCM. (a) Stacking and smoothing of segmented DIC optical sections. (b) Images from the red and green channels of an LSCM were imported as separate files into J3D-DIAS 4.2. HUVECs (red) and cancer cells (green) were segmented by the bitmap and threshold methods, respectively, and then merged.
7. Behavior of cancer cells in the presence of a HUVEC network can be analyzed in 4D reconstructions of merged channels. Optical sectioning was performed with LSCM for 72 h (Fig. 7b).

8. 4D reconstructions can be rotated and viewed from any angle (Fig. 7c).

9. 4D reconstructions of optical sections acquired with DIC microscopy reveal that cancer cell conditioned fibroblasts on a
collagen-coated surface physically interact with breast cancer cells to promote coalescence. The breast cancer cells were embedded in Matrigel™ and overlaid onto the fibroblasts, illustrating here the expanded version of the model (Fig. 7d).

10. Comparison of 4D reconstructions in untreated breast cancer cells (Fig. 8a) and breast cancer cells treated with a mAb against β1 integrin (Fig. 8b) reveals mAb inhibition of coalescence. The model was optically sectioned at 10-min intervals using DIC optics for over 17 days.

11. Comparison of 4D reconstructions in untreated melanoma biopsy tissue (Fig. 8c) and melanoma biopsy tissue treated with mAb H4C4 against CD44 (Fig. 8d) reveals mAb inhibition of coalescence. The model was optically sectioned at 10-min intervals using DIC optics for 2 days.

3.9 J3D-DIAS 4.2
Quantitative Analysis of Cell Behavior

1. J3D-DIAS 4.2 calculates 3D cell migration parameters such as velocity, persistence, and direction change from the position of the 3D cell center or centroid. The centroid position at each time point is the average of the x-, y-, and z-coordinates of the interior points of the faceted object. The sequence of centroid positions over time is saved in the 4D path file (Fig. 9a). The central difference method is used to calculate instantaneous velocity [15].

2. J3D-DIAS 4.2 calculates 3D dynamic morphology parameters (volume, roundness, length, and surface area) from the faceted reconstructions [9] (Fig. 9b) and creates a text file to import into other applications such as Excel for the user to perform statistical and graphic operations.

4 Notes

1. Ideally, biopsy material should be obtained from a local hospital and transported to the lab in MCF medium. In our hands, viability is improved if the medium is at room temperature or 37 °C, rather than chilled on ice. Also, it may be necessary to work with the pathologist to improve the chances that tissue will be kept sterile during processing.

2. Matrigel™ is extremely temperature sensitive and will gel rapidly at room temperature. The stock bottle should be stored at −20 °C and thawed on ice at 4 °C overnight prior to aliquoting. Aliquots should be thawed on ice and kept on ice during use. Aliquots should not be thawed and refrozen.

3. Preservatives can be removed from antibody solutions by affinity purification using a protein A, G, or L spin trap column. The specific type of protein column to use depends on the antibody
isotype and the antibody species. This information is available through the supplier and should be determined before purchase.

4. Use a low-magnification objective for a large field of view. Exact magnification depends on magnification of the camera

Fig. 8 Monoclonal antibody inhibition of coalescence in the 3D assay. (a) Untreated breast cancer cells embedded in Matrigel™ undergo coalescence. Individual aggregates are numbered and numbers are separated by slashes to identify aggregates that coalesced. Time is shown in the upper left of each panel. Optical sectioning was performed with DIC microscopy for 426 h. (b) Coalescence is inhibited in breast cancer cells treated with the anti-β1 integrin mAb AIIB2. Aggregates are numbered and time is indicated as described for panel a. (c) Melanoma tissue embedded in Matrigel™ undergoes rapid coalescence. Single cells are color-coded green and coalesced aggregates are color-coded blue. Optical sectioning was performed with DIC microscopy. (d) Melanoma tissue embedded in Matrigel™ and treated with the anti-CD44 mAb H4C4 fails to coalesce. Optical sectioning was performed with DIC microscopy.
faceplate, so a snapshot of a stage micrometer may be necessary to determine the actual magnification.

5. The working distance of an upright microscope and the $z$-axis range required for optical sectioning of the sample should be determined prior to setting up the experiment. Low-height dishes, such as those currently available from iBidi, may be necessary in order to optically section within a limited working distance. Lids with glass inserts are necessary for DIC imaging and can also be purchased from iBidi.

6. Controls should be the same cell type or tissue type as the cancer cells or cancer tissue.
7. An LED light source will reduce phototoxicity during long-term recordings. For the same reason, the light can be programmed to turn on only during the actual z-series acquisition. An initial test run over a period of several hours is advisable to ensure synchronization of the light, camera, and stage. Synchronization errors accumulate, eventually resulting in blank or black video frames. Also, it may be necessary to allow a brief delay between the time the light is switched on and the onset of image acquisition because the light may take 1 or 2 s to ramp up to full illumination.

8. Fibroblasts should be tissue matched to the cancer type whenever possible. For example, human primary mammary fibroblasts, available from various suppliers or isolated from normal breast tissue, should be used when studying breast cancer.

9. Use only fibroblast-specific medium with the appropriate growth factors.

10. If GFP-tagged cells are not being used, then cancer cells can be dyed with a vital dye. Care must be taken in selection of the dye so that the excitation and emission spectra of the dyes used for the HUVECs and cancer cells are well-separated. It is also imperative to check the laser lines on the LSCM to be sure the appropriate lasers are installed.

11. Use only endothelial cell-specific medium with the appropriate growth factors.

12. A Matrigel™ cushion is necessary to prevent the cells from settling onto the 2D plastic surface rather than remaining embedded in the 3D matrix.

13. Antibodies in the coalescence assay should be tested over a concentration range. A high concentration of 500μg/mL should show blocking activity if present. We typically test a concentration range between 0.3 and 250μg/mL [4].

14. In the coating method described here, the collagen fibers attach to the surface of the coverslip during the 1-h incubation period. Therefore, to avoid scratching the attached fibers when rinsing, it is advisable to remove the excess liquid by tilting the dish and placing the pipette tip on the perimeter of the coverslip.

15. Use a sterile cotton swab to remove media from the rim of the coverslip. This prevents the surface tension from pulling the cell suspension off the coverslip and into the dish.

16. Different cancer cell types exhibit different morphologies during coalescence.

17. Optical sectioning with DIC has the advantage of allowing long-term recording without deleterious phototoxic effects [2, 16]. For example, we have performed continuous optical sectioning using the method described here for 30 days [2].
18. The $z$-height will vary with the sample, but generally with the optics used here, it is possible to section through up to 1.00–1.5 mm of sample at intervals of 5–10 μm. It may be necessary to begin below the first focal plane of the $z$-range and continue through a few slices above the $z$-range to accommodate any $z$-axis drift.

19. If the LSCM has an $x$, $y$ motorized stage, multiple fields can be acquired. The minimum time interval between consecutive $z$-series will be a function of the scan rate, image size, and sample height. For most applications, an interval of 10 min is sufficient to get accurate data.

20. ImageJ [17] is freely available, open-source image processing software. FIJI (https://imagej.net/Learn.html#What_is_Fiji.3F) is the version recommended for the types of applications described here.

21. Images from LSCM channels are imported separately into J3D-DIAS 4.2 to permit bitmap segmentation of one channel and threshold segmentation of the other. After the segmentation step, the channels can be recombined.
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Chapter 15

Melanosome Motility in Fish Retinal Pigment Epithelial (RPE) Cells

Christina King-Smith

Abstract

Several model systems have been developed to investigate mechanisms and regulation of intracellular organelle motility. The fish retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cell represents an unusual but simple system for the study of actin-dependent organelle motility. Primary cultures of RPE dissociated from the eye are amenable to motility studies using a simple perfusion chamber and conventional phase contrast microscopy. In vivo, melanin-containing pigment granules (melanosomes) within fish RPE migrate distances up to 100 μm in response to light flux. When sheets of RPE are removed from the eye and dissociated, they attach to the substrate with apical projections extending radially from the central cell body. Melanosomes can be chemically triggered to aggregate or disperse throughout the projections. Melanosome migration in RPE apical projections is dependent on actin filaments and thus renders this model system useful for investigations of actin-dependent organelle motility.

Key words  RPE, Actin, Pigment granules, Melanosomes, Organelle transport, Microtubules, Motor proteins

1 Introduction

Retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cells are located at the back of the vertebrate eye. In eyes of fish and other lower vertebrates, RPE melanosomes (pigment granules) undergo light-dependent migration, dispersing out into the cells’ long apical projections in the light, and aggregating back into the cell body in darkness. Fish do not have dilatable pupils to control light that enters the retina. Rather, the moveable curtain of RPE melanosomes, together with elongation and contraction of rod and cone photoreceptors, serve to modulate light flux and are collectively called retinomotor movements [1].

RPE cells can be isolated from the eye, dissociated into single cells, and cultured for up to 24 h. In vitro, RPE cells lose their apical-basal polarity, become radially symmetrical, and attach to the
substrate, with the formerly apical projections extending outward from the central, pigment-filled cell body (Fig. 1). Melanosome aggregation within the (formerly) apical projections can be triggered by application of underivatived cAMP [2]. Dispersion is stimulated by washout of cAMP in medium containing dopamine (Fig. 2).

Time-lapse videomicrography of melanosome motility within apical projections of dissociated RPE cells is easily carried out using a simple perfusion chamber on an upright, phase contrast microscope. Time-lapse videos reveal that patterns of melanosome motility differ during aggregation (retrograde motility) and dispersion (anterograde motility). During dispersion, melanosome motility is bidirectional and saltatory, at rates of 2–3 μm/min in the anterograde direction. In contrast, aggregation is continuous and unidirectional, also at 2–3 μm/min [3]. Studies using cytoskeletal inhibitors, time-lapse and fluorescent microscopy, and quantification of pigment position in fixed cells have demonstrated that while microtubules are plentiful in apical projections, they are not required for melanosome motility. Rather, actin filaments, also plentiful in the RPE cell body and apical projections (Fig. 3), are necessary and sufficient to support melanosome motility in the apical projections of isolated cells, with microtubules supplying structural support [3, 4]. Studies using myosin S1 labeling and platinum replica shadowing have demonstrated that actin filaments within RPE apical projections are uniformly oriented with barbed...
ends at the distal tips [5]. Previous work has suggested that melanosome aggregation may rely on retrograde actin flow, based on sensitivity of melanosome aggregation but not dispersion to treatments expected to interfere with actin retrograde flow, including (1) inhibition of myosin II and Rho kinase (ROCK) [6] and

**Fig. 2** Isolated RPE cells with aggregated and dispersed melanosomes. The cell on the left was treated with cAMP stimulating migration of melanosomes into the central cell body. Apical projections devoid of melanosomes appear phase-gray. The cell on the right has dispersed melanosomes; these appear phase-bright within the projections. Tips of projections are free of melanosomes because of the bidirectional shuttling of the melanosomes once full dispersion is achieved. Debris surrounding cells are melanosomes from lysed cells. Note melanosomes are mostly cylindrical, not round. Bar: 10 μm

**Fig. 3** Isolated RPE cell treated with cAMP to aggregate melanosomes and subsequently fixed and labeled with rhodamine phalloidin revealing F-actin in the cell body and apical projections
(2) cross-linking of surface proteins using the lectin, ConA [5], which has been demonstrated to interfere with cortical actin flow [7, 8]. Isolated RPE cells, therefore, represent a useful model system for study of intracellular organelle transport, especially actin-dependent motility.

2 Materials

2.1 Poly- l-Lysine-Coated Coverslips

1. 22 mm × 22 mm No. 1 coverslips.
2. Nitric acid: for washing and storage of coverslips.
3. PLL: 1 mg poly-l-lysine (>200 kDa)/ml of glass-distilled, deionized water (ddH₂O). Store dry poly-l-lysine at −20 °C desiccated; store PLL solution at −20 °C; it can be reused four times.

2.2 Dissection and Isolation of RPE (Retinal Pigment Epithelial) Sheets

1. Green sunfish (Lepomis cyanellus) or bluegill (Lepomis macrochirus) 2–4 in. in length, commonly available at fish hatcheries. Maintain on 12 h/12 h light/dark cycle.
2. MS-222 (3-aminobenzoic acid ethyl ester, methanesulfonate salt) anesthetic: 10 g MS-222/L solution in ddH₂O. Aliquot in volumes sufficient for dilution to 75 mg/L in fish tank water, and freeze aliquots at −20 °C.
3. Dark adaptation box with aeration.
4. Iris spring scissors (14 mm with curved blades) and forceps (Dumont #3).
5. HEPES-buffered Earles’ Ringer (HER): 116.3 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 0.8 mM MgSO₄, 1.0 mM Na₂HPO₄, 25.5 mM glucose, 4.0 mM NaHCO₃, 21 mM HEPES, pH 7.2. Prepare using commercially available 10× Earles’ balanced salt solution (10× EBSS), supplemented with phenol red in ddH₂O, 0.36 g/100 ml glucose, 0.034 g/100 ml NaHCO₃, and 0.50 g/100 ml HEPES. Make fresh or less than 24 h before use.
6. 35 mm Petri dish lined with soft dental plastic or parafilm.
7. 90 mm Petri dish lined with white silicon rubber or parafilm.

2.3 Preparation of Dissociated RPE Cells

1. Calcium- and magnesium-free HER (CMFHER): add 1 mM EGTA, 0.36 g/100 ml glucose, 0.034 g/100 ml NaHCO₃, and 0.50 g/100 ml HEPES to 10× calcium- and magnesium-free EBSS. Solution pH is 7.4 (see Note 1).
2. Papain digest solution: 10 U/ml papain in 5 ml CMFHER activated with 0.14 mg/ml DNase and 3 μM l-cysteine. Papain suspension is aliquoted and stored at 4 °C. DNase and cysteine solutions are prepared fresh using 16.6 mg l-cysteine in 500 μl
ddH₂O, and 10 mg DNase in 500 μl ddH₂O, and kept on ice. Five milliliters papain digest solution is prepared per fish. Add sufficient papain and l-cysteine so that the final solution contains 10 U/ml papain, 64 μl l-cysteine, and 36 μl DNase (see Note 2).

3. 35 mm Petri dish lined with parafilm.
4. 12–15 ml glass conical centrifuge tube.
5. HERB: HER supplemented with 0.4% BSA.
6. HERB supplemented with 0.1 mg/ml DNase.
7. Poly-l-lysine-coated coverslips in 35 mm Petri dishes.
8. 100 cm glass Petri dish with moistened filter paper (Whatman #1) in the cover.
9. 1 ml syringe with 26 5/8 gauge needle.

2.4 Stimulation and Observation of Melanosome Motility

1. 1 mM cAMP in HERB: Made fresh from 10 mM cAMP/HERB stock stored at 20°C.
2. 10 μM dopamine (3-hydroxytyramine) in HERB made fresh. Dopamine oxidizes easily; solutions should be remade after 1 h.
3. For perfusion chamber: standard microscope slides, double stick tape, wedges of filter paper (Whatman #3).

3 Methods

3.1 Preparation of Poly-l-Lysine-Coated Coverslips

1. Soak coverslips in nitric acid for a few hours to overnight (see Note 3).
2. Wash coverslips in running tap water for 20–60 min, and rinse 5× in deionized water.
3. Remove coverslips from racks, and place in 10 cm Petri dish with 1 mg/ml poly-l-lysine solution (PLL).
4. Rock or rotate for at least 1 h at room temperature.
5. Remove PLL, and wash coverslips in ddH₂O at least 10× (see Note 4).
6. Air-dry on filter paper (Whatman #1); coverslips can be stored for several months.

3.2 Dissection and Isolation of RPE Sheets

1. Thaw MS-222 and add to fish tank water in dark adaptation box (see Note 5).
2. Add fish and dark adapt 30 min to 2 h.
3. In dim light (0.002 J/s/m²; see Note 6), enucleate eyes by blunt dissection using closed scissors; cut the optic nerve. Place eyes on a paper towel lens side down.
4. Hemisect the first eye; remove lens.
5. Holding edge of posterior eyecup with forceps (12 o’clock position) invert the eye cup retinal side down onto a paper towel. Gently press the back of the eyecup (sclera side) downward onto the paper towel with the closed scissor blades so that the retina adheres to the paper towel; snip the optic nerve to detach the retina from the eyecup.

6. Transfer the eyecup to the dissection dish; hold the edge of the eyecup with forceps.

7. Gently irrigate the RPE/choroid with HER buffer using a Pasteur pipette to remove sheets of RPE (1–2 mm in diameter). RPE sheets look like black flecks in the medium (see Note 7).

8. Transfer RPE sheets to approximately 5 ml HER in a wax-lined, 35 mm culture dish using a wide bore pipette or a glass 5 or 10 ml serological pipette (see Note 8).

9. Place the 35 mm dish containing sheets on a rotator at 30 rpm.

10. Repeat steps 4–9 with the other eye; pool sheets into one dish.

### 3.3 Preparation of Dissociated RPE Cells

1. Transfer pooled RPE sheets into the papain solution in minimal volume of medium using a wide-bore or 10 ml serological pipette. Incubate for 30 min while rotating at 30 rpm.

2. After the digest period, transfer sheets to 3 ml HERB + DNase in a 12–15 ml glass conical centrifuge tube.

3. Allow sheets to settle to the bottom of the tube, and then gently resuspend tissue using a Pasteur pipette. Aspirate off debris as tissue is resuspended. Remove solution and repeat in two more changes of 3 ml HERB + DNase (see Note 9).

4. Using a 5 ml serological pipette, transfer washed sheets to approximately 1 ml of HERB in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube. Thoroughly wet a 1 ml syringe with 26 5/8 gauge needle by passing HERB in and out of the syringe a few times. Slowly dissociate sheets in the microcentrifuge tube by six to eight slow passes through the needle (three to four times in and out). Avoid air bubbles.

5. After dissociation, add 200–400 ml HERB-DNase to dilute the cell suspension. Optimal cell density is about $7.5 \times 10^4$ cells/ml (see Note 10).

6. Plate cells immediately after dissociation by adding 75–100 μl of the cell suspension to completely dry poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips in 35 mm dishes. Dishes should be placed in 100 cm glass Petri plates, which have moistened filter paper in their covers, and left undisturbed for 30 min to 1 h or until cells adhere (see Note 11).

7. After cells have adhered, change the medium to fresh HERB containing 10 μM dopamine to achieve full melanosome dispersion. Aspirate the old medium from the coverslip using a
Pasteur pipette and immediately replace with 100 μl fresh medium. Repeat twice more for a total of three medium changes.

1. To stimulate melanosome aggregation, change medium on coverslips to 1 mM cAMP in HERB using three changes each of 100 μl. Full melanosome aggregation is achieved after 10–25 min. Melanosomes can be redispersed by washout of cAMP using 10 μM dopamine in HERB.

2. For time-lapse video observation, prepare a perfusion chamber using two strips of double-stick tape aligned along both sides of the long edge of a microscope slide. Add a drop of medium to the slide, and invert a coverslip with adherent cells onto the tape. View cells using a 40×–100× phase contrast objective on an upright microscope. Perfuse by pipetting medium on one side of the coverslip and absorbing it on the other side using a wedge of filter paper.

4 Notes

1. pH of CMFHER is adjusted to 7.4 initially; after addition of the cysteine solution, pH will drop to 7.2.

2. Add papain to digest solution 10–15 min prior to dissection to allow 20–30 min activation of the enzyme before adding to RPE sheets. If multiple fish are to be dissected, add papain to separate aliquots of digest solution in parafilm lined, 35 mm plates. Papain should be kept on ice until use.

3. Ceramic coverslip holders are available commercially. Coverslips can be stored in nitric acid indefinitely until use.

4. Complete water rinse is critical, as free PLL is toxic to cells.

5. We use plastic boxes (former rodent shoebox style containers) that hold 3 L of water for up to six fish in each box. Boxes are placed in a custom-made, light-tight wooden box with an aeration hose and bubbler connected to an aquarium pump outside the box. MS-222 will lower the pH of the water; measure pH before and after addition with pH paper and adjust pH up using a few drops of 10 N NaOH. MS-222 is a carcinogen; gloves should be worn.

6. We use a desk lamp with a 25 W incandescent bulb turned against the wall to allow a small amount of light through.

7. After removal of RPE sheets, the back of the eye will remain black because of melanin pigment in the choroid.

8. Wet the inside of the pipette before use so tissue does not adhere to the glass. Do not let the sheets encounter the air-water interface. A wide-bore, fire-polished pipette with a slight bend at the end also works well.
9. The washing step can be carried out in room light. Be sure not to introduce air bubbles into the solution during the washing step; likewise do not remove all medium between washes such that tissue is exposed to the air. Each aliquot of wash solution should be added gently by slanting the tube and slowly trickling the wash solution down the side in order to avoid dissociating cells during washing. By holding the tube up to a black background, melanosomes from lysed RPE cells are visible in solution as the tissue is resuspended. Tissue should be washed in three changes of medium or until melanosomes from lysed cells are no longer visible.

10. Cells may be counted in a hemocytometer to gauge correct cell density; however, cells should be plated immediately after dissociation or they may not adhere to coverslips.

11. Be sure not to move cells after plating or they will not be distributed evenly on the coverslip. The moistened filter paper in the glass Petri dish is important for a humidified environment to discourage evaporation of the medium.
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Chapter 16

Chemotaxis: Under Agarose Assay

Derrick Brazill and David A. Knecht

Abstract

The unicellular eukaryotic amoeba, *Dictyostelium discoideum*, represents a superb model for examining the molecular mechanism of chemotaxis. Under vegetative conditions, the amoebae are chemotactically responsive to pterins, such as folic acid. Under starved conditions, they lose their sensitivity to pterins and become chemotactically responsive to cAMP. As an NIH model system, *Dictyostelium* offers a variety of advantages in studying chemotaxis, including ease of growth, genetic tractability, and the conservation of mammalian signaling pathways. In this chapter, we describe the use of the under-agarose chemotaxis assay to understand the signaling pathways controlling directional sensing and motility in *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Given the similarities between *Dictyostelium* and mammalian cells, this allows us to dissect conserved pathways involved in eukaryotic chemotaxis.

Key words Chemotaxis, *Dictyostelium*, Amoeba, Folic acid, cAMP

1 Introduction

Efficient chemotaxis requires that cells detect the presence and direction of the source of a diffusing chemoattractant, polarize toward the higher concentration side of the gradient, and move up the gradient. In order to do this, cell surface receptors must transiently bind chemoattractant and detect which part of the cell has the highest percentage of bound receptors. Actin must be polymerized at the front of the cell leading to pseudopod formation [1, 2]. These activities must be coordinated; otherwise, the cell will not be able to respond efficiently to the signal. The molecular mechanisms underpinning these individual activities can be dissected using chemotaxis assays with the unicellular eukaryote *Dictyostelium discoideum* [3, 4].

*Dictyostelium* and mammalian cells share a number of similarities in how they sense chemoattractants, modify their cytoskeleton, and undergo directional movement [5]. In fact, much of what is known about mammalian chemotaxis was first discovered in...
Dictyostelium [6]. Dictyostelium are haploid soil amoebae that consume bacteria and divide by binary fission. While in this vegetative state, the amoebae hunt bacteria by chemotaxing toward pteridines such as folic acid, which are released by the bacteria [7, 8]. This process is mediated by G protein-coupled receptors, which have recently been identified [9]. When the amoebae overgrow their bacterial food source and starve, they initiate a developmental pathway, in which amoebae synthesize and secrete cAMP as a chemoattractant to drive aggregation and formation of a multicellular organism consisting of $10^5$ amoebae [10]. To sense the cAMP gradients, the cells downregulate pterin sensitivity and instead express four different cell surface cAMP receptors, all of which are also G protein coupled [11, 12].

Chemotaxis of amoebae toward folic acid and cAMP can be measured and analyzed using under-agarose assays, as well as a variety of other approaches, including micropipette stimulation [13], specialized chemotaxis chambers [14, 15], and commercial systems ECIS/taxis [14, 15]. One major advantage of the under-agarose assay is that it is very simple to set up. It is also very flexible and requires no specialized equipment beyond a microscope for imaging. The under-agarose chemotaxis assay examines the movement of cells as they crawl beneath a layer of agarose toward a chemoattractant. The agarose gel provides a stable environment for diffusion of the chemoattractant to form a gradient. This assay was first used to study migration in leukocytes [16, 17] and then later modified for use with Dictyostelium amoebae [18]. The assay is easy to set up. Cell movement is rapid, robust, and highly directional and can be analyzed quantitatively. Mutants with defects in sensing or responding to the signal can be compared to wild-type amoebae. In addition, the cells are required to crawl between the agarose and the plastic Petri dish. Therefore, there is a mechanical aspect to the movement such that defects in cortical structure or force generation can also be identified. For instance, mutants lacking myosin heavy or light chain are unable to move underneath the agarose sheet [19, 20] even though they can sense gradients and move in less restrictive environments. Slight defects, which normally would not be detected, can be exaggerated by using different concentrations of agarose. Because of its simplicity and flexibility, the under-agarose chemotaxis assay is incredibly useful for measuring chemotaxis in a variety of different eukaryotic cell types.

In this chapter, we explain how the motility of wild-type and mutant Dictyostelium cells can be examined and quantified using the under-agarose assay. The analysis of defective mutants can determine how and where the process of chemotaxis is disrupted. Examination of chemotaxis toward both folic acid and cAMP can uncover whether the defect is general to chemotaxis, or specific to one of the chemoattractants. Briefly, the assay consists of three steps: preparing the agarose plate, preparing and loading the cells
and chemoattractant into wells in the agarose sheet, and time-lapse video microscopy of cell movement. The videos are then used to quantify various parameters of chemotaxis: such as chemotactic index, persistence, and speed. Chemotactic index is a measure of how accurately the cell moves up the concentration gradient for each step of movement. It is reported as $\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle of deviation between a direct line up the chemical gradient and the actual path of a cell. Persistence is a measure of how frequently a cell changes direction as it proceeds up the gradient. This is defined as the net cellular displacement divided by the total path length of a cell. As a cell makes more turns, the total path length increases relative to the net path length. This parameter can also be examined as turning rate, measured as the angular change from the direction of the last measured step to the current movement step. Persistence is not a parameter directly linked to chemotactic movement because a cell could move persistently but in the wrong direction or alternatively, turn frequently but generally move in the correct direction. The third parameter usually examined is cell speed. Since most chemotactic agents are also chemokinetic [21], the speed of movement of chemotaxing cells is usually higher than that of unstimulated cells. These parameters can be examined as an average over the time of data collection or examined as a function of time. For instance, is cell speed constant or varying significantly over time? These measurements and others provide a quantitative description of cell behavior during chemotactic movement.

## Materials

### 2.1 Agarose Plates

1. 10× SorMC buffer: 20.36 g KH$_2$PO$_4$ (FW 136), 2.8 g NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (FW 142), 0.1 g MgCl$_2$ (FW 203 hexahydrate), 0.055 g CaCl$_2$ (FW 110), water to 1 L (unnecessary to pH or sterilize).

2. SM3 medium: 10 g Bacto or Oxoid peptone, 10 g glucose, 100 mL 10× SorMC, and water to 900 mL. Adjust pH to 6.5–6.7, add water to 1 L, autoclave, and store at 4 °C (see Note 1).

3. PBM buffer: 2.72 g anhydrous KH$_2$PO$_4$, 100μL 0.1 M CaCl$_2$, 1 mL 1 M MgCl$_2$, water to 1 L, adjust to pH 6.1, and autoclave. Store at room temperature.

4. 1% agarose in SM3 medium, made immediately prior to use in Subheading 3.1 (see Note 2).

5. 0.75% agarose in PBM buffer, made immediately prior to use in Subheading 3.3.

6. Blocking solution: 10 g bovine serum albumin (BSA) in 100 ml distilled water. Store frozen.
7. 100 mm × 15 mm or 60 mm × 15 mm polystyrene Petri dishes. Glass bottom Petri dishes can also be used for DIC and fluorescence microscopy (see Note 3).

### 2.2 Chemoattractants

1. 50 mM folic acid stock: dissolve 0.22 g folic acid in 10 mL 0.1 N NaOH. This is stable for months if kept in the dark at 4 °C.

2. Folic acid working solution (100μM to 1 mM): dilute 50 mM folic acid stock solution in SM3 media to the desired working concentration. This is stable for a week if kept in the dark at 4 °C.

3. 10 mM cAMP in PBM. Store at −20 °C.

### 2.3 Developing Cells for cAMP Chemotaxis

1. Whatman #3125 mm filter paper.

2. Millipore nitrocellulose membrane 47 mm AAWP (white) or AABP (black), 0.8 μm pore).

3. 150 mm × 20 mm polystyrene Petri dish.

4. 50 mL centrifuge tube.

### 2.4 Time-Lapse Imaging

1. Inverted microscope with video camera.

2. Image acquisition software.

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Folic Acid Chemotaxis: Preparation of the Agarose Plate

1. Blocking the surface of the Petri dishes: It is important to prevent adhesion of the agarose to the plastic, which will prevent cells from moving between the agarose sheet and the plastic surface. This can be done by rotating the agarose sheet with a spatula after it solidifies, but it is easier to pre-block the binding sites on the plate with BSA. Add sufficient blocking solution to the Petri dishes to cover the bottom (about 10 mL for 100 mm dishes and 5 mL for 60 mm dishes). Incubate for 5–10 min, and then pipette the blocking solution back into the storage tube (it can be reused many times), and refreeze. Rinse the plate with tap distilled water several times.

2. Make the 1% agarose solution in a 125 mL flask with the screw cap loosely attached (see Note 4).

3. Heat the flask in a microwave oven to the point where the solution first begins to boil. While wearing a heat protective glove, carefully swirl the flask to gently mix the solution. Place the flask back in the microwave, and heat to a boil again. Remove the flask, and swirl as before. Repeat cycles of boiling and swirling four to five times until the agarose is completely melted.
4. Once the flask is cool enough to handle by hand, add 20 mL of agarose solution to a standard 100 mm Petri dish or 8 mL to a 60 mm dish, and allow agarose to cool and harden for at least an hour. Plates can be poured up to 1 day before the experiment.

5. Place the dish on a template copied from Fig. 1. With the template as a guide, cut two wells in the agarose approximately 5 mm wide, 5 mm apart and the length of a standard single-edged razor blade (39 mm long). Shorter blades can be made by repeatedly bending the blade with two pliers until it breaks. The cuts should be as vertical as possible, straight down to the plastic dish without a sawing motion. It is important to avoid cutting the bottom of the Petri dish, as this will impede motility of the amoebae.

6. Cut both ends of the wells with a pointed spatula, and then carefully pry the agarose out with the spatula and discard. Avoid disturbing the surrounding agarose.

7. If you plan to image near the well edge, place a 18 × 18 mm glass coverslip over the cell well, centered so there is a gap on either side of the coverslip. This will aid in imaging cells at the edge of the well (see Note 5).
3.2 Folic Acid Chemotaxis: Preparation and Loading of Amoebae and Chemoattractant

1. While the agarose is hardening, prepare the cells. For optimal results, use axenically or bacterially growing cells in log phase at a density of less than about 2 × 10^6 cells/mL. Count the cells, and collect them by centrifugation at 500 × g for 5 min. Remove the supernatant, and resuspend the cells in SM3 to a concentration of 2–3 × 10^6 cells/mL.

2. Place the dish on the microscope stage. Immediately before placing the cells in the wells, mix the suspension thoroughly by putting it through a vortex. Fill the cell well to the top with the cell suspension. If you have placed a coverslip on the agarose surface, the meniscus will contact the coverslip as the well is filled. The volume needed to fill the well will depend on how wide the well was cut, so rather than pipetting a specific volume, fill the well to the top (see Note 6).

3. To create the folic acid gradient, fill the second well with diluted folic acid (100 μM–1 M) (see Note 7). Add enough folic acid to almost fill the well but not enough to cause it to overflow. Filling this well second eliminates the possibility of pressure-driven flow of folic acid under the agarose when one well is full and the other empty.

4. Within a few hours, the amoebae will begin to move out of the cell well and underneath the agarose toward the folic acid well. The amoebae will continue chemotaxis across the bridge toward the folic acid over a period of 8–12 h. Amoebae that have exited the well and are migrating under the agarose will appear flattened (phase dark). This is usually seen once the cells have moved about 50–100 μm from the well. Set the field of view to image the cells in the moving wave front (see Note 8).

3.3 cAMP Chemotaxis: Preparation of the Agarose Plate

Chemotaxis to cAMP is performed similarly to chemotaxis to folic acid with only a few differences.

1. Block the surface of the plates with blocking solution as indicated in Subheading 3.1.

2. Make the 0.75% agarose solution, and pour into Petri dishes. Cut wells as indicated in Subheading 3.1.

The following steps must be completed at least 6 h before performing the assay:

1. Pour 5 mL of PBM into a 150 mm × 20 mm Petri dish.

2. Wet and then submerge a Whatman #3125 mm diameter filter into the PBM in the dish.

3. Starting from one edge, use forceps to slowly lower a second Whatman #3125 mm diameter filter directly on top of the first one. It is imperative that there be no bubbles between the two filters.
4. Discard excess PBM leaving approximately 1 mL.
5. Starting from one edge, slowly lower a 47 mm Millipore AAWP or AABP filter onto the Whatman filters.
6. Collect $1 \times 10^7$ log-phase amoebae at least 6 h before preparing the assay. Wash the amoebae twice in PBM by centrifugation at $500 \times g$ for 5 min to remove the growth medium and resuspend them in 1 ml PBM.
7. Spread the amoebae evenly over the Millipore filter, avoiding the edges. This is usually done with approximately 250 $\mu$L of cells at a time. Allow the liquid to wick into the filter before adding more cells. Repeat until all the cells have been added to the filter.
8. After 15 min, use forceps to slide the filter containing the amoebae to another area of the Whatman paper. This ensures that the amoebae develop in a completely fresh environment.
9. Allow the amoebae to develop for 6–8 h at room temperature, in order to express genes required for cAMP chemotaxis.

3.5 cAMP Chemotaxis: Loading the Cells and Chemoattractant into the Wells

1. To collect the starved amoebae, remove the 47 mm filter, and insert it into a 50 mL centrifuge tube. Ensure that the cell-laden side of the filter is positioned upward. Wash the cells off of the filter pad into the PBM buffer with 0.5 mL PBM by pipetting the PBM across the filter. Repeatedly flush the filter for 30 s. Repeat with a fresh 0.5 mL PBM to remove the remainder of the amoebae.
2. Transfer amoebae to a 15 mL Falcon tube and centrifuge at $500 \times g$ for 5 min. Remove the supernatant, and resuspend the amoebae in PBM to a concentration of $5 \times 10^6$–$1 \times 10^7$ cells/mL.
3. Fill one well of the chemotaxis plate to just below the top with the suspension of starving amoebae.
4. To create the cAMP gradient, fill the second well with 5 mM cAMP in PBM buffer.

3.6 Imaging and Analysis

1. Images are best collected with an inverted microscope with phase contrast optics. Cells could be tagged to express a fluorescent protein and imaged by fluorescence microscopy. Depending on the experimental question (many cells over a larger field of view vs. a higher resolution examination of a small number of cells), a $4 \times$, $10 \times$, or $20 \times$ objective might be used for imaging. Images should be captured every 20–30 s for as long as necessary to capture the movement of the cells. We use the Open Source ImageJ [22, 23] plug-in Micro-manager [24, 25] for microscope and camera control, but many commercial packages exist as well.
2. Use the Manual Tracking or mTrackJ plug-ins in Fiji [22] to analyze cell movement in the acquired images (Fig. 2a). These plug-ins are similar, but mTrackJ calculates more parameters related to cell movements. The specific instructions for both can be found online with the plug-in (see Note 9).

4 Notes

1. This simple medium has several functions. Cells will not grow in it, but the nutrients prevent them from starving and initiating development. The glucose blocks adhesion sites present on vegetative cells so that cells move as individuals rather than large islands of adhered cells. The amount of folic acid in the medium is unknown but should be very low. For experiments where the actual concentration of folic acid is critical, a defined medium lacking folic acid can be made (http://dictybase.org/techniques/media/fm-medium.html) or purchased (https://www.formedium.com/us/product-category/products/dictyostelium-discoideum/fm-defined-minimal-media/).

2. Molecular biology-grade agarose used for DNA gel electrophoresis works well.

3. The assay can also be run with a glass bottom dish so that higher resolution DIC optics can be used and epifluorescence imaging of intracellular probes examined in parallel [26]. Various 30 mm glass bottom dishes are commercially available (https://willcowells.com and others), and the assay can be scaled to the smaller dish size. Single-edged razor blades can
be broken by bending with pliers to create whatever sized will is
needed. For DIC microscopy, we place a rectangular piece of
glass over the dish to prevent drying.

4. The cells move between the non-deformable plastic surface and
the agarose sheet. As the cells move, they locally deform the
agarose gel, so that it returns to its former position after the cell
passes [18]. The stiffer the agarose, the harder it is for the cells
to deform it. Different types of agarose will have slightly differ-
ent stiffnesses at the same concentration. Therefore, it may be
necessary to optimize the agarose concentration. For folic acid
chemotaxis, 1% agarose is a good starting point. However, we
have used between 0.5% and 2% agarose and have found that
different concentrations can have an impact on the efficacy of
chemotaxis. Mutants that have a slight chemotactic defect may
not be detected under the more permissive 0.5% agarose but
become quite apparent at the less permissive 2% agarose.

5. Cells first move underneath the agarose in the area adjacent to
the cell well resulting in a very bright transition zone, which
poses difficulties for observing cells by phase contrast micros-
copy. This is due to the curvature of the meniscus of the
solution at the top of the well creating nonuniform light
paths through the agarose. Overlaying with a coverslip creates
a flat surface in this region and eliminates this problem so that
cells can be imaged as they exit the well and then move up the
gradient.

6. Optimally, a near monolayer of cells is desired in the well. This
allows for a large number of cells to crawl under the agarose. If
the cell density is low after the cells have settled, some buffer
can be removed from the well and more of the cell suspension
added.

7. Folic acid concentrations can be varied between 0.1 and 5 mM.
The concentration is not critical. Although it would seem that
the cells are responding to a gradient of chemoattractant dif-
fusing through the agarose, we have recently demonstrated
that the situation is actually quite different. The gradient that
would be found 5 mm from the chemoattractant well by diffu-
sion would be quite flat [27]. However, cells produce both cell
surface and secreted enzymes that degrade the chemoattrac-
tants, folate deaminase for folic acid [28] and phosphodiester-
ase for cAMP [29]. When cells are concentrated in the cell well,
local degradation of chemoattractant is highest near the well
and less farther from the well; thus, degradation creates a “self-
generated” gradient [30]. As the cells move, they continuously
degradate chemoattractant and thus continue to generate the
gradient as the wave front of cells move toward the source.
The self-generated gradient has been confirmed by direct
measurement of folate concentration in the agarose. As a consequence of this phenomenon, the assay can also be done by adding chemoattractant to the melted agarose and cutting a single well for cells. The same chemotactic movement of cells away from the well will be observed.

8. It is important to image cells at the leading edge of the wave of moving cells. These cells tend to be farther spread out so that they do not bump into each other, complicating tracking. In addition, cells immediately behind the front have poorer chemotaxis due to degradation of the gradient by the preceding cells and cells farther back move randomly [30].

9. Use mTrackJ (https://imagescience.org/meijering/software/mtrackj/), or Manual Tracking (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/plugins/track/track.html), to identify and mark individual cells in each frame of the image sequence (Fig. 2). Given the time between frames, and distance traveled between each frame, the program then calculates the distance moved and speed of the cells between each frame. The change in angle of movement from the previous movement step to the current can also be calculated. The software allows one to designate a “correct” direction; therefore, the angle and portion of movement toward the chemoattractant source can also be measured. Thus, the overall speed of the cell, the total path length that the cell traveled, and the net path length of the cell from its origin can be calculated. This allows the calculation of three basic chemotactic parameters. The first is the average speed of the cell, the speed of the cell over time, or the average speed of all the cells measured. The second measurement is persistence (Fig. 2b). This is a measure of how directly the cell moved and is defined as the ratio of the net path length to the total path length of the cell. A value of 1 represents a direct path with no deviations, where a value of 0 represents a meandering path where the cell ends up back at its original position. In Fig. 2b, Cell #1 has a high persistence, close to 0.8. Cell #2 has a lower persistence, close to 0.5. The third measurement is the chemotactic index (Fig. 2b). This is a measure of how well the cell is able to sense and orient to the chemotactic gradient. It is defined as $\cos \theta$, the cosine of the angle ($\theta$) of movement relative to a direct line up the diffusional gradient ($\cos \theta$). A value of 1 ($\cos \theta$) represents movement directly toward the source of the chemoattractant, while a value of 0 represents movement directly perpendicular to the source of the gradient ($\cos 90$) and $-1$ ($\cos 180$) movement opposite to the gradient. In Fig. 2b, both cells have similar chemotactic indices.
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Functional Analysis of Actin-Binding Proteins in the Central Nervous System of *Drosophila*

Qi He and Christopher Roblodowski

**Abstract**

Using *Drosophila* actin-binding protein Dunc-115 as an example, this chapter describes a MARCM (mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker)-based method for analyzing cytoskeletal components for their functions in the nervous system. Following a concise description about the principle, a step-by-step protocol is provided for generating the needed stocks and for histological analysis. Additional details and explanations have been given in the accompanying notes. Together, this should form a practical and sufficient recipe for performing at the single cell level loss-of-function and gain-of-function analyses of proteins associated with the cytoskeleton.
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1 Introduction

It has been well established that the growth cone, a highly sensitive and motile structure at the leading edge of a growing axon, receives and transduces guidance signals through its surface receptors to regulate actin, microtubules, and other components of the cytoskeleton [1, 2]. Consequently, actin-binding proteins form a critical linkage to the cytoskeleton during the process of relaying guidance signals, and studying the functional roles of those proteins holds promises of revealing the molecular underpinning of axon pathfinding. More importantly, accumulated evidence has shown that the cytoskeletal proteins play critical roles in human neurological disorders [3], further highlighting the clinical significance of those proteins including actin-binding proteins. This chapter describes methods for generating genotypes, dissecting and staining the visual system, and analyzing the function of a *Drosophila* actin-binding protein Dunc-115, a protein that has been implicated in regulating axon pathfinding in our earlier analyses [4, 5]. Other cytoskeletal components can be analyzed similarly.
A major step is to analyze axon pathfinding using a powerful technique, MARCM (mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker), developed in *Drosophila* [6]. As shown in Fig. 1, MARCM utilizes recombination mediated by the FLP/FRT system (see Fig. 1 legend) to generate homozygous mutant clones for a gene of interest such as Dunc-115. Defects of Dunc-115 mutation in these clones during axon projection can then be analyzed by confocal microscopy. By creating the UAS-Dunc115 construct, ectopic Dunc-115 expression can be achieved in homozygous clones (Fig. 1) and thus gain-of-function of Dunc-115 can be analyzed. This approach also allows the analysis of genes that are homozygous lethal and provides a practical method for studying axon pathfinding functions of both viable and lethal genes.

**Fig. 1** The MARCM method. Rectangles represent individual cells. (a) Flippase (FLP) recombinase is under the control of a heat-shock promoter (hs), and both Gal4 and Gal80 are controlled by a universal tubulin 1 promoter (TubP). Transcription factor Gal4 binds to its unique upstream activator sequence (UAS) to drive the expression of GFP or gene X. Gal80 functions as a repressor of Gal4 activity, and thus when Gal80 and Gal4 are coexpressed in the same cell, Gal80 (hatched oval) binds to a site on Gal4 to repress its activity. As a result, both GFP and gene X cannot be expressed in such a cell (white cross over black arrow, shown only for GFP). (b) A heat-shock activates FLP that catalyzes the exchange of two FRT targets during mitotic cell division after DNA duplication (black X), resulting in the exchange of chromosome arms distal to the FRT sites shown in (c). Following the completion of cell division, one daughter cell (d) did not inherit Gal80 gene and is also homozygous for the mutant (black star, Dunc-115 mutation in this chapter) (or transgene X such as Dunc-115 under UAS though for the purpose of expressing gene X, UAS-X does not need to be on the same chromosome arm carrying the FRT site). So in daughter cell (d) (and its offspring), Gal4 is active and drives the expression of GFP (and UAS-X). The other daughter cell inherited two copies of Gal80 and thus has no Gal4 activity or GFP expression, while the background will be cells that did not undergo recombination as in (b) and thus they will be like the cell in panel (a), also without Gal4 activity or GFP expression. Thus, the only cells expressing GFP will be from panel (d). For simplicity, gene X (UAS-X) is depicted as on the same chromosome arm as the mutant (black star). In reality, the scheme can be used with a wild-type arm or with a mutant carrying arm, and the UAS-X transgene can be on any chromosome arm except the one carrying Gal80. Heat-shock is carried out at 37 °C for a desired period of time, and by controlling heat-shock time, it is possible to generate single neuron clones. UAS-GFP is UAS-mCD8-GFP fusion protein (where mCD8 is mouse T cell surface protein CD8) that will be anchored in the plasma membrane. TubP is fused with Gal4 or Gal80 coding sequence. Schematics were inspired by and based on Lee and Luo [6] and Wu and Luo [7].
2 Materials

2.1 Equipment
1. Standard incubator for culturing *Drosophila*.
2. Orbital shaker platform.
3. Dissecting microscope.

2.2 Reagents
1. Standard cornmeal medium: mix 850 mL of water with 7.9 g of agar, 27.5 g of torula yeast, 52 g of cornmeal, and 110 g of dextrose. Heat to a boil and cool to 80 °C. Add 2.4 g of tegosept dissolved in 92 mL ethanol and pour into culture vials and bottles (see Note 1).
2. G418 medium: prepare standard cornmeal medium and cool to 50 °C. Add G418 (Geneticin antibiotic) to 1 mg/mL final concentration.
3. PBS: 37 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 2 mM KH₂PO₄ pH 7.4.
4. PBT: add 0.3% Triton X-100 to 1× PBS.
5. PBTN: add 5% normal goat serum to PBT.
6. 4% formaldehyde: to make 1 mL stock, mix 0.792 mL H₂O, 0.1 mL 10× PBS, and 0.108 mL of 37% formaldehyde stock. The solution should be made fresh daily, and unused portion should be discarded at the end of the day.
7. Antibodies: mouse anti-24B10, rat anti-N cadherin (both from Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-GFP (Molecular Probes), FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse, Cy5-conjugated goat anti-rat (both from Jackson Lab), and Alexa647-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes).
8. 25% glycerol in PBS.
9. 50% glycerol in PBS.
10. Anti-quenching agent: 75% glycerol, 0.1% p-phenylenediamine in PBS.

2.3 *Drosophila* Strains and Culture (Available at the Bloomington Stock Center)
1. A Dunc-115 mutant *dunc-115KG03651* (85E4) has been isolated and analyzed [4].
2. The following strains are used and they are available at the Bloomington Stock Center: *yw/Y; P[ry, FRT]/82B.*
   *yw/Y; TM2/TM6B.*
   *yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP; SptoCyO; TM2 Ubx/TM6B Hu.*
   *yw/Y; TubPGal4; FRT82B TubPGal80.*
3 Methods

3.1 MARCM Analysis

3.1.1 Preparation of FRT Dunc-115KG03651, Gal4, and Gal80 Strains

1. FRT dunc-115 strain (see Note 2):
   Set up the following cross:
   \(yw; dunc-115^{KG03651} \times yw/Y; P[ry, FRT]/82B\).
   Collect females and mate with \(yw/Y; TM2/TM6B\):
   \(yw; dunc-115^{KG03651}/P[ry, FRT]/82B \times yw/Y; TM2/TM6B\).
   Culture this cross in neomycin (G418) media and select \(w^+\) and cross to \(yw/Y; TM2/TM6B\) (single animal cross) (see Note 3):
   \(yw; P[ry, FRT]/82B dunc-115^{KG03651}/TM6B \times yw/Y; TM2/TM6B\).
   Collect both males and females to establish the following stock:
   \(yw; P[ry, FRT]/82B dunc-115^{KG03651}\) or \(yw; FRT82B dunc-115^{KG03651}\).

2. Gal4 and Gal80 strains (see Note 4):
   Set up the following cross:
   \(yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP; Sp/CyO; TM2 Ubx/TM6B Hu \times yw/Y; TubPGal4; FRT82B TubPGal80\).
   Collect males of \(Sp\) and \(Hu\) and set up:
   \(yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP/Y; TubPGal4/Sp; FRT82B TubPGal80/TM6B Hu \times yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP; Sp/CyO; TM2 Ubx/TM6B Hu\).
   Select both males and females of \(Cy\) and \(Hu^+\) and set the following cross:
   \(yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP/Y; TubPGal4/CyO; FRT82B TubPGal80/TM2 Ubx \times yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP/yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP; TubPGal4/CyO; FRT82B TubPGal80/TM2 Ubx\).
   Select both males and females of \(Cy^+\) and \(Ubx^+\) to establish the following stock:
   \(yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP; TubPGal4; FRT82B TubPGal80\).

3.1.2 Generation of MARCM Clones (See Note 5)

To generate MARCM clones, strains created in Subheading 3.1.1 will be crossed to generate the needed genotype subject to heat-shock to induce mitotic recombination.

1. Set up the following cross:
   \(yw/Y; FRT82B dunc-115^{KG03651} \times yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP; TubPGal4; FRT82B TubPGal80\).

2. Collect eggs during a window of every 2 h, i.e., switch the animals to a new vial every 2 h. Animals with the following genotype will be the target of analysis:
yw hsFlp UAS-mCD8-GFP/Y or yw; TubPGal4/+; FRT82B dunc-115KG03651/FRT82B TubPGal80.

3. Leave the collected eggs at 25 °C for 96 h.
4. Heat-shock at 37 °C for 1 h.
5. Dissect third instar larvae and stain with antibodies as described below.

3.2 **Histology**

3.2.1 Larval Eye Disc/Brain Specimen Preparation

3.2.2 Antibody Staining

1. Following fixation, wash specimens three times for 5 min each time with PBT. Make certain all specimens have sunk to the bottom of the tube.
2. Block nonspecific-binding sites on the specimens by incubating with PBTN for 30 min at RT.
3. Following the blocking step, primary antibody is added and the incubation is at 4 °C overnight (O/N). Typically, three primary antibodies are used in this analysis: (1) anti-24B10 labels photoreceptor neurons, anti-N cadherin stains neuropils in the optic lobes, and anti-GFP labels GFP expressed in the MARCM clones (see Fig. 1). These antibodies need to be diluted with PBTN, and the folds of dilution depend on the nature of the antibody and will have to be determined empirically.
4. Wash three times for 15 min each with PBT.
5. Add second antibodies. The dilution will be decided experimentally but typically around 200–500 times and is done with PBTN. The three secondary antibodies are tagged with green (FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse), red (Cy5-conjugated goat anti-rat), and blue (Alexa647-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit) fluorescent tags and will be detected separated by the confocal microscope according to the wavelength. The incubation is as step 3.
7. Go through 5-min serial washes with 25%, 50%, and 75% glycerol in PBS with the 75% glycerol containing 0.1% p-phenylenediamine (anti-quenching agent to prolong the fluorescent intensity).
8. Mount the specimens on glass slides (see Note 7) and examine under a confocal microscope.
4 Notes

1. Pre-made fly food mix such as Jazzmix from Applied Scientific can be used by following the manufacture’s instruction, while 10X PBS can be purchased from many vendors such as Fisher Scientific.

2. This procedure is for loss-of-function analysis (dunc-115 mutant) using MARCM. For gain-of-function analysis (ectopic expression), a UAS construct (UAS-Dunc-115 fusion) will need to be built first using standard molecular biology methods and transgenic animals produced by embryo injection. The resulted UAS-Dunc-115 transgenic flies will be prepared for MARCM the same way as with the FRT strain. Similar analysis will reveal the effect of overexpressing Dunc-115 in a MARCM clone.

3. The structure P[ry FRT] carries two copies of the neomycin gene controlled by the heat-shock promoter (hs-neo), thus conferring the neomycin resistance [9], while the dunc-115KG03651 strain carries the mini-white gene and thus has the w+ phenotype. By selecting neomycin resistance and w+, the only possible genotype is FRT dunc-115KG03651.

The chromosomal location of dunc-115KG03651 is 85E4 and the FRT used is at 82B. Given that the distance between the two is not long, it is necessary to set up several vials to increase the chances of recombination. For genes that have greater distances from the FRT site, the number of vials can be reduced. It would be informative to set up a pilot test to see the frequency of a given recombination.

4. The general principle behind MARCM is to bring all elements into the same animal after a final cross. Thus, it is often necessary to prepare the two stocks needed for the final cross so that the needed constructs will be in place. For the FRT, it is required to have the Gal80 construct to be on the same chromosomal arm distal to the FRT site (Fig. 1), while the other elements such as Gal4 or UAS-mCD8-GFP can be on any chromosomes. Traditionally, heat-shock-controlled flippase (hs Flp in Fig. 1) is linked with UAS-mCD8-GFP and located on the first chromosome. For a more detailed discussion, see [7].

5. It is preferable to use females from the UAS-mCD8-GFP strain in the cross since both male and female offspring will have one copy of the GFP fusion protein and thus will be usable. Heat-shock time may vary depending on the actual setup. It is thus highly recommended to test the time first to make certain a desired result. The clone size in MARCM depends on at which
developmental stage the heat-shock is given and for how long. A condition can be determined where single cell clones can be generated (see, e.g., [3]).

6. It is important to have all specimens submerged in the fixative to ensure proper fixation. Fixation and other incubation steps should be carried out on an orbital shaker platform.

7. To examine along the dorsal-ventral axis, the visual system needs to be cleaned so that mouth hooks and other accessories are removed, and the whole specimen sits on its ventral base. For a lateral view, the two optic lobes need to be separated with the use of a surgical knife, and each lobe should rest on the cut-phase. Finally, one piece of coverslip should be placed on each side of the prepared specimen, and a third coverslip should be placed at the top of the first two so that the specimen will not be squashed by the coverslip to preserve its morphology.
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Chapter 18

Using a Hand-Held Gene Gun for Genetic Transformation of *Tetrahymena thermophila*

Michael Gotesman, Roland E. Hosein, and Selwyn A. Williams

**Abstract**

Biolistic bombardment is widely used as a means of delivering vector-coated microparticles into microorganisms, cultured cells, and tissues. The first particle delivery system contained a helium propulsion unit (the gun) mounted in a vacuum-controlled chamber. In contrast, the hand-held gene gun does not operate within a chamber. It is completely hand-held, easy, and efficient to use, and it requires minimal space on the laboratory bench top. This chapter describes protocols for using a hand-held gene gun to deliver transformation vectors for overexpression of genes or gene replacement into the macronucleus of *Tetrahymena thermophila*. The protocols provide helpful information for preparing *Tetrahymena* for biolistic bombardment, preparation of vector-coated microcarriers, and basic gene gun operating procedures.

**Key words** *Tetrahymena*, Biolistic bombardment, Gene gun, Overexpression, Gene replacement, Microcarriers

**1 Introduction**

*Tetrahymena thermophila* exhibits nuclear dimorphism [1], in which separate nuclei are used for vegetative and sexual processes. A macronucleus (MAC) provides for vegetative functions, while a micronucleus (MIC), which provides for the sexual process of conjugation, is transcriptionally silent during vegetative growth. The MAC contains approximately 225 chromosomes [2] with approximately 45 copies of each gene and divides amitotically at cell division. The MIC contains five chromosomes and divides mitotically. *Tetrahymena* genome databases can be assessed at [ciliate.org](http://ciliate.org) or at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website.

Biolistic bombardment is the preferred method for inserting overexpression constructs into the macronucleus and for homologous recombination in somatic and germline transformations in *Tetrahymena* [3]. Overexpression transformations target the
extrachromosomal rDNA at the point in conjugation when the new
MAC is under formation. Somatic and germline gene replacements
target a respective gene of interest in the MAC or MIC. DNA from
a transformation vector engages in homologous recombination
with the gene of interest. After many cycles of vegetative growth,
a process known as phenotypic assortment [4] ensures that the
MAC is homozygous for all alleles, and therefore all copies of the
gene of interest have been replaced. However, if the replaced gene
is essential, phenotypic assortment may not be completed as the
MAC retains a few copies of the endogenous allele, a condition
referred to as a knockdown. At conjugation, the MAC is degraded,
and a new MAC is formed from a zygotic MIC, effectively destroy-
ing the transformations that were achieved with the vector. After
conjugation of a somatic transformation, the vegetative cell and its
newly formed MAC reflect the genetic constitution of the zygotic
MIC. In contrast, germline transformations target the MIC and are
expressed in the newly formed MAC after conjugation [5].

Plasmid vectors for transformation of *Tetrahymena* contain a
cassette consisting of a gene for antibiotic resistance, transcriptional
start and stop sequences, and flanking regions that allow for homol-
ogous recombination with the endogenous gene of interest. Some
of these vectors have been designed for either disruption or replace-
ment of endogenous alleles [6–9]. The pVGF-1 vector [10] and its
pIGF-1 derivatives are frequently used for overexpression of genes
in *Tetrahymena*. This vector targets ribosomal genes located in an
extrachromosomal segment that replicates autonomously. In cur-
rent use, the pIGF-1 vector contains genes for antibiotic resistance,
a metallothionein promoter that is inducible by ionic cadmium
[11], a GFP gene, and a transcriptional stop sequence (Fig. 1).
Genes of interest are cloned 3′ to the GFP site in pIGF-1 and
therefore are expressed with an N-terminus GFP tag (Fig. 1).
Transformation vectors have been used for diverse studies involving
overexpression or replacement of *Tetrahymena* genes [12–16]. A
list of plasmid vectors is available from the National *Tetrahymena*
Stock Center (see Note 1).

The advent of particle bombardment via the hand-held gene
gun led to a more efficient method for transfection of plants,
animals, and plant and animal cells in culture and for therapeutic
delivery of nucleic acids into cells [17–23]. The basic operation of
the gene gun involves adhering microcarriers coated with the
biological material of interest (DNA, RNA, or other substances)
to the inner surfaces of small plastic tubes. A positively charged
organic polymer such as polyethylenimine can be used to neutralize
the negative charge on DNA. Polycaprolactone, a biodegradable
polyester, is another effective charge neutralizer [24]. High-
pressure helium is used to dislodge the microcarriers (“bullets”)
and force them into the cell. Gold or tungsten microcarriers are
widely used for particle bombardment protocols with diverse
experimental systems [17–23, 25–28]. However, some nanoparticles such as silver can be cytotoxic [29]; therefore, great care must be taken in choosing the correct DNA carrier. Bacterial spores and other degradable compounds have recently been used as microcarriers for both in vitro and in vivo transfection of mice [30] and delivery of therapeutic proteins [31]. Interestingly, *Tetrahymena* is known to ingest microcarriers [32] via phagocytosis. Phagosomes can travel to the nucleus [33], and this raises the possibility that ingested microcarriers could be targeted to the nucleus via phagocytosis.

**Fig. 1** A pIGF-1 vector was used to prepare a construct for transforming *Tetrahymena thermophila* for overexpression of the FERM domain in a myosin gene [4]. Unique restriction endonuclease cloning sites MTT1 (green) GFP (orange) FERM insert (red) and the transcriptional termination site RPL (darker orange) are pictured from 5′ → 3′.
The Bio-Rad chamber model PDS-1000/He Particle Delivery System (Cat#: 1652257) and the Helios® Gene Gun System (Cat#: 1652431) were recently quoted at a similar price for academic use. However, the chamber requires the purchase of a hepta adaptor (Cat#: 1652225) which increases the overall cost of the chamber by more than 20%. The significant price difference for supplementary components to the chamber model vs hand-held gun model may urge burgeoning Tetrahymena researchers to choose the latter model, which is easy to use and requires minimal space on the bench top.

This chapter describes protocols for using a hand-held gene gun to deliver transformation vectors for overexpression of genes or gene replacement in the macronucleus of Tetrahymena thermophila. Protocols provide helpful information for preparing cells for biolistic bombardment, preparation of DNA-coated gold particle “bullets” as adapted from a JoVE video article by Woods and Zito [34], and basic gene gun operating procedures for the Bio-Rad Helios Hand-Held Gene Gun based on the Bio-Rad Handbook for the Helios Gene Gun. We are not aware of any other maker of a hand-held gene gun. However, the protocols described in this chapter should be adaptable to other versions of the gene gun.

## 2 Materials

### 2.1 Growth and Starvation Media

1. Modified Neff’s growth medium [35]: 0.25% proteose peptone, 0.25% yeast extract, 0.5% glucose, 333 μM FeCl₃. Add 500 mL of dH₂O to an autoclavable bottle. Add 2.50 g proteose peptone, 2.50 g yeast extract, 5.0 g glucose, and 1 mL of 1000× 33.3 μM FeCl₃. Bring the solution up to 1 L with dH₂O, and mix vigorously. Autoclave and store media at 4 °C after sufficient cooling has taken place.

2. Dryl’s starvation medium [36]: 2 mM C₆H₅Na₃O₇, 1 mM NaH₂PO₄, 1 mM Na₂HPO₄, and 15 mM CaCl₂. Add 50 mL of dH₂O to an autoclavable bottle. Add 590 mg Na citrate•2H₂O, 140 mg NaH₂PO₄•H₂O, 140 mg Na₂HPO₄. Bring the solution up to 100 mL with dH₂O, and mix vigorously. Add 50 mL of dH₂O to a separate autoclavable bottle. Add 130 mg CaCl₂. Bring the solution up to 100 mL with dH₂O, and mix vigorously (see Note 2). Autoclave each solution for 20 min, and allow it to cool before using. Mix the two solutions under aseptic conditions by allowing each solution to pass through the same filter sterilization column, and adjust the volume to 1 L with dH₂O.

### 2.2 DNA Coating of Microcarriers

1. Vector DNA: ~1 μg/μL in dH₂O (see Note 3).

2. 100% ethanol.
3. Spermidine: Add 1.45 g of spermidine to 100 mL pure ethanol to make a 0.10 M solution.

4. 1.0 M calcium chloride.

5. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) stock: Dissolve 200 mg PVP into 10 mL of dH$_2$O to make a 20 mg/mL stock solution. Prepare a working solution of PVP: Add 175 μL of 20 mg/mL PVP stock solution to a sterile screw cap container, and bring the volume up to 3.5 mL with 100% ethanol to yield a concentration of 0.01 mg/mL PVP (see Note 4).

6. Gold (Au): 0.6–1.0 μm gold particles (see Note 5).

### 2.3 Gene Gun

1. Helios Gene Gun System (Bio-Rad 165-2431) includes the helium hose assembly with regulator tubing, prep station, syringe kit, Tefzel tube cutter, and optimization kit.

2. Tank of compressed helium.

3. Tank of compressed nitrogen.

### 2.4 Reagents for Selecting Transformants

1. 100× stock paromomycin: Dissolve 120 mg of paromomycin in 10 mL of sterile dH$_2$O.

2. 100× stock cycloheximide: Dissolve 30 mg of cycloheximide in 10 mL of sterile dH$_2$O.

3. 100× antibiotic/antimycotic mix: Use a commercially available product.

4. 1000× stock cadmium chloride: Dissolve 10 mg of CdCl$_2$ into 10 mL of sterile dH$_2$O.

### 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Coating Vector DNA to Gold (Au) Microcarriers

1. Add 50 μg (0.6–1.0 μm) gold particles to a sterile microfuge tube containing 50 μL of ethanol and 50 μL of 0.10 M spermidine. Sonicate for 1–2 min, and put the mixture through a vortex for 2–3 s. Next, add between 50 and 100 μg of 1 μg/μL vector DNA to the solution, and gently sonicate (see Note 6).

2. Dropwise, add 100 μL of 1.0 M calcium chloride to the previously prepared solution (step 1), and sonicate for 2–3 min. Allow the mixture to remain at room temperature, with the microfuge tube cap open, for 10 min.

3. After the 10-min incubation, briefly sonicate, and then pulse-centrifuge the mixture for 15 s. Carefully remove and discard supernatant (see Note 7).

4. Repeat three washes by adding 1 mL of fresh ethanol, and pulse-centrifuge the mixture for 15 s. Carefully remove and discard supernatant.
5. After the final wash, use 3.5 mL PVP ethanol working solution to transfer the DNA-coated gold particles to a sterile 10 mL screw cap container by repeatedly adding 200 μL of PVP (0.01 mg/mL) aliquots.

6. Complete the transfer of the DNA-coated gold particles, and add the remaining PVP working solution to the container, cap, and invert the container several times to ensure an even suspension (Fig. 2a).

3.2 Coating the Plastic Tubing with Gold Microcarriers (See Note 8)

1. Insert Tefzel tube into prep station rotator (Fig. 2b) until the free end of the tube reaches the “O” ring inside the tube prep station (see Note 9).

2. Cut the overhanging exposed end of the Tefzel tube ~2 in. (~5 cm) past the external hole of the prep station rotor (right side of Fig. 2b) to form a ~30 in. (~80 cm) Tefzel tube.

3. Dry the inner surface of the tube by adjusting the flow nob (immediately above “B” in Fig. 2b) to allow nitrogen flow between 0.35 and 0.4 L/min for a minimum of 15 min.

4. Draw up the DNA-coated gold particles (prepared in Subheading 3.1, step 6) into a 5 mL syringe.

5. Use connector tubing to attach the filled syringe to the dried Tefzel tube (Fig. 2b).

6. Quickly transfer the DNA-coated gold particles from the syringe to the dried tubing situated in the tubing prep station (see Note 10).
7. Mark off the distal region of the tube where there are no microcarriers. Exclude this region when preparing bullets in a subsequent step.

8. Allow the microcarriers to settle for 3–5 min. Then remove the mixture at a rate of 0.5–1.0 in./s. The tube should be emptied within 30–45 s.

9. Rotate the tube 180° by holding the tubing prep station on/off switch to position II, and allow the gold to coat the inside of the tubing for 3–4 s.

10. Turn the tubing prep station switch to position I for 20–30 s to rotate the tube and smear it with gold.

11. Allow nitrogen to flow through the tube at a rate of 0.35–0.4 L/min for 3–5 min.

12. Turn off the tubing prep station switch to position 0 (off), and close the nitrogen valve.

13. Remove the section of tubing that does not contain DNA-coated gold particles (see Subheading 3.1, step 7).

3.3 Tube Cutting and Barrel Preparation

1. Transfer the gold smeared tube from the tubing prep station to the tube cutter.

2. Ensure that the end of the tubing contacts the rear end of the prep station. Cut the tubing into sections approximately 1 in. (2.5 cm) in length (Fig. 2c).

3. Load precut tubes (Fig. 2d) into the barrel of the gun (Fig. 2e) or store the tubes at −20 °C until cells have been prepared.

3.4 Preparing Cells for Transformation Using Overexpression Vectors

1. The pIGF-1 vector and its derivatives target developing macronuclei at conjugation. Therefore, two different mating-competent strains are required for this type of transformation. Mating-competent cells can be purchased from the National Tetrahymena Stock Center (see Note 11).

2. Separately grow wild-type Tetrahymena cells (e.g., strains 427 and 428) in bottles containing 30 mL of modified Neff’s medium at 30 °C overnight, usually 12–18 h dependent upon the concentration of the inoculum.

3. Initiate a starvation regime while cells are still in exponential phase of growth. Gently centrifuge cells at 300 × g for 5 min in a sterile glass centrifuge tube. Carefully decant the Neff’s medium without disturbing the soft pellet of cells. Resuspend the pellet in Dryl’s starvation medium and wash 3× using the gentle centrifugation regime with fresh Dryl’s starvation medium for each wash.

4. After the third wash, resuspend each strain in 30 mL of Dryl’s starvation medium to yield an optical density of 0.2 as measured at 540 nm. Incubate the cells overnight (approximately 18 h) at 30 °C (see Note 12).
5. The following day, add ~2.5 mL of each starved *Tetrahymena* strain to a sterile 100 × 15 mm plastic Petri dish, and incubate at 30 °C. Expect conjugal pairing in 2–3 h after the initial cell mixing.

### 3.5 Preparing Conjugal Pairs for Biolistic Bombardment

1. Concentrate ~30 mL of conjugal *Tetrahymena* pairs (8–10 h after mixing) by gentle centrifugation at 300 × g for 5 min in a sterile glass centrifuge tube.

2. Gently decant the Dryl’s medium, and resuspend the conjugal pairs in the small volume (approximately 2–3 mL) of Dryl’s that remains in the centrifuge tube.

3. Decant the cell suspension onto the surface of sterile 24 cm GF/A Whatman filter paper placed in 100 × 15 mm plastic Petri dishes.

### 3.6 Using the Helios Hand-Held Gene Gun for Particle Bombardment

1. Load the stored bullets (Fig. 2d) into the barrel of the gun (Fig. 2e); load the barrel into the gun, and reassemble the remaining components (Fig. 3a).

2. Pressurize the gun to 200 psi with helium.

---

**Fig. 3** Assembled gene gun. (a) Gene gun attached to a helium tank. (b) Firing position of gun
3. Hold the gene gun approximately 20 cm above the Petri dish containing the conjugal pairs (Fig. 3b), and discharge the gene gun with a pressure of 120–180 psi (see Note 13).

3.7 Screening for Overexpression Transformants

1. Wash the bombarded cells from the Petri dish into Neff’s medium containing antibiotic/antimycotic mix, and incubate at 30 °C overnight.

2. Add the appropriate selection antibiotic either paromomycin (120–300 μg/mL) or cycloheximide (30–120 μg/mL) depending on the vector of choice (see Note 14). Vectors that contain a metallothionein promoter can be activated for expression of antibiotic resistance by addition of 1.0 μg/mL CdCl₂ (see Note 15).

3. Next dispense 250 μL aliquots of cell culture to each well of a 96-well plate, and incubate at 30 °C.

4. Examine each well for antibiotic-resistant clones after 3–5 days. Antibiotic-sensitive cells (non-transformers) will die within 3–5 days. Transformants will display rapid movement, and over time the population density will increase dramatically (see Note 15).

5. Remove 100 μL of culture from each well containing transformants, and put each aliquot into fresh 3 mL tubes. Add the appropriate concentration of selection marker either 120 μg/mL paromomycin or 30 μg/mL cycloheximide.

6. Repeat step 4, except using higher concentration of the appropriate selection antibiotic (either 180–240 μg/mL paromomycin or 45–60 μg/mL cycloheximide) (see Note 15).

3.8 Somatic Transformations

Somatic transformations are achieved by using plasmid vectors that target genes in the macronucleus of vegetative cells. Therefore, conjugal pairs are not used for this technique.

1. Grow wild-type *Tetrahymena* cells (e.g., strain 427 or 428) in bottles containing 30 mL of modified Neff’s medium at 30 °C overnight, usually 12–18 h dependent upon the concentration of the inoculum.

2. Initiate a starvation regime while cells are still in exponential phase of growth. Gently centrifuge cells at 300 × g for 5 min in a sterile glass centrifuge tube. Carefully decant the Neff’s medium without disturbing the soft pellet of cells. Resuspend the pellet in Dryl’s starvation medium and wash 3× using the gentle centrifugation regime with fresh Dryl’s starvation medium for each wash.

3. After the third wash, resuspend each strain in 30 mL of Dryl’s starvation medium to yield an optical density of 0.2 as measured at 540 nm. Incubate the cells overnight (approximately 18 h) at 30 °C.
4. Transfer concentrated cells onto the surface of sterile 24 cm GF/A Whatman filter paper placed in 100 × 15 mm plastic Petri dishes.

5. Prepare the gene gun and use it as described in Subheading 3.6, steps 1–3.

3.9 Screening for Somatic Transformants

Screen for transformants using the protocols described in Subheading 3.7, steps 1–4.

4 Notes

1. National *Tetrahymena* Stock Center Plasmid list can be found at (last accessed 10.25.2020) https://tetrahymena.vet.cornell.edu/plasmids.php. The pVGF-1 plasmid can be purchased under Plasmid ID: PID00008.

2. Alternatively Dryl’s can be prepared as 10× aliquots.

3. Prepare a suitable transformation vector for either overexpression or replacement of genes in the macronucleus. A list of plasmid vectors is available from the National *Tetrahymena* Stock Center (see Note 1).

4. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) serves as an adhesive during the cartridge preparation process. The working concentration of PVP should be between 0.01 and 0.1 mg/mL. We found that 0.01 mg/mL of PVP worked well for us.

5. We have experienced variability in the effectiveness of some commercially available gold particles. However, we achieved good results with gold microcarriers from Seashell Tech: (http://www.seashelltech.com/dnadel.shtml).

6. We used the Branson Model B200 Ultrasonic Cleaner. For gentle sonication, 20–30 s of sonication is sufficient.

7. We used a standard benchtop microcentrifuge for pulse centrifugations. Do not disturb the pellet when decanting the supernatant; it is acceptable to leave a small fraction of the supernatant behind.

8. Tefzel tubing is supplied with the Bio-Rad Gene Gun. It is advisable to begin drying the tube shortly before coating the gold with DNA.

9. Insert the Tefzel tube at the front end of the tubing prep station (right side of Fig. 2b), and gently guide the Tefzel tube until it reaches the internal contact point at the rear end of the tubing prep station (left side of Fig. 2b).

10. Work rapidly and carefully when coating the plastic with gold. A vacuum pump can be used to fill and to empty the Tefzel
tube with the gold-DNA mixture. Alternatively, with a bit of practice, this step can be performed manually with a syringe.

11. *Tetrahymena* mating strains can be purchased from the National *Tetrahymena* Stock Center (last accessed 10.25.2020): https://tetrahymena.vet.cornell.edu/strains.php. We achieved successful transformations with mating pairs CU427.4 (Stock ID: SD00715) and CU428.2 (Stock ID: SD00178).

12. In order to prevent low mating efficiency, the Neff’s medium must be completely washed from the culture and replaced with Dryl’s starvation medium in order to ensure adequate starvation of cells. Incompletely starved cells will continue to feed and will not mate. Excessive centrifugal force will lyse some of the cells, and the cell debris becomes nutrient material for the cells and consequently, they are not starved. In our hands, optimal starvation time is 18 hours assuming no nutrient medium is present in the culture.

13. *Tetrahymena* cells are very sensitive to biolistic force and will splatter out of the Petri dish when subjected to bombardment at pressures greater than 200 psi. We observed very good results at psi range between 120 and 180. For higher discharge pressures, preparing cartridges with higher PVP concentrations can increase the total number of particles delivered but may increase the number of cells that splatter out of the Petri dish.

14. Begin screening with low concentration of antibiotic (120 μg/mL paromomycin or 30 μg/mL cycloheximide). Increase antibiotic concentration until cells can no longer tolerate a further increase in concentration. It is advisable to replenish the 96-well plates with fresh Neff’s medium containing antibiotic/antimycotic mix and the appropriate selection marker after 2–3 days. CdCl₂ should not be added to the replenishment media.

15. We observed basal expression of GFP or GFP-tagged epitopes with the pIGF-1 vector in the absence of CdCl₂ consistent with another observation [37]. It is possible that the FeCl₃ in the Neff’s media mildly activates the MTT1 promoter.
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Chapter 19

Proteomic Tools for the Analysis of Cytoskeleton Proteins

Carlos Barreto, Andriele Silva, Eliza Wiech, Antonio Lopez, Avdar San, and Shaneen Singh

Abstract

Proteomic analyses have become an essential part of the toolkit of the molecular biologist, given the widespread availability of genomic data and open source or freely accessible bioinformatics software. Tools are available for detecting homologous sequences, recognizing functional domains, and modeling the three-dimensional structure for any given protein sequence, as well as for predicting interactions with other proteins or macromolecules. Although a wealth of structural and functional information is available for many cytoskeletal proteins, with representatives spanning all of the major subfamilies, the majority of cytoskeletal proteins remain partially or totally uncharacterized. Moreover, bioinformatics tools provide a means for studying the effects of synthetic mutations or naturally occurring variants of these cytoskeletal proteins. This chapter discusses various freely available proteomic analysis tools, with a focus on in silico prediction of protein structure and function. The selected tools are notable for providing an easily accessible interface for the novice while retaining advanced functionality for more experienced computational biologists.

Key words Proteomics, Homology modeling, Comparative modeling, Threading, Sequence similarity, Multiple sequence alignment, Protein domains, Secondary structure prediction, Structure analysis, Protein-protein interactions, Docking analysis

1 Introduction

The cytoskeleton is made up of a diverse family of structural proteins that operate in conjunction with interaction partners to form a conspicuous and dynamic internal superstructure within a cell. The proteins comprising the cytoskeletal system in any particular cell type represent a bewildering array of distinct molecular architectures: combinations of various modular domains, the function of which may or may not be currently known. Although a wealth of structural and functional information is available for representative members of the various classes of cytoskeletal proteins, many more remain partially or fully uncharacterized. However, this dearth of
Experimental data may be supplemented by predictive analyses. Computational tools that are freely and easily accessible to the scientific community currently provide an excellent starting point for prediction of the structural and functional properties of these uncharacterized protein sequences. These predictions can lead to well-designed experiments that can further probe the hypothesized function.

Proteomic tools are available for a variety of tasks, ranging from sequence analysis and characterization to the generation of high-resolution 3D models. For example, tools exist for finding sequentially similar sequences and putative homologs (e.g., BLAST, HMMER), recognizing and characterizing functional protein domains and residues (e.g., ScanProsite, Pfam), generating tertiary structure models for a given sequence (e.g., MODELLER, I-TASSER, HHpred), and predicting interactions of proteins with other proteins or other macromolecules (e.g., AutoDock Vina, LeDock, Haddock, ClusPro) (see Note 1, Table 1). These tools may be used alone as a platform for studying uncharacterized proteins or to map experimentally observed functionality onto a structural model. Alternatively, proteomic analyses may be carried out in conjunction with traditional wet-lab techniques to design more meaningful experiments. For example, a preliminary bioinformatics analysis may identify targets that are more likely to be pertinent to a given study. This chapter discusses various proteomic analysis tools with a focus on protein structure prediction and functional characterization. These in silico experiments are designed to begin with a raw sequence, which may have no functional annotation associated with it, and in a stepwise manner derive sequence and structural information leading up to a three-dimensional model of the sequence or its constituent domain(s), which can be analyzed for its biophysical properties and probed for interaction with putative binding partners.

## 2 Materials

### 2.1 Computer with Internet Access

A computer with any operating system may be used to perform the computational analyses described in these methods. However, some tools may not be available on some operating systems or there may be differences across platforms. Certain techniques utilize command line interfaces and may require knowledge of operating system-specific commands.

For tools requiring Linux, open-source operating systems such as Ubuntu are accessible, beginner-friendly options that either may be installed as a second independent operating system (dual-boot) or may run concurrently with another operating system as a virtual
machine on emulators such as VirtualBox. However, as some tools are quite resource intensive, the dual-boot option is recommended to ensure system stability.

Most web servers are accessible via any Internet-connected device. Some require an educational e-mail for registration and verification.

### 2.2 Visualization Software

#### 2.2.1 Visualization Software: Chimera

To visualize and manipulate three-dimensional protein structures, a molecular visualization system is required. Chimera is a comprehensive software package for rendering and manipulating three-dimensional protein structures [1]. In addition to providing an expansive toolset related to protein structural analyses, Chimera also includes limited functionality with respect to sequence analysis and alignment, protein-ligand docking, and molecular dynamics. While many software packages are available for each of these discrete functions, having the toolset available in a single operating environment may result in a more efficient workflow.

Chimera is available for Windows 7 or later, Mac OS X, and Linux, and all are freely accessible for noncommercial use. Only 64-bit builds are currently supported, but 32-bit builds are still available for download as of publication. The most recent version of Chimera, 1.14, was released on November 13, 2019. To install Chimera, follow these steps:

1. Chimera binaries are available at [www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/download.html](http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/download.html).
2. Download the binary installer that is appropriate for your operating system of choice.
3. Run the installer (on Windows) to install the program. You will be prompted to select an installation directory. On Mac OS X, load the installer’s “.dmg” file and drag the enclosed executable to your applications folder. On Linux, open the terminal and use the command `chmod +x FILENAME.bin` to make the .bin file executable. Then run the executable using the command `./FILENAME.bin`, making sure to change FILENAME to the name of the installer that you downloaded. Advanced users may make changes to the installation or simply hit enter at each prompt to accept the default options (see Note 2).

#### 2.2.2 Visualization Software: PyMOL

PyMOL is another popular open-source visualization package available at [www.pymol.org](http://www.pymol.org) [2]. PyMOL and Chimera each provide a perfectly adequate graphical user interface (GUI) for molecular visualization and annotation. However, PyMOL is likely more accessible to beginners, who may be more comfortable with PyMOL’s GUI and who could be overwhelmed by Chimera’s more advanced manipulation toolset.
PyMOL2.4 is the most current version of PyMOL. The software is monetized but made available for free under an academic license. Alternatively, you may compile open-source PyMOL directly from the source code or install it via a pre-compiled version that must be installed by the end user using Python (see Note 3). PyMOL allows for numerous ways to analyze and compare molecular structures in PDB, MOL, MOL2, and SDF filetypes (among others) straight from the graphical user interface. PyMOL’s additional versatility lies in the command line interface, and the ready availability of scripts online makes this feature accessible to those not versed in Python. Visit https://pymol.org/ and find your operating system; these instructions will focus on a Windows installation and interface.

1. Download and run the executable (EXE) file and follow the installation prompts.

2. **Obtaining a license.** To purchase a license, visit https://pymol.org/2/buy.html and follow the instructions there. To obtain an academic license, visit https://pymol.org/edu/?q=educational, and complete the survey.

3. Schrodinger will send an e-mail indicating a username, password, and link to download the educational license. Follow the link and type in the relevant information from the e-mail, select the destination folder, and click “Save.”

4. Once the license has been downloaded, open PyMOL and a license prompt should appear. Click “Browse for License File,” and search for the license file and open. A confirmation window will appear when this is done successfully.

---

### Alternative Molecular Visualization Tools

The Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (RCSB PDB) maintains a list of many other molecular graphics programs at https://www.rcsb.org/pages/thirdparty/molecular_graphics.

### Modeling Software

MODELLER is free for academic use and provides a full-featured modeling package that rivals commercial modeling software suites [3].

1. MODELLER binaries and installation guides are available at https://salilab.org/modeller/download_installation.html.

2. Download the binary installer that is appropriate for your operating system of choice.

3. Use the installation guide for your specific operating system to install the program.

4. Enter your MODELLER license key when prompted (see Note 4).
3 Methods

3.1 Protein Sequences Databases

The International Nucleotide Sequence Database Collaboration is an international consortium dedicated to collecting and cataloging sequence data submitted by researchers around the world. The consortium is comprised of the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) [4]; the European Bioinformatics Institute, part of the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL-EBI) [5]; and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [6] which exchange data daily to ensure that each member’s respective databases have up-to-date sequence data.

For proteomic studies, the Universal Protein Resource (UniProt) [7] administered by EMBL, the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB), and the Protein Information Resource (PIR) focuses on developing and maintaining a well-curated repository of protein sequences and annotations.

While the underlying dataset is shared between participating institutions, there are notable differences in interface and crucially, in annotation. While there have been efforts to implement and improve automatic annotation systems [8], manual annotation is the far more valuable, albeit labor intensive, means of understanding the function and context of any given snippet of sequence data. Consequently, it is useful to cross-reference across databases, and it is important to be aware of the source and quality of annotation.

1. Automatic annotation: Based on sequence similarity and presumed homology to proteins of known function, this is the least reliable source of annotation. While it may be a useful starting point, it should not be taken at face value and should be evaluated by the researcher.

2. Submission annotation: Researchers submitting sequence data may also include annotations related to their research project. Such submissions may include links to published article(s) associated with the submission or useful contextual information. Such annotations may be quite useful but are not independently reviewed before being added to the database, and so they only reflect the judgment of the submitting researchers.

3. Curated annotation: The highest quality of annotation, curation, involves a comprehensive analysis of sequence records, including a critical review of associated experimental and predicted data, numerous computational analyses, and an evaluation of the literature to identify pertinent biological information. Such records can combine many submissions and substantial data into a single nonredundant record that provides a wealth of useful data.
3.2 Retrieval of Protein Sequences

Protein sequences, and their annotations, from both NCBI and UniProt are available in several formats (see Note 5). Protein sequences may be located directly using a record’s accession number, by keywords, or via a BLAST search (see Subheading 3.2 for details on performing a BLAST search).

3.2.1 Retrieving Protein Sequences from NCBI’s GenPept

The following steps explain how to retrieve a specific protein sequence record from the NCBI’s GenPept protein database:

Accession Number Search

If you know the accession number assigned to a particular protein of interest, you may search for the record directly.

1. Navigate to www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ for the main portal entrance and simply enter the accession number into the search bar (see Note 6).

2. You may click download to save the protein sequence as an .faa file (see Note 7). Alternatively, click on the FASTA link to open a webpage where you may view the FASTA sequence. You may also open the protein’s entry itself for more information and links to related records.

Keyword Search

1. You may search for protein sequences from the main portal by selecting “Protein” from the drop-down box to the left of the search bar. Alternatively, you may navigate to www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/guide/proteins/ for NCBI’s list of useful proteomic databases and resources, and then click the link to “Protein Database.”

2. For a simple search, you can type directly into the search box (see Note 8). For more complex searches, click on the “Advanced” link below the search box.

3. In the advanced tab, you may build complex queries that search against specific fields in the record, e.g., Author, Organism, Journal, Gene Name, Keywords, etc., and link them with Boolean operators. Select the field you wish to search from the drop-down box on the left and type the search query for that field. Click on the “+”, plus sign, to the right of the search box to add more search terms as needed, making sure to specify the correct Boolean relationship in the drop-down box to the left of the field drop-down. If you wish to remove a particular search term, simply click on the “−”, minus sign, to the right side of that search term.

4. From the search results, you may read through summaries of the returned records to identify proteins of interest or further filter the results using the filter terms to the left of the results list.
5. Upon identifying a protein of interest, you may click on the title directly to open the record or click on a viewing option that navigates to a specific section of the record. Viewing options include “Identical proteins,” a list of the records for all submissions with sequences identical to that of the current protein; “FASTA,” a minimally annotated sequence in FASTA format (see Note 5); and “Graphics,” for a schematic illustration of the gene locus that encodes the selected protein.

6. From the FASTA view, the protein’s sequence can be copied and pasted or saved to a text file, as follows. From the “Send to” drop-down list, choose “File” and “FASTA” format. A FASTA-formatted text file containing the sequence will be downloaded to your browser’s default downloads folder.

7. Similarly, the FASTA for multiple entries may be saved from the search results list by clicking on the checkbox to the left of each result and then using the “Send to” drop-down list as in the previous step.

3.2.2 Retrieving Protein Sequences from UniProt

The following steps explain how to retrieve a specific protein sequence record from the EMBL-EBI’s UniProt protein database:

**Accession Number Search**

1. Navigate to www.uniprot.org/ and enter the accession number into the search bar (see Note 6).

2. If the accession number is correct, the record will open automatically. To quickly access the FASTA, you can click on the “Format” tab at the top of the record. This will open a menu to change the view format where you can select the FASTA view. To copy and paste: Click the FASTA(canonical) link in the “Format” tab menu and copy the text.

   To save to file: Right click on the FASTA(canonical) link in the “Format” tab menu and click “Save link as.” It will be saved as a .fasta file to your default download directory.

**Keyword Search**

1. You may search for protein sequences from the main portal www.uniprot.org/ by selecting “UniProtKB” from the drop-down box to the left of the search bar.

2. Both simple and advanced searches of the UniProtKB database may be conducted as described above for keyword searches of GenPept (steps 2 and 3, respectively).

3. By default, UniProt search results will display basic information about the returned records: Entry ID and name, Protein names, Gene names, Organism, and Length. However, these columns may be customized to tailor the search results to information relevant to your criteria. By clicking the “Columns” tab at the top of the search results, columns may be added to display data found in an entry’s record directly in the
search results, allowing you to scan large numbers of records for specific criteria like interactions, function, subcellular location, domains, etc. at a glance.

4. Entries may be viewed by clicking on the accession number listed in the entry column. To retrieve the sequence of a protein of interest from within its record, click on the “Format” tab at the top of record and save its FASTA sequence as described above.

5. To retrieve multiple FASTA entries at once from the search results page, click on the selection box (the first column of each entry) for the entries you wish to save and click on the “Download” tab. Select the “Download selected” radio button and choose FASTA(canonical) from the “Format” drop-down menu. For large numbers of FASTA entries, it is advisable to select the “Compressed” option which will download the file as a compressed .gz file. Otherwise, selecting “Uncompressed” and clicking on GO will link to a page with the requested FASTA. You may either copy and paste the FASTA from this page or right click on the page and click “Save as,” allowing you to save the page as a text file.

3.3 Text Formatting

It is highly recommended that a simple plain text reader such as Microsoft’s Notepad application be used for sequence collection and manipulation. Programs that allow more advanced document formatting options, such as Microsoft Word, are likely to add invisible formatting characters (e.g., an invisible tab or new line symbols) that may cause errors when introduced into other programs. Such word processors should be avoided entirely, as even simply cutting and pasting into them can cause problems. More advanced users will likely prefer basic text editors such as Notepad+ + which retain substantial functionality without introducing problematic formatting.

3.4 Searching for Similarities and Inferring Homologies

On its face, the flood of sequence data let loose by advances in sequencing technology has created an unmanageably large pile of discrete sequences. For these databases to be useful, however, we must be able to identify sequences of interest. Broadly speaking, we approach this problem in two complementary ways: theoretically and computationally. Theoretically, we take advantage of our understanding of structural biology and evolution to apply concepts such as conservative substitution and the principle of homology to organize and structure our databases of individual protein sequences. In doing so, we can greatly reduce the computational problem, making large databases of sequence data searchable and preventing them from becoming so unwieldy that they are reduced to data graveyards.
The BLAST algorithm [9], for example, takes advantage of the fact that conservative substitutions are unlikely to impair protein structure and function to score how similar one sequence is to another, allowing it quickly to find sequences within a database that are sequentially similar to a query sequence (see Note 9). Thus, the essential function of the BLAST algorithm is to identify the sequences most similar to one’s query. The theoretical assumption is that such sequences share a functional and/or evolutionary relationship (i.e., putative homology) to the query. If substantiated, these similarities allow us to make claims about the nature of the evolutionary relationship across species and may allow us to extend information related to the properties and function of homologs to our query.

While BLAST searches most easily identify homologous relationships, it can also be used to identify paralogous relationships, as the correlation between structure and function is also captured by the algorithm. By way of example, proteins of different function may share conserved domains or motifs that would elevate its BLAST score relative to a true homolog, spuriously implying a homologous relationship where one does not exist. The nature of the evolutionary relationship cannot be assumed by reference to the scoring algorithm alone, but the types of inferences necessary will not be addressed here.

General use cases for BLAST searches include (a) tracing the lineage of a given protein across species; (b) comparing homologs to identify the impact of structural differences on protein function and properties such as kinetics, binding, etc.; (c) identifying appropriate templates for homology modeling; and (d) identifying and grouping homologs to build a multiple sequence alignment that illuminates conserved sequences and functionally important motifs.

3.4.1 Conducting a BLASTP (Protein-Specific BLAST) of the Nonredundant NCBI RefSeq Database

2. Select “protein blast” by clicking on its hyperlink.
3. Cut and paste your sequence of interest (in FASTA format) into the “Enter Query Sequence” textbox (see Note 10). You can also browse and upload the sequence from a file (in FASTA format).
4. In the “Databases” field, select the appropriate database from the pull-down list. The nonredundant (nr) database is the default as it is the most inclusive database (see Note 11).
5. (Optional) In the “Organism” field, you may constrain your search to certain taxonomic groupings, either limiting the search to certain groups or excluding groups from the results by clicking on the exclude checkbox to the right of the entry field. You may add multiple organism criteria by clicking on the
“+”, plus sign, on the right side of the organism field. Typing in the field will create a drop-down list of possible matches to the NCBI taxonomic database with the corresponding taxid in parenthesis.

6. In the “Program Selection” field, select the “blastp” algorithm (see Note 12).

7. Advanced users can change important algorithm parameters by clicking on the “Algorithm parameters” link at the bottom. Beginners should avoid making any changes here except for the “Max target sequences” parameter which will increase the number of presented results from the default of 100 to up to 5000 hits.

8. Click on “BLAST” to initiate the search and wait for the results to load. The output page begins with details such as the RID number (see Note 13), the version of the program used, the citation for BLAST, query length, etc.

9. To download a file containing the FASTAs of selected results: In the “Descriptions” tab, click on “Download” and select “FASTA(complete sequence).” The selected FASTAs will be saved to a text file.

10. Searches may be filtered by organism, percent identity, E value, and query coverage in the fields on the upper right of the output page. To browse the results, different pages may be accessed via the tabs at the top of the search results window.

   (a) Description: The “Description” tab loads by default and lists the algorithm results, with columns for BLAST scores, query coverage, E value, and percent identity (see Note 14). Individual results may be selected/deselected by clicking on the checkbox next to the result. The links at the top of this section can then change the presentation of the selected results. The “GenPept” link will open a window with the GenPept summary for each result’s record (up to 100 entries). The “Graphics” link will open a window with a graphical representation of the alignment that highlights the regions of difference between the query and each database sequence. The “Distance Tree” link computes a phylogenetic tree that groups results by sequence similarity, useful for identifying clusters within your results. Finally, the “Multiple Alignment” link can send out selected results to COBALT.

   (b) Graphic Summary: The “Graphic Summary” tab provides a graphical representation of the query coverage with graphic identifying potential conserved domains at the top.

   (c) Alignments: The “Alignments” tab displays the full alignment between the query and each result.
3.4.2 Specialized BLAST Variants

Since the time of its inception, the basic BLAST algorithm has spawned multiple specialized spin-offs in response to particular use cases. A particularly useful divergence from pairwise alignment techniques such as BLAST that use position-independent scoring parameters are algorithms such as Position-Specific Iterated BLAST (PSI-BLAST) [10] and hidden Markov model-based approaches (HMMER) [11]. These algorithms use position-specific scores to create profiles keyed less to bulk physiochemical properties and more to conservation patterns/signatures found in a set of protein sequences. In doing so, these algorithms can detect distant homologs with low overall sequence similarity that would otherwise be indistinguishable from the many unrelated proteins with similarly low overall sequence similarity.

The PSI-BLAST method, for example, allows the user to dynamically create a customized position-specific scoring matrix (“PSSM”; see Note 15) based on an iterative series of BLAST searches. The search series is repeated until convergence (i.e., no change in the “hit” list) is observed. During these iterations, the user can adjust the alignment scoring function for the current and subsequent BLAST rounds by setting a cutoff value that determines whether to include low-scoring sequence hits into the PSSM. The addition of low-scoring hits gradually reduces the stringency of the search but may lead to the detection of distant homologs that would have been ignored by a standard BLAST search. The relevance of data uncovered in a PSI-BLAST is highly dependent on optimized parameters (e.g., selection of an appropriate cutoff value). It is recommended that novice users review the NCBI’s PSI-BLAST tutorial, located at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2590/.

3.4.3 Conducting a PHMMER (Profile-HMM) Search

The HMMER method [11] is another useful tool for identifying homologous proteins. Like PSI-BLAST, HMMER relies on a position-specific scoring profile. However, HMMER constructs this profile using a hidden Markov model approach: a dynamic Bayesian network to model the likelihood of each of the 20 standard amino acids occurring at each position in a given query based on a multiple sequence alignment of the query and sequentially similar proteins. In its simplest form, an implementation of the HMMER method follows a two-step process: (1) a profile-HMM is constructed based on the multiple sequence alignment of the query and similar sequences; and (2) the profile-HMM is queried against a database of protein sequences, with results limited based on a selected cutoff value. By using the profile-HMM in place of the
original sequence as the search query, HMMER can identify sequentially distant homologs that may have escaped detection in a BLAST or PSI-BLAST search.

To conduct a PHMMER:

1. Navigate to http://hmmer.org/ and click on “SEARCH” at the top of the screen.

2. A quick search may be run by pasting the FASTA of your query sequence into the search field, selecting the sequence target database you wish to search (see Note 16), and submitting. Alternatively, to access more search options, click on “Alternative search options” below the Quick Search box.

3. From this page, you may submit sequences by either (a) pasting the FASTA into the search field, (b) uploading a file, or (c) using the accession number of a supported database (see Note 6).

4. Select the sequence target database you wish to search.

5. To limit searches to specific taxa, you may either (a) type into the organism field in the “Restrict by Taxonomy” section, which will load a drop-down list of possible matches, or (b) click on the “Pre-defined representatives” tab, which will load a taxonomic tree where you may check off which taxa you wish to include in your search (see Note 17).

6. More options may be accessed by clicking on the “Advanced” button at the end of the page. The parameters most likely to be useful to all users are the “Customise Results” section, where you may (a) add additional columns to display additional information from each result in the results list and (b) change the number of rows displayed per page. Other options and advanced modifications of the search algorithm are available. You may click on the question mark symbol above each section for a description of each parameter and the available options.

7. Click on the “submit” button below the query textbox to initiate the search and wait for the results page to load.

8. The PHMMER output page displays a menu bar at the top of the screen that allows the user to view the results sorted by (a) “Score,” (b) “Taxonomy,” or (c) “Domain.” The fourth option on the menu bar, “Download,” opens a page to download the results in multiple formats. To download, simply click on the “Download” link and chose which data and format you wish to download. The “FASTA” format option, which downloads the significant hits from the search, is recommended as a starting point.

9. The results page provides the following details from the PHMMER run:
(a) **Score**: The “Score” page begins with the “Sequence Matches and Features” section, a graphical display of the sequence features identified from your search query. Queries submitted to the server are also searched using three additional methods to detect regions of disorder, signal peptides, transmembrane proteins, and coiled-coils. If a search does not return results, it is not displayed in the graphic, but a small green checkmark next to “disorder,” “coiled-coil,” and “tm & signal peptide” will indicate that the search was performed. Hovering over features of the graphic will load a pop-up with details and links. A small histogram depicts the “Distribution of Significant Hits” as a function of the E-value. The range of hits with the least significant E-values are binned on the left-hand side with hits within more significant ranges of E-values found the further right you go. Each bar is also color-coded to represent the percentage of the results within a particular classification (e.g., taxonomic domain, viruses, unclassified, other). The exact range of e-values and the number of hits within each category may be seen by highlighting a column. Lastly, “Significant Query Matches” displays a summary of each hit. Columns with additional information can be added by clicking on the “Customise” button on the upper right corner of the search results list, which will open the same options menu as described in step 6. Clicking on the “>” arrow in the first column to the left expands the result to show the pairwise alignment for a given hit. Alternatively, you may click on the “(show all) alignments” overlay link on the bottom left of the search results. You can open the database record for a particular result by clicking on the link in the “Target” column to access more information. If you searched a UniProt database, you may download the FASTA sequence for a particular result as described in Subheading 3.1, step 2.

(b) **Taxonomy**: The “Taxonomic Distribution of All Search Hits” section displays an interactive tree that organizes the results by taxonomic group. The number of results within a taxonomic classification is displayed in parentheses at the node representing it. Clicking on the right-hand arrow at a node will expand that taxonomic group, whereas double-clicking on a node will collapse it. Clicking on a node will generate a small histogram on the bottom right representing the distribution of significant hits for that node (akin to the one described for the overall results on the “Score” page in step 9). Lastly, the “Species Distribution” section provides a table listing the number of hits for each listed species. The table will
dynamically update to reflect the results for the node you have clicked on. The last column is a link back to the score page that will provide more details on the hits associated with that species.

(c) **Domain**: The same “Sequence Matches and Features” section as described on the score page is presented here to facilitate comparison against the list of “Domain Architectures” below. A table with details of your query’s features may be opened by clicking the “Show details” link below the graphic. Additional details for this table may be displayed by clicking on the “Advanced” button on the upper right corner of the opened table. The “Domain Architectures” section groups search results that share the same domain architecture. Each grouping lists the number of sequences within each group, lists the domain names and ordering of the domain architecture, and displays a graphic with the domain architecture of a representative of that group. Clicking on “Show all” will expand the group to display the graphics depicting the domain architecture of each result. Hovering over features of this graphic will load pop-ups with details and links for that feature. The black line in each graphic represents where the query aligned to the target sequence. Hovering over the black line will load a pop-up detailing the alignment coordinates for that hit. Lastly, clicking on “View Scores” will link back to the “Score” page and filter the results to that particular group.

10. Variations on the basic protein HMMER algorithm (PHMMER) are available. For example, the iterative JackHMMer algorithm may be preferable for detecting distant homologs. A link to these variations may be accessed on Search page at www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/phmmmer.

### 3.5 Multiple Sequence Alignment

Multiple sequence alignments (MSAs) assist in identifying evolutionary relationships between a set of sequences descended from a common ancestor. From the resulting MSA, sequence homology can be inferred, and phylogenetic analysis can be conducted to assess the aligned sequences’ shared evolutionary origins. MSAs also assist in identifying sequence conservation of protein sequence motifs and patterns, structural and functional domains, and secondary structure elements.

In a basic sense, MSAs are constructed by aligning a given set of sequences in a manner that maximizes a given scoring function. In order to account for residues present in only one (or a subset) of the aligned sequences, gaps may be inserted into the alignment, though gaps normally carry a penalty that reduces the scoring function more so than a residue mismatch. For pairwise alignments,
and small MSAs, it may be possible for a program to effectively sample all the potential alignment permutations to identify the highest-scoring MSA. However, as the set of sequences increased, the computational requirements scale up fast enough that this strategy becomes prohibitive for medium and large sets. This problem has spurred the development of MSA algorithms that can efficiently sample the available solution space to generate a top-scoring MSA with a reasonable degree of confidence. Some of the most popular MSA generation tools include CLUSTAL Omega [12], T-Coffee [13], MAFFT [14], MUSCLE [15], and PROBCONS [16]. An explanation of the underlying algorithm employed by these servers is available in the papers cited above. The output from each of these tools is likely to be highly similar, if not identical, for most datasets. However, discrepancies may arise due to biases in the algorithms implemented by these tools. As a result, it is often useful to submit a given query to multiple servers and to evaluate the result manually. The user may also wish to apply corrections to the MSA to account for information available to the user (e.g., knowledge of a particular protein family’s phylogeny) that was likely not factored into the algorithm.

3.5.1 Creation of a MSA Using CLUSTAL Omega

To create a MSA from a set of protein sequences:

1. Visit the CLUSTAL Omega site hosted by EMBL at the following address: http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/.
2. Paste your set of protein sequences in FASTA format into the text box or upload a file containing your set of sequences (see Note 18).
3. Click on “Submit” and wait for the results page to load. The MSA is displayed on the results page under the “Alignments” tab. A consensus line is provided below the alignment to indicate residue positions that are invariant, conserved, or semi-conserved (see Note 19). The MSA may be colored coded by selecting the “Show Colors” option.
4. To view the MSA, interactively click on the “Results Summary” tab and then press the “Start Jalview” button (see Note 20).
5. To download the MSA, select the “Results Summary” tab and click on the hyperlink below the label “Alignment in CLUSTAL format.” The resulting page will display the alignment in CLUSTAL format, which should be saved as a file with the “.ali” or “.clustal” extension. Depending on the browser, you may also be able to shift-click on this link to save the file directly to your default downloads folder.

3.5.2 Visualization of the MSA Using ESPript 3

ESPript 3 is an alignment editor that can be used to annotate and create high-resolution images of the MSA generated using any alignment program [17]. The program accepts alignment files in various formats as the input (see Note 21).
2. Click “Run ESPript” button on the top left side of the page.
3. Provide aligned sequences by uploading a file that contains your alignment.
4. There are other options for visual elements such as coloring and determining the number of residues per alignment lane and the distance between them, etc.
5. You can also determine the file type and quality of your output files at the bottom of the page (see Note 22).
6. After determining all the settings, click the “submit” button on the top left side of the page (see Note 23).
7. A new page in a new window will open and you will be able to download your file (see Note 24).

3.6 Protein Motifs, Patterns, and Domains

The presence of domains, repeats, and motifs in proteins dictates the protein structure and/or function. The motifs, patterns, and domains detection programs use sequence similarities and evolutionary history to identify and validate boundaries of specific domains, repeats, and motifs. The various detection methods are sensitive but not always specific in determining repeats’ boundaries. Therefore, to determine the final boundaries of the repeats, the data from the repeat/domain detection methods should be supplemented with the data on the secondary structure elements determined from the protein sequence. An integrated sequence analysis for the presence of motifs, patterns, repeats, and domains can reveal highly divergent sequence signatures that, at first glance, do not fit the consensus sequence for a specific signature perfectly [18].

3.6.1 InterPro

InterPro [19] is a database that groups proteins into families and provides functional information about the proteins based on sequence analysis and on the presence of domains and motifs. InterPro uses predictive models, known as signatures, provided by several different databases that make up the InterPro consortium.

1. Point your browser to InterPro: [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/).
2. Upload a file with your protein sequence or paste your sequence into the text box provided under “Sequence, in FASTA format” (see Note 25).
3. Click “Search.”
4. After submission, a processing page will be displayed (see Note 26).
5. Once the search is completed, click on the link under “RESULTS.”
6. A page with your results will be displayed.
7. Hover your mouse over the colored bars to obtain information about your protein. For example, to see the domain residues for your protein: hover your mouse over the bars under “Domain,” and the residues will be shown inside a box.

8. To take a snapshot of the results page, click “Options” and select “Save as Image” under “Snapshot.”

9. To color your results based on domain relationship, click “Options” and select “Domain Relationship” under “Colour By.”

10. To download your results, click “Export” and chose your preferred format (TSV, JSON, XML, GFF, or SVG).

3.6.2 PROSITE

PROSITE is a database of protein motifs, patterns, and profiles that represent known functional sites, domains, and families, which may be searched using the ScanProsite tool [20]. To identify these regions/sites in a given protein sequence:

2. Paste your protein sequence in the text box provided under “Quick Scan mode of ScanProsite.”
3. Click “Scan” (see Note 27).
4. The results page provides a visual schematic and detailed summary of protein domains and families identified in your target sequence. For additional options, click the “ScanProsite” hyperlink (under “Quick Scan mode of ScanProsite”).
5. The default option of ScanProsite allows scanning the given protein sequence against the PROSITE collection of motifs (Option 1). In addition, the user-defined motif(s) can be scanned against the PROSITE collection of proteins (Option 2), or one or more protein sequences and motifs can be scanned against each other (Option 3). For motif-based searches, one may wish to consider generating a pattern to be searched using PRATT [21] or to submit a particular (known) pattern of interest.
6. To create a cartoon to represent the identified motifs/domains in your query sequence through PROSITE or any other protein domains/motifs detection method, the MyDomains-Image Creator [22] can be used. Alternatively, one may use the Illustrator for Biological Sequences (IBS), a standalone package for visualizing and annotating protein or nucleotide sequences (http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/) [23].

3.6.3 PFAM

PFAM [24] is a hidden Markov model (HMM) profile-based method for the detection of protein domains and families. To analyze your protein sequence for Pfam matches:
1. Point your browser to PFAM database hosted by EMBL-EBI (https://pfam.xfam.org/).
2. Click “Sequence Search.”
3. Paste your protein sequence into the text box.
4. To search against the Pfam-A families (a set of manually curated families), click “Go” (see Note 28).
5. The results page will display the graphical output from the search, showing domains identified in your protein sequence as well as a table with statistically significant matches. This table provides additional details regarding the hits: descriptions of domains/families, the alignment between the target sequence and the HMM profile match, and relevant E-values.

3.6.4 CDD

The Conserved Domain Database (CDD) [25] can be used to search for the query protein conserved domains against the NCBI protein database that incorporates structural information from the 3D protein models.

To identify conserved domains in a sequence of interest, follow these steps:

2. Select “CD-Search and Batch CD-Search.”
3. Enter a query protein or nucleotide sequence in the text box.
4. Click “Submit.” The results page, normally generated within a few minutes, displays conserved domains detected within the query sequence.

In addition, the user can modify the search options including the options to search different databases, to modify the expect value (E-value) threshold (the default setting is set to produce the lowest number of false-positive results), to adjust the maximum number of hits, and to select the format of the results (concise, standard, or full).

3.6.5 SMART

The Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART) is a database of protein domains with a focus on signaling domains, which often have divergent sequences. It allows for the analysis of protein domain architectures by providing identification and annotation tools for the constituent domains in protein sequences [26]. To analyze the domain architecture of your protein sequence:

1. Point your browser to SMART: http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/ (see Note 29). Click on the box to the left, which has “Normal Mode” highlighted.
2. In the “Sequence Analysis” section on the following page, paste your protein sequence into the “Protein sequence” text
box or provide the UniProt accession number of your sequence (the “Sequence ID or ACC” textbox).

3. Click on “Sequence SMART.” The results page will display the domain architecture of your sequence in cartoon form. Click on any of the identified domain to get the sequence details and functional annotation ascribed to that domain.

4. Click on the “Information,” “Interactions,” “PTMs” (post-translational modifications), or “Orthology” tabs to reveal predictions associated with each of these topics.

3.6.6 HHrepID

HHrepID is a de novo repeat identification method that uses hidden Markov model (HMM) profiles [27]. To detect repeats in your protein sequence:

1. Point your browser to HHrepID (http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/tools/hhrepid).

2. Copy and paste a single query sequence or a multiple sequence alignment into the “Input” field, or upload a file containing one or more query sequences in FASTA format.

3. Click “Submit.” The search results are presented as a graphical output with all identified repeats mapped onto the query. In addition, this output is provided in tabular format and as a colored alignment. Probability values ($P$-values) are provided for each individual repeat and for the whole group of homologous repeat groups found together.

4. The default parameters may be modified (see the “Parameters” tab). For example, the number of MSA generation steps can be changed, and the $P$-value thresholds for repeat family detection and self-alignment may be adjusted to increase or decrease stringency. In addition, secondary structure prediction and domain boundary detection may be enabled.

3.6.7 REPRO

REPRO is a de novo repeat identification method [28]. To detect repeats in your protein sequence using this method:

1. Point your browser to REPRO: http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/reprowww/.

2. Paste your protein sequence of interest into the text box in FASTA format or upload a file containing a sequence to be analyzed.

3. Click “Run Repro.” An initial list of “top-scoring non-overlapping local alignments” will be displayed when the search is complete.

4. Specify the number of alignments that would be clustered to detect the repeat sets.
6. Click “Run Repro.” The results are presented under four categories: “Top alignments,” “Stacked alignments,” “Evaluation,” and “Fragments.” The final repeat sets are listed under “Fragments.”

3.7 Protein Secondary Structure Prediction

Secondary structure refers to the localized, regularly occurring structure of a given stretch of protein sequence in the form of alpha helices, beta strands, and loops. Secondary structure is dominated by hydrogen bonding between nearby residues and so presents a far less challenging task than tertiary structure prediction, which must account for additional parameters such as long-distance interactions. As a result, high confidence secondary structure prediction can be accomplished quickly for most protein sequences.

The canonical secondary structure motifs include alpha helices, beta strands, and loops. Surveys of the available crystal structures indicate that each of the proteogenic amino acids has a particular propensity to occur as one of these three secondary structural motifs. These biases result from the unique physiochemical properties of each amino acid and its surrounding residues.

Modern secondary structure prediction algorithms typically operate by assigning a secondary structure to each residue in a given sequence based upon a propensity table as well as knowledge-based potentials extracted from analyses of crystalized structures. A particular algorithm’s accuracy may vary based upon the type of protein (globular or fibrous) or based upon the protein family. As a result, it may be worthwhile to submit a sequence to several different algorithms. PSIPRED [29], Raptor-XSS8 [30], PSSpred [31], SSPro [32], and Jpred [33] are examples of commonly used secondary structure prediction programs that generally provide results with high accuracy.

3.7.1 PSIPRED

PSIPRED utilizes a neural network to analyze the results from a PSI-BLAST search of the query sequence. The current version of PSIPRED can be accessed at http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/.

1. Copy and paste your sequence of interest into the “input” field, in FASTA format. Other protein prediction tools may also be enabled for a query including domain prediction and homology-based modeling.

2. In the “Submission Details” section, you must provide a “Short identifier” for the job and may optionally provide an e-mail address to receive a job completion alert.

3. Select “Predict” to begin the analysis. Results will be available on the following page, which will periodically refresh. Results are normally complete within 30–60 min. If you provided an e-mail address in step 3, you can navigate away from this page; a link to the results will be sent to that address.
4. The results page displays the query sequence, with alpha helices and beta strands colored pink and yellow, respectively. Download links are provided for the raw output and for a zip file containing all the results.

3.7.2 PSSpred

PSSpred utilizes multiple back-propagation neural networks to analyze the results from a PSI-BLAST search of the query sequence. The current version of PSSpred (v2) is available online at http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/PSSpred/. A downloadable package is also available at this address.

1. Copy and paste your sequence of interest into the “PSSpred on-line” field, in FASTA format.

2. You must provide an e-mail address in the “e-mail” field below the submission box. A job name is optional.

3. After submitting the job, a page will be generated indicating the address where results will be posted upon completion.

4. The results page displays the query sequence, with a second row “SS:” showing the predicted secondary structure at each position (H, alpha helix; E, beta strand; C, coil) and a row “conf:” displaying the confidence score (1–9: low-high).

3.7.3 RaptorX-SS8

RaptorX-SS8 predicts both three-state and eight-state secondary structure using conditional neural fields generated from PSI-BLAST profiles as a part of its suite of structure prediction tools (see Note 30). This differs from PSIPRED and PSSpred, which predict secondary structure using a three-state model (helix, strand, coil). The current version of RaptorX-SS8 is available online at http://raptorx.uchicago.edu/StructurePrediction/predict/.

1. Copy and paste 1–20 sequences of interest into the “Sequence for Prediction” field, in FASTA format, and then press “submit.”

2. The following page will provide a link to the address where results will be stored, as well as a progress indicator. Note that you can create an account to organize and store past and current jobs.

3. The results page will provide additional information besides secondary structure, including a complete three-dimensional model of the full sequence and any individual domains that were detected. Secondary structure predictions are available under the tab, “Section III. Detailed Prediction Results.” Click on the “+” sign to expand this tab.

4. Initially, the “SS3” tab will be active, and the secondary structure prediction for each residue will be displayed graphically as
a three-state system (helix, blue; strand, yellow; coil, red). Higher bars represent higher confidence.

5. Click on the “SS8” tab to switch the results to an eight-state system. The eight-state model includes five additional secondary structure motifs beyond the three basic elements. The eight-state system is colored as follows: helix, blue; isolated beta-bridge, green; extended strand in a beta ladder, light yellow; three-helix, dark yellow; five-helix (pi-helix), tan; hydrogen-bonded turn, red; bend, orange; and coil, brown. Again, higher bars represent higher confidence.

6. A link to download the “detailed prediction results” is displayed on the right under the heading “Section II. Summary Prediction Results.”

3.7.4 SCRATCH

SCRATCH is a suite of predictors based on machine learning and saved profiles. Like Raptor-X, the suite includes SSPro and SSPro8 for three-state and eight-state secondary structure prediction along with numerous other predictors for solvent accessibility, disulfide bonds, disorder, and tertiary structure, among other things. SCRATCH is accessible via http://scratch.proteomics.ics.uci.edu/index.html.

1. Enter your e-mail, an identifier for the name of the query, and a protein sequence. The plain protein sequence should be entered without headers, spacing, or new lines.

2. Check off which predictors you would like to use, and click “SUBMIT.”

3. Your results will be delivered via e-mail.

3.7.5 JPred

The JPred server’s current version runs JPred 4, which incorporates the Jnet algorithm based on application of multiple sequence alignment profiles in order to make more accurate predictions. In addition to protein secondary structure, JPred also makes predictions on solvent accessibility and coil-coil regions. JPred can be accessed at http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/jpred4/index_up.html.

1. Copy and paste the single-letter amino acid sequence in plain text.

2. Clicking on “Advanced options...” just below the text field opens additional options, including the ability to upload a file.

3. Click “Make a Prediction.”

4. A new prediction page will be generated. From this page, the data secondary structure cartoon can be opened as an SVG file by clicking “View Results Summary in SVG.” Additional methods of downloading the data are also possible.
3.8 Prediction of Intrinsically Disordered Regions of Proteins

Disordered proteins or protein segments lack an organized tertiary structure. Even though they are believed to be intrinsically disordered regions lacking a structural fold typically associated with function, they nevertheless are often associated with essential biological functions. Furthermore, intrinsically disordered protein regions are vastly abundant in many proteins related with several human disorders, making these segments attractive drug targets for potential therapeutics. In 1997, Romero et al. proposed the first protein disordered region predictor which applied data mining algorithms to protein sequence data without fixed protein three-dimensional structures [34]. Since then, numerous predictors of protein disordered regions have become available and include several data mining and machine learning algorithms such as nearest neighbor algorithm, support vector machines (SVMs), neural networks (NNs), artificial neural network (ANNs), regression, sliding window, random forest, and Bayesian Markov chain model.

3.8.1 DISOPRED3

DISOPRED3 [35] can predict intrinsically disordered regions and protein-binding sites within them. Predicted IDRs are annotated as protein binding through a novel SVM-based classifier, which uses profile data and additional sequence-derived features.

To identify these regions in a protein sequence:

1. Point your browser to PSIPRED: http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/.
2. Select “Sequence Data” under “Select input data type.”
3. Select “DISOPRED3 under “Popular Analyses.”
4. Paste your protein sequence in the text box provided under “Submission details.”
5. Click “Submit” (see Note 31).
6. The results page will display the full protein sequence and highlight the disordered residues, by (1) a purple outer box indicating disorder prediction without protein binding and/or (2) a green outer box indicating disorder prediction with protein binding.

3.8.2 MFDp2

MFDp2 [36] combines per-residue disorder probabilities predicted by MFDp with per-sequence disorder content predicted by DisCon and applies novel post-processing filters to provide disorder predictions with improved predictive quality.

2. Upload a file with your protein sequence or paste your sequence in FASTA format into the text box provided under “1. Upload a file with protein sequences, or paste them into text area.”
3. Insert your e-mail address in the text box provided under “Please provide your e-mail address to be notified when results are ready.”

4. Click “Run MFDp2.”

5. After submission, a processing page will be displayed (see Note 32).

6. Ready Results can be found either by clicking the link under “Results page” or the link sent by MFDp2 to your e-mail provided during your protein sequence submission (see Note 33).

7. The MFDp2 results summary page will display the full protein sequence in which “red letters” correspond to predicted disordered residues and “green letters” represent residues predicted as ordered.

8. To download the results as a .cvs file, select the “MFDp” box and “DisCon” box under “Select Predictions,” and then click on “Download Results as a .cvs file.” To download the results as a .fasta file, select “MFDp” box and “DisCon” box under “Select Predictions,” and then click on “Download Results as a .fasta file.”

3.8.3 PrDOS

PrDOS [37] is a hybrid design that combines a machine learning model with a template-based approach. The output by the SVM model is combined with results of a search for homologs in PDB.

1. Point your browser to PrDOS: http://prdos.hgc.jp/cgi-bin/top.cgi.

2. Paste your protein sequence in the text box provided under “Query amino acid sequence.”

3. Set the “Prediction false positive rate” to 5.0% (see Note 33).

4. Keep the “Do not use template-based prediction” box unchecked (see Note 34).

5. Check the box “Receive prediction results by e-mail” and input your e-mail address (see Note 35).

6. Click “Predict.”

7. PrDOS page will provide you with an estimated calculation time. Click “OK.”

8. After submission, a processing page will be displayed.

9. Ready Results can be found either by remaining on the processing page until job is done or by e-mail. The HTML-formatted predicted results will display your full protein sequence, in which “red letters” correspond to predicted disordered residues and “black letters” represent residues predicted as ordered. A plot is also displayed, in which predicted disordered
residues are seen above the threshold (red, horizontal) line and predicted ordered residues are below the threshold line. To download the results in either CASP format or CSV format, click “Format” under “Download prediction results” and select the preferred file format, and then click “Download.”

3.9 Retrieval of Three-Dimensional Coordinates of Known Protein Structures

The column-based Protein Data Bank (PDB) format and newer chemical-based formats such as the macromolecular chemical interchange format (mmCIF) and molecular modeling database format (MMDB) are different standards for encoding the atomic coordinates of amino acid chains in three-dimensional space so that this information can be recognized and manipulated by software. Solved coordinates, obtained from X-ray crystallography and NMR spectrometry, can be downloaded from repositories such as the RCSB Protein Data Bank (described below) or built using molecular modeling software (see Subheading 3.9). In the RCSB PDB repository [38], each structure file has a unique four-character alphanumeric code, called a PDB ID code, for example, the PH domain of *Drosophila* β-spectrin has a PDB ID code 1DRO. To obtain a coordinate file from the RCSB PDB:

1. Navigate to the RCSB PDB repository (www.rcsb.org).
2. You can perform simple searches by entering search terms into the search field at the top of the main page. If you know the PDB ID code of a crystal structure, entering it will directly open its record (proceed to step 4).
3. Search terms other than a PDB ID code will return a page of search results. The search results may be filtered from the results page by selecting from the “Refinements” list on the left side of the results page. Tabs at the top of the results can change the display of the results between three options: (a) “Summary” which provides a picture of the structure along with a summary of details, (b) “Gallery” which only displays images of the structures, and (c) “Compact” which provides a single line description of each structure. Similarly, the “Tabular Report” drop-down allows the search result list to be reorganized to display various features of potential interest such as ligands present in the structure, biological details, or crystallography/NMR details. If these predefined reports do not provide all the details of interest, you may also select “Create custom report” in the drop-down to specify the data fields that will be displayed.
4. Clicking on the PDB ID or title of a record will open the record. The record page displays information about the structure. Tabs at the top of the page switch between the sections of the record.
(a) **Structure Summary:** The “Structure Summary” tab provides a variety of important details about the structure, including links to the literature, related structures, ligands, and details about the experimental data. Of particular importance for structures that will be used as modeling templates is the “Protein Feature View” section. The graphic in this section will highlight regions that could not be resolved or that were only partially resolved. Hovering over such a highlighted region will load a pop-up, specifying the location.

(b) **3D View:** The “3D View” tab opens a model viewer (*see Note 14*) that allows you to visualize the structure, any ligands, or other molecules or atoms that are present in the structure’s solution. A drop-down menu provides several options for viewers with different visualization interfaces and features.

(c) **Annotations:** Structures with annotations such as domain classifications, protein families, molecular function, cellular component, etc. will list them in this section. Clicking on the annotation will return a search page with PDB structures that share that annotation.

(d) **Experiment:** Details related to the crystallization or NMR experimental procedure will be found here.

(e) **Sequence:** Lastly, the “Sequence” tab will load the Protein Feature Viewer to provide graphical summaries of protein biological and structural features. Each track represents a different data source, allowing information from multiple resources to be integrated within a single graphic.

5. To download the record, click the “Download Files” button on the upper right of the record and select “PDB format” to open a save window.

### 3.10 Modeling the Three-Dimensional Structure of a Protein or Domain Sequence

#### 3.10.1 Modeling Algorithms and Approaches

Currently, the best route to create a three-dimensional model for a full-length protein or isolated domain is by “homology modeling” (comparative modeling), which involves predicting the tertiary structure of a given protein sequence by inferring constraints from a sequentially similar template structure. These algorithms operate on the assumption that similar protein sequences will result in a similar tertiary structure. As a result, the quality of homology models depends on the closeness of the evolutionary relationship on which they are based [39]. In this sense, homology modeling is distinguishable from ab initio or de novo methods, which generate models based purely on the underlying physical principles. Homology modeling methods are limited in that in order to model a given sequence, a suitable experimentally crystalized template must be available, with the threshold for suitability often considered to be
≥30% pairwise sequence identity across the aligned target-template region [40]. In the case of multi-domain targets, this limitation may be overcome by selecting templates for individual domains [41].

To generate high-quality models for queries that fail to satisfy the sequence identity threshold for homology modeling, threading methods can be used. Threading methods are the second major family of modeling algorithms that rely on fold recognition (threading) to predict the tertiary structure of a target sequence. Given that structure is more conserved than sequence, threading algorithms operate by extracting spatial constraints from a given template structure. Therefore, threading methods differ from homology modeling in that templates are selected based upon a sequence-structure alignment rather than a sequence-sequence alignment. For example, a simple threading algorithm might operate by predicting the secondary structure of a given query sequence, searching the PDB databank for crystal structures with a similar secondary structure architecture, and extracting 3D coordinates from the aligned template region in order to predict where the aligned residues in the query should be placed. That being said, modern threading algorithms typically employ much more sophisticated scoring algorithms that account for additional physiochemical parameters and energy minimization. Given that structure is more conserved than sequence, threading methods may be able to generate high-quality models for queries that fail to satisfy the sequence identity threshold for homology modeling.

Homology modeling involves the use of four basic steps: (1) identification of a suitable structural template, (2) alignment of the template and target sequences, (3) model building, and (4) model evaluation (see Note 36). Structural templates may be identified using the sequence similarity-based algorithm, PSI-BLAST, to search for matches in the protein databank (i.e., the “nr PDB” database option). With respect to desktop software, MODELLER is perhaps the most widely used homology modeling tool [3]. MODELLER is free for academic use and provides a full-featured modeling package that rivals commercial modeling software suites. However, MODELLER functions as a command line tool, which may be daunting to the novice, though easy to follow tutorials are provided by the Sali lab (http://salilab.org/modeller/tutorial/). Users unfamiliar with command line software may wish to access MODELLER through a GUI provided by third-party tools (e.g., Chimera) and online servers (e.g., HHpred). To summarize, in cases where a target protein shares low sequence identity with previously crystalized proteins, homology modeling may need to be coupled with fold recognition methods, which identify the likely protein fold even in cases where there is no clear sequence homology. To that end, many modern prediction servers employ a mixture of homology modeling and threading algorithms ("multi-
servers”). Examples of multi-servers include HHpred, Phyre2, LOMETS, I-TASSER, Raptor-X, pGenTHREADER, FUGUE, and SPARKS-X (see Notes 1 and 37). Several novice-level methods for model generation and a basic modeling script for modeling using MODELLER are discussed below.

### 3.10.2 Using HHpred to Generate a Homology Model Structure

1. HHpred [42] is accessible through your web browser at the following address: [http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred](http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred).
2. Paste your protein sequence in the designated “Input” field or upload a file with the protein sequence you are interested in modeling. Input your sequence in one of the supported formats, e.g., FASTA (see Note 38).
3. Select the PDB_mmCIF70 or PDB_mmCIF30 database.
4. Click “Submit” button and wait for the results.
5. When the results appear in the browser window, from the “Hitlist” (under “Hits”), select the top structure(s) and/or any other listed structure(s) that you wish to use as a template for the target sequence. Select the “Model using selection” option from the top menu bar to initiate model generation.
6. HHpred will then proceed to align your query against the selected template(s).
7. Click “Forward to MODELLER” button and an alignment file in “.pir” format is generated.
8. Enter your MODELLER license key (see Note 4). Click the “Submit” button and wait for your results.
9. When the results are ready, a cartoon rendition of the model will appear. Click “Download PDB File” button in the “3D Structure” panel to save the model on your local computer.

### 3.10.3 Using I-TASSER to Generate a Threading-Based Model

1. Visit [http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/registration.html](http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/registration.html) in order to request an academic license. Submit a registration form using a “.edu” address.
3. Paste your target sequence (in FASTA format) into the input form.
4. There are options in the submission page that allow the user to assign atom-atom restraints, to assign templates (with or without alignment), to exclude some templates, or to specify secondary structure for specific residues.
5. The user can uncheck “Keep my results public” box if the user wants to keep the job private (an assigned key will be needed to access the results).
6. Select “Run I-TASSER” to initiate the model building process. The resulting page will provide a link to the modeling output (record this address). Model building and optimization often requires 1–3 days to complete.

7. Results will be available at the address provided in step 6.

8. Results are available for a specified time period and can be downloaded as a single archive file (“.bz2”) via a link at the top of the results page.

9. The results page includes several useful sections in addition to the structural modeling output: “Predicted secondary structure,” “Predicted solvent accessibility,” “Predicted normalized B-factor” (a measure of flexibility), “Function prediction using COFACTOR,” and “Predicted binding sites.” A description is provided for each of these functions and the associated metrics for measuring the confidence of these predictions.

10. The most important output from an I-TASSER run is provided in the section titled “Top 5 Models Predicted by I-TASSER.” I-TASSER’s modeling process involves the generation of several hundred structures for a given sequence, which are then clustered based on three-dimensional similarity. The top-scoring structure from each of the five largest clusters is selected for further refinement (e.g., energy minimization) and provided as output to the user. These models are ranked according to the clustering frequency (i.e., Model 1 is from the cluster with the most members). Confidence scores (C-Score and TM-Score), an estimated RMSD, and a graph of the estimated RMSD at each residue position are provided for each of the top five models.

11. Evaluating the initial output: a TM-Score of <0.17 indicates a model is likely incorrect, whereas a TM-Score of >0.5 indicates that a model is likely to have the correct topology. The estimated RMSD and target-template alignments should be inspected; a low overall TM-Score may result from poor modeling at the highly variable distal ends of a protein while intervening functional domains are modeled accurately.

3.10.4 Generating a Homology Model Using MODELLER

1. Align the target protein with the template using MODELLER’s align2d() function. This function considers structural information when creating a pairwise alignment between the target and template, which may significantly improve alignment quality for templates with low similarity to the target. The templates with high similarity, however, pairwise alignments using standard alignment algorithms would produce the same alignment.

2. Create Python script for align2d. Use a basic text editor to write the Python script to be executed by MODELLER.
from modeller import *
env = environ()
aln = alignment(env)
mdl = model(env, file='TEMPLATEPDB',
model_segment=('FIRST:A','LAST:A'))
aln.append_model(mdl, align_codes='UNIQUETEMPLATE-
CODE',
atom_files='TEMPLATEPDB')
aln.append(file='SEQUENCEFILE', align_codes='UNI-
QUEIDENTIFIER')
aln.align2d()
aln.write(file='OUTPUTFILENAME.ali', alignment_for-
mat='PIR')
aln.write(file='OUTPUTFILENAME.pap', alignment_for-
mat='PAP')

Substitute in the appropriate filenames and codes for the
following variables. Assume the variables (and the files they
refer to) are case sensitive and don’t use spaces or unusual
characters to avoid errors.

TEMPLATEPDB: The filename of the PDB to be used as a
template. The file extension .pdb is implied; so if you are using
a template file named ModelABC.pdb, only ModelABC needs
to be entered. This variable is entered into two locations. The
first in the model() function to read the PDB file and the
second in the append_model() function to write the name of
the PDB file into the alignment file. This second entry corre-
sponds to field 2 of the PIR entry for the structure (see
Note 39).

UNIQUETEMPLATECODE: The sequence from the
model will be assigned this identifier. To avoid errors and future
confusion, assign it a unique code. SEQUENCEFILE: The
filename of the sequence for the target protein in PIR database
format. For example, if the sequence for your file is named
“XYZ.ali,” you will enter that as the variable here.

UNIQUEIDENTIFIER: The target protein sequence read
in from SEQUENCEFILE will be assigned this identifier.

OUTPUTFILENAME: The name you wish to assign the
output alignments. The PAP file format is designed to be easily
readable, but it is the PIR-formatted file that will be used by
MODELLER. The names for the output files need not be
identical (see Note 40). Finally, model_segment() specifies
the starting and ending chain of the PDB file. Typically, this
will default to “A,” but for models with more than one chain or
generated models, it could be another letter or left blank. The chain identifier may be found in the PDB file, under the ATOM coordinates section, listed after the three-letter residue code. Save the text file as a Python script (e.g., align2d.py).

3. Collect files. Place all the files referenced above in the same directory. You will need the script file (e.g., align2d.py), the TEMPLATEPDB file (e.g., ModelABC.pdb), and the SEQUENCEFILE file (e.g., XYZ.ali).

4. Execute the alignment script. From the MODELLER command line interface, navigate to the directory and execute the script. The files will be created in the directory from which you have run the script.

5. Check the output files for errors and if desired, manually check the alignment.

6. Create Python script to build models. Create another text file using a basic text editor for the following script:

   ```python
   from modeller import *
   from modeller.automodel import *
   env = environ()
   a = automodel(env, alnfile='ALIGNMENTFILE',
                 knowns='TEMPLATEPDB', sequence='UNIQUEIDENTIFIER')
   a.starting_model = 1
   a.make()
   ```

7. Substitute in the proper values for the variables—ALIGNMENTFILE: The alignment file (in PIR database format) between the template and the protein target. If using the alignment generated by the prior step, it would be named “OUTPUTFILENAME.ali.” TEMPLATEPDB: The same variable used in step 2. UNIQUEIDENTIFIER: The same variable used in step 2. Save the text file as a Python script (e.g., model.py).

8. Execute the script. From the MODELLER command line interface, navigate to the directory and execute the script. The files will be created in the directory from which you have run the script.

3.11 Model Refinement

Protein modeling programs are getting better and more advanced, but often still need further refinement. There are multiple programs designed to improve the quality of protein models. It is suggested that all these programs are utilized in the refinement process as each program will uniquely improve the protein models.
3.11.1 ModRefiner

ModRefiner [44] flexes side chains and the protein backbones to find an optimal conformation that is derived from the native state of the residues regarding the hydrogen bonding, backbone topology, and side chain positioning.

1. Go to https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/ModRefiner.
2. Enter the primary structure of the sequence you are investigating or upload your PDB file that contains your model.
3. Enter your e-mail address in the appropriate box (results will be sent there).
4. Click “Run ModRefiner.”
5. Results will be e-mailed to your e-mail address.

3.11.2 SCWRL4

SCWRL4 [45] is a program of protein model refinement that works through side chain packing and energy minimization. It is a standalone program and must be downloaded from the licensor.

2. Click the “License for SCWRL4 for non-profit users: Click here” link at the top of the page.
3. Fill out the form, go to link, download the program, install it, and run “Scwrl4.exe.”
4. A command screen will pop up. Type in “Scwrl4 -i modelin.pdb -o modelout.pdb” to run the program that accepts your modelin.pdb as the input file and outputs modelout.pdb file as the refined model (see Note 41).

3.11.3 3Drefine

3DRefine [46] is a protein refinement program that focuses on hydrogen bonding network and energy minimization based on force field information derived from experimental data from existing databases. The program also incorporates post-refinement model analysis by either MolProbity or RWPlus algorithms.

1. Go to http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/3Drefine/.
2. Type in your job name and enter your e-mail address.
3. Either copy/paste your structural content of your PDB file into the box or upload a PDB file that contains the coordinates of your model.
4. Choose the post-refinement analysis method by clicking the boxes.
5. Click the “Submit” button.

3.11.4 Refold

Refold [47] is a 3D protein model refinement program that utilizes rapid iterative refinement and molecular dynamics. Refold accepts a PDB file and the primary sequence as inputs.
1. Go to https://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/ReFOLD/ReFOLD_form.html.
2. Fill out the primary sequence of your protein model in the input box.
3. Upload the PDB file of your 3D protein model.
4. Optionally, provide an e-mail address where the results will be sent to and a short name for the project.
5. Click the “Predict” button.

3.11.5 refineD

refineD [48] is another protein refinement program that works through machine learning-based restrained relaxation of every individual residue. These residues are then scored with energy functions. The program also allows the usage of two different modes with different degrees of restraints: conservative mode, which relies on cumulative restraints, and adventurous mode, which relies on noncumulative restraints. The program accepts PDB files as an input. After completion of the run, five refined models with RMSD scores will be provided as the output.

1. Go to http://watson.cse.eng.auburn.edu/refineD/.
2. Type in your job name and enter your e-mail address.
3. Either copy/paste your structural content of your PDB file into the box or upload a PDB file that contains the coordinates of your model.
4. Choose the refinement mode (conservative or adventurous).
5. Click the “run refineD” button.

3.12 Model Evaluation Methods

Several of the model building programs provide options for assessing model validation internally, e.g., TM-Score in I-TASSER. In addition to these internal validation methods, one may also wish to consider submitting any generated models to external servers. There are multiple programs available that can be utilized for assessing the quality of the protein models based on various approaches. These programs are also useful for testing whether any refinements in generated models result in improvements in the structural quality of the protein. To be considered a high-quality model, the assessed model is expected to consistently score well in all the evaluation programs.

3.12.1 Verify 3D

Verify3D [49] determines the compatibility of the evaluated residues in the models by assessing the compatibility of the primary structure (1D) of the model with its 3D structural coordinates. It calculates the scores by comparing the structural environment of the individual residues of the model to those assessed for individual residues of native 3D structures obtained from the Protein Data Bank. The program accepts PDB files as input and visualizes the
evaluation by providing a line graph depicting the scores of individual residues in the model provided. To pass the test successfully, at least 80% of the residues tested in the model must meet the passing criteria.

1. Go to https://servicesn.mbi.ucla.edu/Verify3D/,
2. Upload your PDB file (see Note 42).
3. Click “Run verify3d” button.
4. Click the “check status” button.
5. Results will be displayed along with a detailed analysis.

### 3.12.2 VoroMQA

VoroMQA [50] is a program that assesses structural quality of protein models by using the statistical potential energy of molecules based on interatomic distances and solvent contact areas. VoroMQA locally scores individual residues in the protein model and provides a final global score for the whole molecule based on a comparison of the results obtained from high-quality experimentally determined protein structures.

The program accepts PDB files as input, and the results are depicted in a graphical format with scores for each individual residue. A global score is also provided for the entire molecule. A model with a score of \(<0.300\) is considered a bad model and a score \(>0.400\) indicates a good model.

2. Upload your PDB file as input.
3. Provide an optional job description and an e-mail address to send the results to.
4. Click “Submit” button.
5. A new tab within the same page will appear that contains the results and the score.

### 3.12.3 ProSA-web

ProSA-web [51] is a program that validates structural quality of protein models by generating knowledge-based energy values based on comparisons with experimentally obtained high-quality structures in the Protein Data Bank. ProSA-web outputs a z-score plotted on a graph containing the z-scores of experimentally crystallized structures of various lengths. A homology- or threading-based model should ideally display a z-score comparable to those of the displayed similar-length experimentally solved structures. The local residue-based plots give an assessment of the individual regions of the model.

1. Go to https://prosa.services.came.sbg.ac.at/prosa.php.
2. Choose your PDB file to upload, or, alternatively, you can test structures found on the PDB database by providing PDB code and chain ID (see Note 42).
3. Click “Analyse.”
4. The page will reload, and a new page will appear that indicates the z-score, local quality plot, as well as a visual heatmap showing the quality of the assessed model.

3.12.4 ProQ3

ProQ3 [52] is a program developed to evaluate protein model structures utilizing both machine learning and deep learning. ProQ3 measures structural features including secondary structure and surface area accessibility and calculates potential energy and number and type of interatomic interactions by using energy functions derived from both full atom modeling and centroid modeling. The program accepts PDB files as inputs and provides a global score by the end of the evaluation process. A higher global score indicates a model with higher structural quality.

1. Go to https://proq3.bioinfo.se/.
2. Upload your PDB file and optionally provide the primary sequence of the molecule in your PDB file. If not provided, the primary sequence will be extracted from the uploaded PDB file (see Note 43).
3. Provide an optional job name and an e-mail address to receive the results.
4. Click the “Submit” button.

When the job is finished, the page will reload with results.

3.13 Tools for Analyzing Structures

3.13.1 Visualization of Protein Structure in Chimera

1. Open Chimera.
2. From Chimera menu bar, click “File,” and then “Open” and search for your locally saved protein structure as a PDB file. Select the PDB file and click “Open.”
3. To download a protein structure from a Database, click “File” and then “Fetch by ID”—a pop-up menu will appear. You can select the protein database of your choice and input the protein structure ID. Enter the protein structure ID and click “Fetch.”
4. In either step (2 or 3), the protein structure will appear in the main window. It is depicted in “ribbon” format by default.
5. Getting started: from menu bar, click “Tools,” then “General Controls,” and then “Model Panel.” The model panel lists the models in Chimera and enables many operations upon them, such as “activate,” “group,” “clipping,” and “Ramachandran plot.”
6. Sequence display: from menu bar, click “Tools” > “Sequence” > “Sequence.” The “Show Model Sequence” window will be displayed. There, you will see a list of all polypeptide chains identified in the PDB file. Select the chain of interest and click “Show.” A Sequence panel will be
generated showing the amino acid sequence of the selected chain. By default, alpha helices are depicted in gold and beta strands are depicted in green.

7. Changing background color: from menu bar, click “Actions,” then “Color,” and then “all options.” The “Color Actions” window will open, select “background,” select the desired color, and close the window.

8. Coloring the protein: (1) Color Secondary Structure: from menu bar, click “Tools,” then “Depiction,” and then “Color Secondary Structure.” The “Color Secondary Structure” will open, select the secondary structure you desire to color and check the corresponding box, choose the desired color for the secondary structure, and click “Apply” to visualize the changes. To confirm the color changes, click “OK.” (2) Color as a rainbow: from menu bar, click “Tools,” then “Depiction,” and then “Rainbow.” The “Rainbow” window will open, and select if you want to change the color for every residue, chain, or model. Chose the desired color range and click “Apply” to visualize the changes. To confirm the color changes, click “OK.” (3) Coulombic Surface Coloring: from menu bar, click “Tools,” then “Surface/Binding Analysis,” and then “Coulombic Surface Coloring.” The “Coulombic Surface Coloring” window will open showing options for fine-tuning the representation. Click “Apply” to visualize the changes. To confirm the color changes, click “OK.” By default, negatively charged regions are colored red and positively charged regions are colored blue.

9. Labeling protein residues: from menu bar, click “Actions,” then “Labels,” and then “residue,” and then you may display the label in many different forms and even customize by clicking “custom.” To turn off Protein Residues Labeling, click “Actions,” then “Labels,” then “residue,” and then off.

10. Basic visualization techniques: (1) As atoms/bonds: from menu bar, click “Actions” > “Atoms/Bonds” > “Show”—you may further select your preferred display such as sticks, ball and stick, sphere, and wire (see Note 44). (2) As surface: from menu bar, click “Actions” > “Surface” > “Show”—you may further select your preferred display such as solid, mesh, and dot. (3) As ribbon: from menu bar, click “Actions” > “Ribbon” > “Show”—you may further select your preferred display such as flat, edged, rounded, and super smooth.

11. Structure comparison: Open two PDB files. From menu bar, click “Tools” > “Structure Comparison” > “MatchMaker.” The “MatchMaker” window will open. Click “Apply” or “OK.” If you would like to generate a sequence alignment based on structural superimposition: click “Tools” >
“Structure Comparison” > “MatchMaker” > select the box titled “After superimposition, compute structure-based multiple sequence alignment” > click “Apply” or “OK.”

1. **Opening and viewing a local PDB file.** With PyMOL open, select “File” in the menu bar and select “Open” and browse for your PDB file and select “open.”

2. Multiple files may be opened by repeating this previous step or by selecting multiple PDB files in the same folder by holding down the Control Key and clicking on the files before selecting “Open.”

3. **Opening and viewing a PDB file from the PDB database.** Files may be accessed directly from the PDB database by selecting “File” and then “Get PDB File.” Type the PDB ID in the “PDB ID:” field and select “Download.”

4. **Rotating the model in space.** Click and hold the left mouse button while dragging the mouse to move the model along its central axis. Right-click and hold and drag the mouse up to zoom out, and move it down to zoom in. The scroll wheel defines the thickness of the viewing plane, allowing you the creation of a laminate. Additional commands can be access by right-clicking in the viewing window.

5. **Using the object menu panel.** The object menu panel is a vertically oriented panel at the bottom right of the window. A list of the objects available is listed, and to the right of each object are the buttons A, S, H, L, and C. These three panels can be used to manipulate the displayed PDB file.

6. **Menu panel “action” button.** The menu panel “A” button (for “action”) allows for the zoom, orient, center, and origin movements of the mouse. Objects can also be edited, deleted, and renamed, and hydrogen and water molecules can be added or removed. The “State” menu allows PDB files with different conformations or poses to be analyzed individual or all at once.

7. **Menu panel “show” button.** The “S” button (for “show”) changes the way that the protein or particular selections can be represented. These representations include cartoons (for alpha helices and beta sheets), ribbons, spheres, and balls and sticks. Selecting representations turns different representations on without affecting the state of any current representations, thus allowing for multiple representations to be set to on at the same time. Hovering over “as” in the main menu allows for a similar selection menu that enables one representation while turning off any other active representations.

8. **Menu panel “hide” button.** The “H” button (for “hide”) has opposite functionality as the “show button.”
9. **Menu panel “label” button.** The “L” button (for “label”) allows for the labeling of individual residues, atoms, and chains and by other properties.

10. **Menu panel “color” button.** The multicolored “C” button (for “color”) allows for the coloration of individual objects and selections.

11. **Measuring the distance between objects.** Another useful tool is the ability to measure the distance between two objects. This feature can be accessed by clicking the “Wizard” in the Menu bar. Drop-down to “Measurement” and click on the two objects you want to measure; the resulting distance is represented in Angstroms.

12. **Superposition of multiple objects.** In the command line bar, type `<<super [object 1 name], [object 2 name]>>` and press “Enter.”

13. **Aligning proteins of higher sequence similarity.** In the command line bar, type `<<align [object 1 name], [object 2 name]>>` and press “Enter.”

14. **Aligning proteins of lower sequence similarity CE algorithm.** In the command line bar, type `<<cealign [object 1 name], [object 2 name]>>` and press “Enter.”

15. **Displaying surface electrostatics.** Click on the “Plugin” in the Menu Bar and Select “APBS Electrostatics,” and ensure that the Selection drop-down menu is set to the target PDB file and select “Run.” Click “Yes” when prompted to close the APBS dialogue box.

16. **Exporting an image.** PyMOL can be used for exporting high-quality images. Compose the molecules so that they are positioned to how you want the final image to look. Click on “File,” “Export image as...” and select “PNG.”

17. In the new window, click on the drop-down menu and select “ray trace with opaque background” for a black background or “ray trace with transparent background” for a transparent background.

18. Click “Save PNG as...” to select the folder; when the folder is selected, rename as needed, and click “Save.”

### 3.13.3 Manipulation of Protein Structures Using Chimera

**Energy Minimization:** Chimera has implemented limited energy minimization functionality and can be used to refine small errors in homology models (e.g., steric clashes) by moving the model toward a local energy minimum. To minimize a structure, select “Tools” from the menu bar, followed by “Structure Editing” and “Energy Minimization.” A dialog box will appear. By default, Chimera’s energy minimization routine applies 100 steps of steepest descent followed by 100 steps of conjugate gradient
minimization. The “Fixed atoms” field may be used to define regions of the structure that will be held fixed in place during minimization. This feature may be used, for example, to refine only a loop region within a protein.

Refining Rotamers/Mutating Individual Residues: Chimera can be used to refine the side chains of specific residues or to mutate individual residues in a protein, using the Dunbrack or Richardson rotamer libraries. To access this functionality, select “Tools” from the menu bar, followed by “Structure Editing” and “Rotamers.” A dialog box will appear. Select a residue (e.g., by control-clicking on the model). Choose a “Rotamer type” on the dialog box and the “Rotamer library” and press “Apply.” If you intend to refine a side chain, the “rotamer type” should be the same as the amino acid being refined, whereas if a mutation is intended, the “rotamer type” should be the replacement amino acid. A second dialog box will appear showing a table of various side chain conformations (chi angles and associated probabilities). Select a side chain conformation from the table. The 3D model will be updated to display the selected conformation. By default, the “Existing side chain(s):” field on the second dialog box is set to “replace.” Thus, pressing “Apply” will replace the selected residue with the active amino acid rotamer, refining or mutating the given residue. If a residue has been mutated, it is recommended that the energy minimization routine discussed above be applied to the structure.

3.14 Prediction of Protein-Protein Interactions

Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) play an essential role in several biological processes including those that involve cytoskeletal proteins. To fully understand the pathogenesis and treatments of many disorders, it is indispensable to study the detail of these interactions. Nevertheless, the present experimental methods suffer from a high rate of false-positives as well as false-negatives. While high-throughput experimental techniques have created vast amounts of protein-protein interaction (PPI) data, their coverage is still limited and the PPI data is quite noisy. Computational prediction of protein-protein interaction is gaining popularity as it allows for predictions of potential interactions when there is limited information available from experimental methods.

3.14.1 IntAct

IntAct [53] provides a freely available, open-source database system and analysis tools for molecular interaction data. All interactions are derived from literature curation or direct user submissions.

1. Point your browser to IntAct: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/.
2. Type or paste your protein term in the search box provided under (“Search IntAct”), e.g., BRCA2 or LCK_HUMAN (see Note 45).
3. Click “Search.”
4. A page showing your results should appear within minutes.

5. The full amount of interactions can be seen on top of the page under (“IntAct Search Results”).

6. For details on all predicted interactions, select the “Interactions (#)” tab. You may get further details on each interaction by clicking on the magnifying glass icon preceding the molecule name.

7. For details on the particular interactors, select the “Interactors” tab. You may narrow your interactors information down by selecting any of the follow tabs: Proteins, Complexes, Compounds, Nucleic Acids, or Genes.

8. Visualizing interactions: select the “Graph” tab. IntAct will create a network visualization graph. You may use the “CytoscapeWeb Controls” to change the graph layout by selecting either “force directed,” “radial,” or “circle.” You may also choose to merge edges by selecting either “on” or “off” (see Note 46).

9. Selecting first neighbors: while looking at the graph created by IntAct, right-click on your protein. A list with options will be displayed; select “Select first neighbours.”

10. To download your results, select the “Interactions” and scroll down the list under (“Select format to Download”), chose the format, and click “Download.”

3.14.2 STRING

STRING [54] is a database of known and predicted protein-protein interactions. The interactions include direct and indirect associations; they stem from computational prediction, from knowledge-based inference, and from interactions aggregated from other databases.

1. Point your browser to STRING: https://string-db.org/.

2. Type or paste your protein name in the text box provided under (“Protein Name”), e.g., CDC15

3. Select the type of organism under “Organism,” e.g., Saccharomyces cerevisiae. You may also select “auto-detect.”

4. Click “Search.”

5. By default, a network of predicted protein interactions will be displayed. Nodes can be moved; pop-ups provide information on nodes and edges (see Note 47).

6. To get details on interactors: while still on network view, select the interactor of interest and click on its node. A window will appear showing detailed information about the interactor.

7. To visualize more/less interactors in your network: select the “+ More” tab. Every click will increase the number of interactors shown. Conversely, select the “- Less.”
8. **Exporting your current network**: select the “Exports” tab and choose a preferred format (PNG or SVG), and then click “Download.”

9. **Displaying clusters**: select the “Clusters” tab, choose your preferred option, and click “APPLY.”

10. **Obtaining network stats**: select the “Analysis” tab to get information about total number of nodes, total number of edges, average node degree, and average local clustering coefficient.

### 3.14.3 BioGRID

The Biological General Repository for Interaction Datasets (BioGRID) [55] is a public database that archives and disseminates genetic and protein interaction data from model organisms and humans. It holds over 1,740,000 interactions curated from both high-throughput datasets and individual-focused studies, as derived from over 70,000+ publications in the primary literature.

1. Point your browser to BioGRID: [https://thebiogrid.org/](https://thebiogrid.org/).

2. Select “By Identifier” from the list shown above the search box. Type or paste your protein term in the search box provided under (“Search”), e.g., CDC15 (see Note 48).

3. Select the type of organism under “Organism,” e.g., *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. You may also select “All Organisms.”

4. Click “Submit Identifier Search.”

5. The Result Summary page will display a table with all the predicted protein interactions.

6. **To get details on interactors**: From the (“Switch View”) tab, select “Interactors.” A list with all predicted interactors will be shown. You may click on the interactor to read more info.

7. **To get details on interactions**: From the (“Switch View”) tab, select “Interactions.” A list with all predicted interactions will be shown. The Role and Experimental Evidence Code are provided.

8. **To download the Published Interactions for This Protein**: Above the (“Switch View”) tab, click “Download Published Interactions For This Protein.”

9. **Visualizing interactions**: From the (“Switch View”) tab, select “Network.” A network of predicted protein interactions will appear.

10. **Changing visualization layouts**: While still on “Network” tab, select “LAYOUTS.” A list showing options for different layouts will appear. Select your desired layout and your network will change accordingly.

11. **Saving your network image**: while still on “Network” tab, select “FILE,” and then select “EXPORT TO PNG.”
12. Post-translation modifications information: from the (“Switch View”) tab, select “PTM Sites,” and then a graph and a list containing information about post-translation modifications will appear. You can also access the publication source directly from the list. Alternatively, you may search by publication. Select “By Publication” from the list shown above the search box. Type or paste the PubMed ID (e.g., 29301708) or keyword term.

13. Click “Submit Publication Search.”

### 3.15 Docking Analysis

#### 3.15.1 AutoDock Vina

AutoDock [56] is a molecular docking tool for receptor-ligand binding. AutoDock Vina uses the PDBQT file format, which can be generated from PDB file formats using AutoDock Tools, as detailed here.

1. The AutoDock Tools software can be obtained as part of the MGL Tools suite at [http://mgltools.scripps.edu/downloads](http://mgltools.scripps.edu/downloads).
3. **Selecting the receptor and creating the PDBQT file.** Select the molecule by mousing over the toolbar, selecting “File,” and then “Read Molecule,” and selecting the containing folder and file of your target molecule.
4. To add polar hydrogens to your molecule, mouse over the toolbar and select “Edit,” “Hydrogen,” then “Add,” and then “Polar Only.” Hit “OK” (see Note 49).
5. The next step is to save the molecule as a PDBQT. Click on “Grid” and then select “Macromolecules” and click on “Choose.” Click on your target molecule and then click “Select Molecule” and then choose an adequate location to save your PDBQT file and hit “Save.”
6. **Defining the Grid Box.** The Grid Box is the search area on the macromolecule where Vina will search for potential binding sites. It is important to minimize the size of the search box for more accurate results.
7. Begin by mousing over “Grid” and selecting “Grid Box.”
8. A new window appears, which allows you to modify the size and center of the search box.
9. First, change the spacing to 1.000 Å.
10. Then, use the dials to modify the X, Y, and Z dimensions and centers of the search box. Do not close the program yet.
11. **Selecting the ligand and creating a PDBQT file.** Use your cursor to select “Ligand,” “Input,” and “Open,” and then find and select your desired ligand PDB file; once located, select “Open.” Add hydrogens as needed per the instructions
above. Then mouse over “Ligand” and “Output,” and click “Save as PDBQT…” and select your desired folder and hit “Save.”

12. Creating a configuration file. While it is possible to operate Vina without a configuration file, creating a configuration file is invaluable when performing multiple docking runs. The configuration file includes the center and size of the search box defined using AutoDock Tools and the names of the ligand and receptor files. Exhaustiveness, the number of parallel runs the software performs during the docking query in its search for a global minimum, can also be manually defined in the file. If not specified, the default exhaustiveness is set to 8; the appropriate exhaustiveness setting is determined by the specifications of the user’s computer and the amount of time the user would like to spend on a trial.

13. Open a text editor like Notepad or Notepad ++ to create a TXT file.

14. Copy the information for the Grid Box into the TXT file and specify the name of the ligand, receptor, and exhaustiveness. A sample of the TXT script would look like this:

```plaintext
receptor = model.pdbqt
ligand = model.pdbqt
center_x = 36.516
center_y = -7.389
center_z = -31.081
exhaustiveness = 50
size_x = 55
size_y = 40
size_z = 40
```

15. Save the TXT configuration file.

16. Docking using AutoDock Vina. AutoDock Vina is run using command prompts. Ensure that your configuration file, ligand PDBQT file, and receptor PDBQT file are all in the same folder. In this instance, we are using C:\Vina Docking as our containing folder.

17. Open the command prompt and change the directory to the containing folder by typing “cd” followed by a space and the path of the containing folder. In our sample case, the command line should read <<cd C:\Vina Docking>>.

18. Hit the “Enter” key.
19. To run Vina, type the file path leading to where Vina.exe is saved in—this should be entered in quotations. We will use the default file path to which Vina is saved; therefore, the command line should read "C:\Vina Docking "C:\Program Files (x86)\The Scripps Research Institute\Vina\vina.exe".".

20. Press “Enter.” The text should appear if this is done correctly; the text includes common commands for Vina, but we will be relying on our configuration file.

21. Next, we run the configuration file, which in this example has been named “conf.txt.” The command line should read "C:\Vina Docking> "C:\Program Files (x86)\The Scripps Research Institute\Vina\vina.exe" --config conf.txt>> (see Note 50).

22. Hit “Enter.” Vina will begin calculating and a progress bar will appear.

23. You will know that Vina is done calculating when a chart is populated. This chart lists the poses and affinity values.

24. When Vina finishes, a new ligand PDBQT file will be made. The file will be automatically named by appending “.out” to the name of the ligand PDBQT file. In this instance, since our ligand file was named “ligand.pdbqt,” our output file is “ligand_out.pdbqt.”

25. **Viewing the results.** Open a visualization software like PyMOL to open the output file (ligand_out.pdbqt) and the receptor file (receptor.pdbqt). Use the software to cycle through the poses.

3.15.2 *LeDock*

*LeDock* [57] is a streamlined software system for flexible docking of small ligands. *LeDock* uses complementarity along with hydrogen bonding and electrostatics to calculate the docking scenarios.

1. **Obtaining the software.** *LeDock* can be downloaded from [http://www.lephar.com/download.htm](http://www.lephar.com/download.htm).

2. **Creating docking files in LePro.** Before docking with LeDock, it is necessary to create an input file and a PDB file in LePro. Begin by opening LeDock, and select the LePro tab.

3. In the “Protein input” line, select “Choose” and select the PDB file of your protein of interest. The protein output file path and filename will be automatically populated into the same folder from which you retrieved the protein; the default name is pro.pdb. Likewise, the docking parameters file path will be automatically populated into the same folder and be named dock.in by default.

4. Click “Add Hydrogen.” This makes the text field below editable in order to specify the search parameters of the dock.in file.

5. In the text field, specify the search box coordinates under “Binding Pocket” by replacing “xmin,” “xmax,” “ymin,” etc.
with their respective values. Given its lightweight profile, LeDock lacks a native tool for designating an enclosure box for the search; therefore, an alternate program for designating the enclosure box must be used (see Note 51).

6. Edit the number of desired binding poses; the default setting is 20.

7. Select “Save.”


9. The protein input and docking input file paths should be automatically filled if they were just made with LePro. If not, specify the file paths.

10. Input the ligand file path. LeDock requires that the ligand be in MOL2 file format, to convert a PDB ligand file to MOL2 (see Note 52).

11. Select “Start Docking.”

12. LeDock will generate a DOK output file into the same folder as your target ligand.

13. Visualizing the results. Rename the *.dok file to *.pdb. Open the new *.pdb output file along with pro.pdb in PyMOL to view the results.

### 3.15.3 ClusPro

ClusPro [58] is a protein-docking algorithm designed to model protein complexes from the protein subunits in their unbound state. ClusPro server is a rigid-body docking algorithm and directs the transformations relying on searching the entire three-dimensional space of one of the subunits using fast Fourier transform (FFT) to simulate binding.

1. Point your browser to ClusPro: https://cluspro.bu.edu/login.php (see Note 53).

2. Login or sign up for an account (use a “.edu” e-mail address).

3. Click on the “Dock” tab above the banner.

4. Enter your job name.

5. For the receptor and ligand, enter PDB IDs or upload a PDB file. There is an option to specify chains to be used in docking.

6. There are advanced options that allow the user to specify attraction and repulsion of residues (“Attraction and Repulsion” option), to remove unstructured terminal residues (“Structure Modification” option), to use the uploaded receptor as units of a dimer or a trimer (“Multimer Docking” option), to set receptor as antibody and ligand as antigen (“Antibody Mode” option), to use heparin as a ligand (“Heparin Ligand” option), to submit small-angle X-ray scattering
(SAXS) data (“Saxs Profile” option), and to use restraints to filter results if the interacting residues are known (“Restraints” option).

7. Submit the job by clicking on the “Dock” tab.

8. To access the results, click on the “Results” tab above the banner. You can view the results by clicking on the job ID in “My Finished Jobs” list.

9. The results can be downloaded as “.pdb” files. (balanced, electrostatic-favored, hydrophobic-favored, and VdW+Elec coefficient sets can be selected).

10. The user can click on the “Display Models” drop-down menu to specify the number of models to be displayed for each coefficient set.

11. To view and to initially analyze the models, the user can use PyMOL [2].

12. To specifically identify residues involved in the selected protein-protein interfaces, the protein complexes can be analyzed with the PDBSum Generate server (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/thornton-srv/databases/pdbsum/Generate.html) [59].

4 Notes

1. All analyses described can be carried out using more than one program. Listed below are some alternative software choices for each type of analysis described earlier. This list is not exhaustive, but refers the reader to some of the more popular tools available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Program or database</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Primary references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMBL-EBI GenBank</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ebi.ac.uk/">http://www.ebi.ac.uk/</a></td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RCSB PDB neXtProt</td>
<td><a href="http://www.rcsb.org/">http://www.rcsb.org/</a></td>
<td>[38, 61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PIR</td>
<td><a href="http://pir.georgetown.edu/">http://pir.georgetown.edu/</a></td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Program or database</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Primary references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: PSI-BLAST, DELTA-BLAST, and other variants are available at this address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: JackHMMer, HMMsearch, and other HMMer variants are available at this address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HHblits</td>
<td><a href="http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhblits">http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhblits</a></td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FASTA Suite</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/sss/fasta/">http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/sss/fasta/</a></td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Note: searches are available with SSEARCH (local), GGSEARCH (global), and GLSEARCH (global query, local database)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple sequence</td>
<td>CLUSTAL Omega</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/">http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/</a></td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MAFFT</td>
<td><a href="http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/">http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/</a></td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MUSCLE</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/">http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/</a></td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROBCONS</td>
<td><a href="http://probcons.stanford.edu/">http://probcons.stanford.edu/</a></td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRALINE</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/pralinewww/">http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/pralinewww/</a></td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPASS</td>
<td><a href="http://prodata.swmed.edu/compass/compass.php">http://prodata.swmed.edu/compass/compass.php</a></td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PROMALS3D</td>
<td><a href="http://prodata.swmed.edu/promals3d/promals3d.php">http://prodata.swmed.edu/promals3d/promals3d.php</a></td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proalign</td>
<td><a href="http://probalign.njit.edu/proalign/login">http://probalign.njit.edu/proalign/login</a></td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Program or database</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Primary references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary structure prediction</td>
<td>PSIPRED</td>
<td><a href="http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/">http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/</a></td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSSpred</td>
<td><a href="http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/PSSpred/">http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/PSSpred/</a></td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jpred4</td>
<td><a href="http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/jpred4/index.html">http://www.compbio.dundee.ac.uk/jpred4/index.html</a></td>
<td>[33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NetSurfP</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetSurfP/">http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetSurfP/</a></td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PredictProtein</td>
<td><a href="https://www.predictprotein.org/">https://www.predictprotein.org/</a></td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PORTER</td>
<td><a href="http://distill.ucd.ie/porter/">http://distill.ucd.ie/porter/</a></td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOPMA</td>
<td><a href="https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat.pl?page=npsa_sopma.html">https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat.pl?page=npsa_sopma.html</a></td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yaspin</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/yaspinwww/">http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/yaspinwww/</a></td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SABLE</td>
<td><a href="http://sable.cchmc.org/">http://sable.cchmc.org/</a></td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequence analysis (domain, motif, active site annotation, etc.)</td>
<td>InterPro</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/">http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/</a></td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PRATT</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/pratt/">http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/pfa/pratt/</a></td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pfam</td>
<td><a href="http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk">http://pfam.sanger.ac.uk</a></td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SMART</td>
<td><a href="http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/">http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/</a></td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HHrepID</td>
<td><a href="http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhrepid">http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhrepid</a></td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REPRO</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/reprowww/">http://www.ibi.vu.nl/programs/reprowww/</a></td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Program or database</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Primary references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model generation (homology modeling, threading, ab initio)</td>
<td>MODELLER</td>
<td><a href="http://salilab.org/modeller/">http://salilab.org/modeller/</a></td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HHpred</td>
<td><a href="http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred">http://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred</a></td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-TASSER</td>
<td><a href="http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/">http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/</a></td>
<td>[43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Robetta</td>
<td><a href="http://robeta.bakerlab.org/">http://robeta.bakerlab.org/</a></td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quark</td>
<td><a href="http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/QUARK/">http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/QUARK/</a></td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAPTOR-X</td>
<td><a href="http://raptorx.uchicago.edu/">http://raptorx.uchicago.edu/</a></td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOMETS</td>
<td><a href="http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/LOMETS/">http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/LOMETS/</a></td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phyre2</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/">http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/</a></td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pGenThreader</td>
<td><a href="http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/?pgenthreader=1">http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/?pgenthreader=1</a></td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SPARKS-X</td>
<td><a href="http://sparks-lab.org/yueyang/server/SPARKS-X/">http://sparks-lab.org/yueyang/server/SPARKS-X/</a></td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IntFOLD</td>
<td><a href="https://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/IntFOLD/IntFOLD5_form.html">https://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/IntFOLD/IntFOLD5_form.html</a></td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3D Jigsaw</td>
<td><a href="https://bmm.crick.ac.uk/~svc-bmm-3djigsaw/help_crick.html">https://bmm.crick.ac.uk/~svc-bmm-3djigsaw/help_crick.html</a></td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pcons</td>
<td><a href="http://pcons.net/">http://pcons.net/</a></td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VoroMQA</td>
<td><a href="http://services.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/">http://services.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/</a></td>
<td>[50]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ProSA</td>
<td><a href="http://prosa.services.came.sbg.ac.at/prosa.php">http://prosa.services.came.sbg.ac.at/prosa.php</a></td>
<td>[51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Program or database</td>
<td>URL</td>
<td>Primary references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ProQ</td>
<td><a href="http://www.sbc.su.se/~bjornw/ProQ/ProQ.cgi">http://www.sbc.su.se/~bjornw/ProQ/ProQ.cgi</a></td>
<td>[52, 88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ModFOLD</td>
<td><a href="http://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/ModFOLD/">http://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/ModFOLD/</a></td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>QMEAN</td>
<td><a href="http://swissmodel.expasy.org/qmean/cgi/index.cgi">http://swissmodel.expasy.org/qmean/cgi/index.cgi</a></td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TM-Score</td>
<td><a href="http://zhanglab.ccb.med.umich.edu/TM-score/">http://zhanglab.ccb.med.umich.edu/TM-score/</a></td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSVS</td>
<td><a href="https://montelionelab.chem.rpi.edu/PSVS/">https://montelionelab.chem.rpi.edu/PSVS/</a></td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ModEval</td>
<td><a href="http://modbase.combio.ucsf.edu/evaluation/">http://modbase.combio.ucsf.edu/evaluation/</a></td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model refinement tools</td>
<td>ModRefiner</td>
<td><a href="https://zhanglab.ccb.med.umich.edu/ModRefiner/">https://zhanglab.ccb.med.umich.edu/ModRefiner/</a></td>
<td>[44]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCWRL4</td>
<td><a href="http://dunbrack.fccc.edu/SCWRL3.php/">http://dunbrack.fccc.edu/SCWRL3.php/</a></td>
<td>[45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3Drefine</td>
<td><a href="http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/3Drefine/">http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/3Drefine/</a></td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refold</td>
<td><a href="https://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/ReFOLD/">https://www.reading.ac.uk/bioinf/ReFOLD/</a></td>
<td>[47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RefineD</td>
<td>watson.cse.eng.auburn.edu/refineD/</td>
<td>[48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MolProbity</td>
<td><a href="http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/">http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/</a></td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RefinePro</td>
<td><a href="http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/REFINEPro/">http://sysbio.rnet.missouri.edu/REFINEPro/</a></td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous visualization tools</td>
<td>ESPript3</td>
<td><a href="http://escript.ibcp.fr/EScript/EScript/">http://escript.ibcp.fr/EScript/EScript/</a></td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My domains</td>
<td><a href="http://prosite.expasy.org/mydomains/">http://prosite.expasy.org/mydomains/</a></td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Program or database</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Primary references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Illustrator for Biological Sequences (IBS)</td>
<td><a href="http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/online.php">http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/online.php</a></td>
<td><img src="http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/online.php" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://ibs.biocuckoo.org/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BoxShade</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html">http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html</a></td>
<td><img src="http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://www.ch.embnet.org/software/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jalview</td>
<td><a href="http://www.jalview.org/">http://www.jalview.org/</a></td>
<td><img src="http://www.jalview.org/" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WebLogo3</td>
<td><a href="http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/">http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/</a></td>
<td><img src="http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://weblogo.threeplusone.com/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISOPRED3</td>
<td><a href="http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/?disopred=1">http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/?disopred=1</a></td>
<td><img src="http://bioinf.cs.ucl.ac.uk/psipred/?disopred=1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prediction of intrinsically disordered proteins or protein regions</td>
<td>MFDp</td>
<td><a href="http://biomine.cs.vcu.edu/servers/MFDp2/">http://biomine.cs.vcu.edu/servers/MFDp2/</a></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://biomine.cs.vcu.edu/servers/MFDp2/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://biomine.cs.vcu.edu/servers/MFDp2/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://biomine.cs.vcu.edu/servers/MFDp2/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PrDOS</td>
<td><a href="http://prdos.hgc.jp/cgi-bin/top.cgi">http://prdos.hgc.jp/cgi-bin/top.cgi</a></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://prdos.hgc.jp/cgi-bin/top.cgi/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://prdos.hgc.jp/cgi-bin/top.cgi/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://prdos.hgc.jp/cgi-bin/top.cgi/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DisEMBL MetaDisorder</td>
<td><a href="http://dis.embl.de/">http://dis.embl.de/</a></td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://dis.embl.de/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://dis.embl.de/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://dis.embl.de/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ESpritz</td>
<td><a href="http://protein.bio.unipd.it/espritz/">http://protein.bio.unipd.it/espritz/</a></td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="http://protein.bio.unipd.it/espritz/notes.png" alt="Notes" /></td>
<td><img src="http://protein.bio.unipd.it/espritz/note.png" alt="Note" /></td>
<td><img src="http://protein.bio.unipd.it/espritz/reference.png" alt="Reference" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Program or database</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>Primary references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protein-protein interaction prediction tools</td>
<td>IntAct</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/</a></td>
<td>[53]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>String</td>
<td><a href="https://string-db.org/">https://string-db.org/</a></td>
<td>[54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BioGRID</td>
<td><a href="https://thebiogrid.org/">https://thebiogrid.org/</a></td>
<td>[55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeneMANIA</td>
<td><a href="https://genemania.org/">https://genemania.org/</a></td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Docking software and webservers</td>
<td>AutoDock Vina and AutoDock Tools</td>
<td><a href="http://vina.scripps.edu/">http://vina.scripps.edu/</a></td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LeDock</td>
<td><a href="http://www.lephar.com/software.htm">http://www.lephar.com/software.htm</a></td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ClusPro</td>
<td><a href="https://cluspro.bu.edu/login.php">https://cluspro.bu.edu/login.php</a></td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SwissDock</td>
<td><a href="http://www.swissdock.ch/">http://www.swissdock.ch/</a></td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Haddock</td>
<td><a href="https://wenmr.science.uu.nl/haddock2.4/">https://wenmr.science.uu.nl/haddock2.4/</a></td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PatchDock</td>
<td><a href="https://bioinfo3d.cs.tau.ac.il/PatchDock/">https://bioinfo3d.cs.tau.ac.il/PatchDock/</a></td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downloadable modeling and visualization software</td>
<td>Chimera</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/">http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/</a></td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VMD</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd/">https://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/vmd/</a></td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Detailed instruction on installation is available at https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/download.html.

3. For instructions, refer to https://pymolwiki.org/.

4. To obtain a MODELLER license key to install and run MODELLER, fill out the following license agreement at https://salilab.org/modeller/registration.html.

5. FASTA is the most popular format for protein sequence data and is widely used in most sequence-based proteomic software tools. It is characterized by a comment line which is a single line description, followed by lines of sequence data. The description line is distinguished from the sequence data by a greater than (">”) symbol in the first column. It is recommended that all lines of text be shorter than 80 characters in length. The sequence data is single letter codes in uppercase with no white spaces between them. An example sequence in FASTA format is:

```plaintext
>Example sequence
ACDEFGHI
```
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>NP_005564.1 lamin-B1 isoform 1 [Homo sapiens]  MATATPVPPRMGSRAGGPQTPPLSETLSRLQEKEL REILDRLAVYDKVRSELENALQLQVTEREEVR GRELTGKALYETELADARRALDDTARERAKL QIELGKCKAEHDQQLLLNYAETESDGILQAQKRL REYEAALNSKDAALATALGDKCSLEGDELKDQIAQ LEASLAAAKKQLADETLKVDLENRCQSLTEDLE FRKSMYEEIEINERKHEHTRLVEVDGRQIEVEYKLA QALHEMERQHDAQVRLYKEELEQTYHAKLEALSSE MTSTVNSAREELMESRMIESLSSQLQKESRA CLEIRIQELEDDLAKEKDNSRMLTDKERE MAGEIRDQMQQQQNDYEQLLDVDKLALDMESAYYRKLLE GEEERLKLSPSSRVTST ruaSSRSTTRGKRRVD VEESEASSVSISHASATGNVCIEEIDVGKFIRKNT SEDQPMGGWEMIRKIGDTSVSYKTSRYVLSKAGQTV TIWAANAGVTAASPTTDLIIWKNQNSWGTGEDV K VilKNQGGEEVAQRSTFVFTTIPHEEHEEEHAAGVV VEEELFHHQGTPRASNRSCAIME

6. While the databases do cross-reference each other, using the accession number from one database may not return a result when searched in another database. This is especially true when searching within curated databases such as UniProtKB, which may weed out redundant submissions in its curation process.

7. The .fasta file format may contain either nucleotide or amino acid codes, whereas .faa is specific to amino acids. Both files are simple text files that can be opened with a basic text editor. If your operating system does not open the file directly, you may open it from within a text editor or install a text editor such as Notepad++ that provides an “Edit with ...” right-click option.

8. The search engine recognizes the Boolean operators “AND,” “OR,” and “NOT.” These operators are processed in a left-to-right sequence. Terms inside of parentheses are processed first and then integrated into the overall term. You may also place search terms within quotes to restrict matches to records including the exact text but be aware that it may interfere with phrase indices that allow the search engine to recognize queries such as protein kinase c or insulin dependent as complete phrases and other automatic term mapping performed by the search engine.

9. BLAST, a heuristic sequence alignment and search algorithm, is used to query a database and uncover potentially related sequences. Alignments are scored and used to rank the similarity of the “hits” with respect to the query. Scoring is based on the alignment length, gaps/inserts, residue similarities, and statistical significance with respect to the database size. Residue similarities, at each position of the query-hit alignment, are calculated based on biochemical natures and adjusted...
according to evolutionary parsimony. BLAST quantifies this biochemical similarity by utilizing a substitution matrix (e.g., BLOSUM or PAM) that assigns a substitution value to each pair of amino acids. Penalties are minor for conservative substitutions, becoming increasingly severe for more radical substitutions. Since this scoring is based on pairwise comparison of residue identity regardless of where in the sequence it occurs, it is referred to as a position-independent scoring parameter. The user can customize the BLAST scoring, but the standard parameters are frequently sufficient. Many variations on the BLAST search method and its scoring have been developed and can, in some cases, uncover additional hits.

10. As an alternative option, you can paste the accession number of your protein sequence or limit the search to a subregion of your provided sequence.

11. The downside of the nonredundant protein sequences (nr) database being the most inclusive is that it includes all sequences without regard to the quality of the submission, potentially overwhelming the search with records of questionable value. While curated options such as the reference proteins database (RefSeq) [5] and the UniProtKB/SwissProt database (UniProt Knowledge Base) can have very useful annotated records, it pays for that depth with breadth. It is important to be aware of these trade-offs in the context of one’s project. Of particular note, is the Protein Data Bank (PDB) database, which is a collection of proteins with solved structures, crucial for identifying templates for homology modeling.

12. Customized versions of the BLAST algorithm, such as PSI-BLAST (see above), PHI-BLAST, and DELTA-BLAST, can be selected at this point.

13. By clicking on the RID (Reference ID) hyperlink, a new, identical tab will open, but the HTML will now include the RID for your search. You can return to your search results using this HTML for up to 48 h, after which time the RID will expire.

14. Query Coverage: The percentage of the query sequence that was matched to the database sequence. E-value: The E-value gives a statistical measure of the significance of the alignment and describes the number of hits that would be “expected” to occur by chance when searching a sequence database of a particular size; the lower the E-value, the more significant the match. An E-value of 1 means that it would be expected to find one match with a similar score simply by chance in a database of the same size. Exact and closely matched hits will have E-values of 0. If the query sequence is short (less than 100 nucleotides or amino acids long), the top E-values may be larger than
10–50 even if there is an exact match. Therefore, it is a good idea to check the similarities and identities (given by percent) as well as the E-value. Percent Identity: The percentage of residues in the query that are identical to the corresponding residue in the database sequence.

15. A position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) is a scoring system applied to each position of a paired sequence alignment that corresponds to the likelihood of a substitution from one residue (of the query) to another (of the hit). In contrast to position-independent matrices such as BLOSUM that assign the same substitution penalty to any given pair of amino acids, regardless of where the substitution occurs, PSSMs can account for the importance of the location of the substitution. Motifs or domains in a protein may be highly insensitive to substitutions and even gaps/insertions in a certain region without compromising its function unless they occur in a critical location. Locating such homologs could be quite difficult if guided exclusively by global sequence similarity, so attuning a scoring matrix to these characteristics creates a signature, or profile, that can be used to search a database for distant homologs. Of course, the accuracy of such algorithms is contingent on the quality of the data used to develop the signature, but when based on a broad set of true homologs, these algorithms are effective at finding the proverbial needle in a haystack.

16. PHMMER can check query sequences against a variety of sequence databases. The default database is Reference Proteomes, a representative cross-section of proteomes derived from the UniProtKB database. More information on the database options may be found at https://hmmer-web-docs.readthedocs.io/en/latest/databases.html.

17. If your query sequence has many results, the search may fail to load any results. In such cases, it is recommended that you use “Restrict by Taxonomy” to break your search down into smaller components.

18. Make sure that your sequences have different names as the first 30 characters of the name are significant, and if they are not unique, the program will fail. Also, remove any white space or empty lines from the beginning of your input.

19. “*” denotes identity, “:” denotes a conserved residue, and “.” denotes a semi-conserved residue in the MSA.

20. For the automatic links to work, you need to install Jalview Desktop on your computer. Free installation programs are available from the Jalview site.

21. Supported formats of alignment files include Clustal, FASTA, MultAlin, NPS@, or ProDom formats.
22. For outputs with highest quality, you may pick the Tiff Image (600 dpi) option.

23. To rearrange the order of MSA, you may enter your own order in the box called “Defining groups.” After entering your new order, simply press the submit button again at the top left corner.

24. The results page is a pop-up window and all pop-up blockers in your browser must be disabled.

25. Alternatively, you may click on the “Search by text” tab to search by families, domains, proteins, keywords, or GO terms, e.g., IPR020422, kinase, O00167, PF02932, GO:0007165, 1t2v, and UP000005640.

26. Your InterProScan search results will be listed on the processing page after the job is done. Each search may run for different times. You can navigate to other pages if you prefer. Once the job is finished, you will be notified, and the results will be available for 7 days.

27. The default scan excludes patterns with a high probability of occurrence to reduce the number of false-positive hits. You can uncheck this option if you are interested in doing a more complete but less stringent scan of your sequence. The “Scan-Prosite” advanced form allows you to further tweak the scan.

28. A set of automatically generated, non-HMM-based entries called Pfam-B is maintained at the Pfam FTP site [Pfam-B.tgz] and may be accessed there. In the future, Pfam-B may be integrated in the main website.

29. When your browser first encounters the SMART website, you will be asked to choose between the “normal” and “genomic” mode, after which the mode setting will be saved for future visits. The models differ in the underlying protein database used, and only the proteomes of completely sequenced genomes are used in the genomic mode. For most routine purposes, “normal” mode is chosen, but the mode can be changed by accessing the “Setup” link on the top of the page at any time.

30. Instead of using three classes (helix, strand, and the rest) to assign the secondary structure of a protein, the eight-state prediction adopts the full DSSP eight-class output classification: H, alpha-helix; G, 3–10-helix; I, pi-helix (extremely rare); E, extended strand; B, beta-bridge; T, turn; S, bend; and C, the rest.

31. Sequence must be in FASTA format. Adding your e-mail is highly recommended.

32. Processing page shows the job is being performed and it will get refreshed every now and then. Closing the processing page
will not affect the results and when the results will be sent to the e-mail you provided when submitting your protein sequence.

33. 2.5% is the default false-positive rate. If you want to recover at least 60% of disordered regions, you should set false-positive threshold at 4%.

34. The template prediction is useless when you want to know just the disorder tendency of local amino acid composition. You can predict disordered regions without template prediction by this option.

35. If “Receive prediction results by e-mail” checkbox is not checked, the page will show a prediction progress report until all prediction processes are finished and finally return HTML-formatted prediction results. Although it depends on the length of query protein and server conditions, PrDOS usually takes from 5 to 10 min to predict a protein sequence. Thus, using “Receive prediction results by e-mail” option is strongly recommended.

36. These steps often need to be repeated and tweaked until a satisfactory model is obtained. The second step is crucial to making a reliable model since the alignment dictates the relationship between your protein sequence and the template sequence.

37. You may want to parse your sequence into its constituent domains and submit each domain sequence individually since structural templates will, in most cases, be identified separately for each domain. Rarely, there will be structural templates that can be used to model the entire multi-domain proteins.

38. Sequence alignment formats that are allowed include A3M, CLUSTAL, FASTA, and STOCKHOLM.

39. The first line of a sequence in the PIR database format should begin with a “>P1,” indicating a protein code, followed by an identifier for the sequence or structure. This sequence/alignment code will be referenced by the Python scripts used by MODELLER so this field must have a unique identifier for all proteins in the file. For the sake of simplicity and to avoid cross-platform errors, assume it is case sensitive, avoid spaces, and only use alphanumeric characters. The second line is formatted to read ten fields (each field is divided by a “:”, colon, resulting in nine colons total on this line). Generally, only the first two fields are required. The first field specifies if a 3D structure is available and, if so, its type: structureX, X-ray; structureN, NMR; structureM, model; sequence, sequence with no structure; and structure, a structure of unspecified source. The second field is the name of the file associated with the sequence. The file extension .pdb is assumed, so entering “R2D2” in this
field will automatically load R2D2.pdb. For the purposes of this procedure, fields 3–10 are not required but details are available on the MODELLER website (https://salilab.org/modeller/9v8/manual/node454.html). The colons demarcating the fields must be present however for the file to be properly read, so ensure that there are nine colons total, one between each anticipated field. The sequence/alignment is then read in until the program detects the termination character “*”, an asterisk.

40. **Warning**: MODELLER will overwrite files in the same directory without requesting confirmation or warning. It is advised that you keep track of filenames or move/copy files to different directories between runs.

41. Before proceeding, please make sure your input model files are in PDB format (e.g., modelin.pdb). The refined model output (e.g., modelout.pdb) can be found in the SCWRL4 program folder.

42. The coordinate files should be named as one continuous word based on alphanumeric characters only. Else the file will not be recognized by the program.

43. When different multiple protein chains are not identified with separate chain IDs, you may get no score. In order to edit the chain IDs of your structures in your coordinate files, you can visit http://www.canoz.com/sdh/renamepdbchain.pl.

44. Sometimes you may need to “hide” the current display mode of your protein before changing to another one, e.g., your protein is depicted in “ribbon” format by default, so to change it to “stick,” you may need to perform the following: (1) click “Actions” > “Ribbon” > “hide”—your protein will be hidden until you select a new format; (2) click “Actions” > “Atoms/Bonds” > “Show”; and (3) click “Actions” > “stick.”

45. Search is based on exact word matches. To search for isoforms, add “*” at the end, e.g., use PI2345* to search for PI2345’s isoforms. You may also use gene ontology (GO) to find interactions of all proteins associated with a particular process.

46. To be able to visualize your protein interaction network, you need to have Adobe® Flash® Player installed.

47. Colored nodes indicate query proteins and first shell of interactors. White nodes indicate second shell of interactors. Empty nodes indicate proteins of unknown 3D structure, while filled nodes indicate that some 3D structure is known or predicted.

48. Alternatively, you may search by publication. Select “By Publication” from the list shown above the search box. Type or paste the PubMed ID or keyword term, e.g., 29301708. Click “Submit Publication Search.”
49. This step is recommended for most PDB files as they usually do not contain hydrogens.

50. If you want to generate a log file, the complete command will be `\"C:\Vina Docking\"C:\Program Files (x86)\The Scripps Research Institute\Vina\vina.exe --config conf6.txt --log log.txt\"`. In this instance, the log file will be named “log.txt.”

51. One such option is to use the GetBox plugin for PyMOL. Another option is to designate the coordinate box using AutoDock Tools, and converting the AutoDock Tools coordinates into LeDock values. The conversion format is accessible in the LeDock User Guide which can be accessed at [http://www.lephar.com/download/LeDock.pdf](http://www.lephar.com/download/LeDock.pdf). The conversions are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_{\text{min}} &= |\text{center}_x| - (0.5 \times |\text{size}_x|) \\
x_{\text{max}} &= |\text{center}_x| + (0.5 \times |\text{size}_x|) \\
y_{\text{min}} &= |\text{center}_y| - (0.5 \times |\text{size}_y|) \\
y_{\text{max}} &= |\text{center}_y| + (0.5 \times |\text{size}_y|) \\
z_{\text{max}} &= |\text{center}_z| - (0.5 \times |\text{size}_z|) \\
z_{\text{min}} &= |\text{center}_z| + (0.5 \times |\text{size}_z|)
\end{align*}
\]

52. If your ligand is in PDB format, PyMOL may be used to convert the ligand. First open the ligand PDB file in PyMOL. Select “File” and then “Export Molecule,” and click “Save.” In the “Save Molecule As” navigation pane that opens, click the “Save as type” drop-down to specify the output file type and select “MOL2 (*.mol2).” Name your molecule and select the destination folder.

53. You can sign up for an account or use the server without an account.
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